

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



Paving the Way for HPC: An XRootD-Based Approach for Efficiency and Workflow Optimizations for HEP Jobs on HPC Centers



Robin Hofsaess^{1,*}, Manuel Giffels¹, Artur Gottmann¹, Maximilian Horzela¹, Andreas Petzold¹, Günter Quast¹, Matthias Schnepf¹, Achim Streit¹

Karlsruhe Institue of Technology (KIT)

The Future of German HEP Computing

The University Tier-2s will be **replaced** by shares on three national HPC centers





- All data will be moved to the Helmholtz Centers (**KIT** and **DESY**)

Challenges:

- HPC centers are comparably heterogeneous (different HW, SW, policies, permissions, monitoring, ...)
- **Data intensive workflows** are not inherently suited for all HPC centers

Prototype Integration of HoreKa @ KIT



- HoreKa is integrated as opportunistic resource since over 3 years into GridKa, the German Tier-1 center
- For the dynamic integration, we use COBalD/TARDIS, developed at KIT
- HoreKa is one of the R&D sites used to test and develop concepts for a smooth transition away from the dedicated university Tier-2 centers

Observations at HoreKa:

- Higher job failure rates compared to the Tier-1 (GridKa) and Tier-3 (TOpAS) center at KIT
- Comparably low CPU efficiency and high I/O wait
- \rightarrow Both are attributable to **remote transfers** and slow external bandwidth of the worker nodes

Solution: Data Access Bottleneck Mitigation with an XRootD Cache as Buffer

dea

- Redirect transfer requests to an **XRootD** caching proxy on the login node (PoC)
- The proxy then streams the data, exploiting the **faster external bandwidth** to mitigate data access bottlenecks
- With a moderate **prefetching**, the XRootD proxy acts as a sort of *buffer* for the data transfers
- Additionally: **fully** cached files are provided from the **local** filesystem via RDMA

Benefits:

- Faster remote transfers (up to 50G)
- caching accelerate recurrent transfers

Results

- Greatly reduced failure rates (now comparable to the Tier-1 and Tier-3)
- Comparable **CPU efficiency** distribution of jobs



However, still **dependent** on the job mix



Worker Nodes

- 2021 Intel Xeon 76c/152t machines
- 1G Ethernet (external) connection
- 200G internal IPolB
- parallel filesystem with RDMA

Running grid jobs in **Apptainer** pilots



/ Parallel Filesystem

- GPFS with RDMA over Infiniband
- Up to 150G for data transfers from local cache
- **250TB** share for (volatile) XRootD cache

Mounted on login and worker nodes

Monitoring

- 00
- We develop a **meta monitoring** tool at KIT, ideal for enhanced monitoring: HappyFace4
- The **experiments** provide job monitoring and statistics



Monitoring is **essential** for reliable operation

Remark: Currently, only tested with CMS jobs

monitoring data to HappyFace4

and benchmarking

Conclusion and Outlook

- Our XRootD-based Proof of Concept increases the reliability and efficiency of CMS jobs running at HoreKa, our local HPC center
- The job execution benefits from the faster bandwidth
 Cache hits on the parallel filesystem accelerate the transfers significantly
- For the **future**, further optimizations are planned:
- Tweaking of XRootD config parameters for the caching proxy performance, like RAM, or the prefetching level
- Dedicated transfer nodes with 100G+ access to LHCOne or a firewall bypass directly to GridKa

https://github.com/RHofsaess Robin.Hofsaess@cern.ch

Code release coming soon: https://github.com/RHofsaess



ACAT 2024, Stony Brook University



KIT – The Research University in the Helmholtz Association