



Reconstructing Particle Tracks in One Go with a Recursive Graph Attention Network

Jay Chan

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory



ACAT, Stony Brook NY, March 11, 2024

Track reconstruction is a challenge task



AB 2

A more efficient tracking algorithm is needed



Using graph techniques for tracking is a natural choice



3

Events as graphs





Hits as nodes























BERKELEY LAB 10



















Able to learn key node / edge features from environment



Track reconstruction as edge classification



Example: ATLAS GNN4ITK pipeline (<u>ATL-SOFT-PROC-2023-047</u>) See Daniel's <u>talk</u> Tomorrow on latest GNN4ITK results



Track reconstruction as object condensation



Examples: K. Lieret et. al. (<u>arXiv:2312.03823</u>), D. Murnane (<u>influencer</u>) See Kilian's earlier <u>talk</u>













BERKELEY LAB ²⁰



















BERKELEY LAB 25











28









Training loss function

for each pair of nodes (edge):

$$L = y d^{2} + (1 - y) max^{2}(0, m - d)$$

(hits come from the same particle)

Attractive loss for positive pair y = 1 Repulsive loss for negative pair y = 0(hits come from different particles)

d = Euclidean distance between two hits



Test case with TrackML dataset



- Formulated in the <u>Kaggle TrackML challenge</u> (HL-LHC like detector)
- Each event $\sim O(10^4)$ particles; $\sim O(10^5)$ hits
- For proof of concept, apply a cut on p_T = 1 GeV for all particles

 → ~O(10⁴) hits





Determines the upper bounds of Eff_{KNN} and Pur_{KNN}













BERKELEY LAB

36







At k=10: Eff = 85% (upper bound = 87%) Pur = 93% (upper bound = 95%)



DBSCAN and track performance



A matched track = (>50% hits in this track candidate come from same particle)

$$\mathrm{Eff}_{\mathrm{track}} = \frac{N_{\mathrm{particles}}^{\mathrm{reco}}}{N_{\mathrm{particles}}} \qquad r_{\mathrm{fake}} = \frac{N_{\mathrm{tracks}} - N_{\mathrm{tracks}}^{\mathrm{matched}}}{N_{\mathrm{particles}}^{\mathrm{reco}}} \qquad r_{\mathrm{duplicate}} = \frac{N_{\mathrm{tracks}}^{\mathrm{matched}} - N_{\mathrm{particles}}^{\mathrm{reco}}}{N_{\mathrm{particles}}^{\mathrm{reco}}}$$



DBSCAN track performance

Track performance vs ε (DBSCAN)

Track efficiency vs p⊤





Computing performance



Computational cost mainly coming from graph attention and KNN



Summary

- Propose a one-shot object-condensation tracking algorithm with recursive graph attention
 - Does not require graph construction as the first step (take point cloud as input for message passing)
 - Achieve excellent track performance in the TrackML test case
- Future work aims to improve computational cost: main contribution from KNN and graph attention





Backups



44

Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise



Idea: a cluster in data space is a contiguous region of high point density, separated from other such clusters by contiguous regions of low point density



Edge-wise Performance in KNN Graphs (vs p_T)



BERKELEY LAB 46