## High Pileup Particle Tracking with Learned Clustering



Kilian Lieret (Princeton)

Gage DeZoort (Princeton)

2024 fellow: **Aryaman Jeendgar** (BITS Pilani) 2023 summer students: **Jian Park** (Chicago), **Devdoot Chatterjee** (Delhi Tech U) Transformer exploration: **Siqi Miao** (Georgia Tech), **Pan Li** (Georgia Tech) Feedback & input: **Javier Duarte** (UCSD), **Savannah Thais** (Columbia) Liaisons to CMS LST tracking: **Jonathan Guiang** (UCSD), **Philip Chang** (Florida)





## One-shot tracking with learned clustering/object condensation



Hit features: coordinates + cluster shapes

# **Training learned clustering**

If you can't see the video, download the ppt file



# The high-pileup tracking zoo

Examples of classical algorithms (potentially with ML support)

- Combinatorial Kalman Filter: Extrapolate & refine "seeded" tracks
- LST tracking: Iteratively combine track segments (see <u>talk by M. Vourliotis</u>)

#### **Example of ML algorithms**

#### • ExaTrx:

- Build graph out of initial hits → edge classification (EC)
   → tracks emerge as connected components of final graph (simplified)
- Graph is used for both message passing and as track representation
- Any incorrectly pruned edge cannot be restored
- See <u>D. Murnane's talk</u> tomorrow
- Learned Clustering (this talk): Tracks emerge as clusters in a latent space
  - If using GNN: Similar graph building as ExaTrkx, but graph is used *only* for message passing: tracks are rendered on node-space
  - **Recursive Graph Attention Network:** Model with iterative graph construction; See <u>talk by J. Chan</u> today
- Influencer (Murnane): Hits gravitate to influencers representing tracks (<u>CTD talk</u>)



## **Object condensation tracking on trackML: Old & new**

All results have so far been evaluated on the trackML dataset

- CTD22: Proof of concept on truth-cut pixel detector data
- CHEP23: First results on pixel layers (geometric graph construction) (2309.16754)
- CTD23: Improved results using learned graph construction (2312.03823)

### This talk:

- 1. Simplified and improved loss functions
- 2. Improved results on pixel detector
- 3. First results on **full detector** (pixel + strip layers)
- 4. **Ongoing work** & shoutouts



# **GNN** Pipeline



## **Simpler loss functions**

Our implementation is described in detail in 2312.03823

## "Standard" learned clustering (LC) loss

#### **Attractive loss function**

 $||x_i - x_j||^2$ 

quadratic potential

Only hits of hinterest

**Repulsive loss function** 

quadratic hinge loss At least one hit of interest  $\max(0, 1 - ||x_i - x_j||^2)$ 



Previously only used for GC, now also for main model.

Combination & normalization scheme of loss functions (in particular rep.) matters

Previously used for main model (comparable results)

- Hard to implement memory & GPU efficiently
- Additional hyperparameters, loss functions, complexity

Object Condensation loss (Kieseler 2020): Learn a condensation likelihood (CL) for all hits; hit with highest CL per particle is condensation point (CP)

Very successful loss for calorimetry: CP can be used to infer properties of shower Similar but only relative to CP

Attraction stronger if CP's CL is high

Similar but only relative to CPs repulsion stronger for strong CP CLs

## **Metrics**

#### Perfect

Cluster contains only hits from one particle and no hits outside of cluster **LHC** Cluster contains >= 75% hits from one particle

#### **Double Majority (DM)**

Cluster contains >= 50% hits from one particle and This particle has < 50% of its hits outside



We also evaluate these metrics at  $p_T$  thresholds:  $p_T$  cut is applied to majority particle of cluster or particle (this is <u>not</u> a truth cut on the data, but simply a efficiency vs  $p_T$  study)

Reconstructable: >= 3 hits

## Latest results on pixel detector



#### Model:

 2.2M parameters (but no attempt of minimizing was made so far) in 4 layers of interaction networks (<u>1612.00222</u>)

• GC kNN *k*=17

#### **Performance** for $p_T > 0.9$ GeV

- DM: 97.7%
- LHC: 98.2%
- Perfect: 88.1%
- Fake DM: 0.6%

Training time  $\sim$ 30h (GC) + 60h (OC) on A100

## **First full detector results**



#### Model:

 2.6M parameters (but no attempt of minimizing was made so far) in 4 layers of interaction networks (<u>1612.00222</u>)

• GC kNN *k*=30

#### **Performance** for $p_T > 0.9$ GeV

- DM: 97.9%
- LHC: 98.7%
- Perfect: 77.3%
- Fake DM: 1.3%

Training time  $\sim$ 30h (GC) + 50h (OC) on A100

## **First full detector results**

#### Given our GC, LC outperforms any (!) EC pipeline.

We can "join" tracks that are not well connected during GC! **Our performance is probably limited by GC right now.** 

 $\rightarrow$  Possible avenue: (Partially) remove skip-connections in GC in favor of higher k

#### However, we can still do better for *perfectly*

reconstructing tracks (getting perfectly homogeneous clusters and not missing a single hit) – though that's a very high bar, anyway



# Other ongoing efforts

- Early noise filtering: Can we remove noise before we even build a graph?
   → Less nodes/edges, cleaner graphs
- However, false positives are very bad  $\rightarrow$  add uncertainties to classification with **conformal scores**  $\rightarrow$  only remove points if we're certain



Aryaman Jeendgar (BITS Pilani)



Pan Li

(Georgia Tech)

Sigi Miao

(Georgia Tech)

Exploring **heterogeneous GNNs** and other tricks to deal with differences between pixel/strip detector

- Results from this talk use *exactly* the same model for pixel-only and for full-detector
- Preliminary studies with heterogeneous node encoders for pixel/strip showed no significant improvement
- Might also heavily depend on dataset

Completely different architecture: efficient sparse transformers

- Transformers can be faster and more GPU-efficient than GNNs
- Clustering metrics look good; currently working on evaluating tracking metrics (double majority eff. etc.)
- Read the paper: <u>2402.12535</u>



# **Summary & Outlook**

- Learned clustering/object condensation:
  - Possible architecture for one-shot tracking with ML
  - Tracks are reconstructed as clusters of hits in a latent space
- Compared to previous work, we use a simpler and more GPU efficient loss function to train the GNN (same as the one used for GC; relatively standard embedding loss)
- Improved results on pixel-only trackML challenge: 97.7% DM, 88.1% perfect (counting only p<sub>T</sub> > 0.9 tracks)
- First results on full detector trackML challenge: 97.9% DM, 77.3% perfect (counting only p<sub>T</sub> > 0.9 tracks; expecting to still improve on these results significantly)
- No p<sub>T</sub> truth cuts as in most proof-of-concept studies
- Given our GC, we outperform any EC-based pipeline: We can "join broken tracks" → Our GC is probably still lagging behind, though
- Challenges ahead:
  - Optimize & measure speed of reconstruction
  - Apply pipelines to simulations for real detectors (e.g., CMS phase 2)



Completely public & documented on GitHub! https://github.com/gnn-tracking/gnn\_tracking

Superstracking Public		
1 <sup>2</sup> main → 1 <sup>2</sup> 5 branches 1 ⊂ 6 tags		Charged particle tracking with graph neural networks
pre-commit-ci[bot] [pre-comm	it.ci] pre-commit autoupdate (#424)	e943c last week 🕥 1,153 commits & gnn-tracking.rtfd.io/
github	Add ExpandWandbConfig callback	2 months ago tracking ai clustering mi hep
docs	Add links to source code in sphinx	2 months ago gnn gnn-model
environments	Use pyg implementation of edge_subgraph (#401)	last month
readme_assets	Add banner image	last year 🖓 Cite this repository 🗸
src/gnn_tracking	[pre-commit.ci] pre-commit autoupdate (#424)	last week 🗠 Activity
tests	Fix test and test ECCut	last week ☆ 19 stars
all-contributorsrc	Add Jian as contributor	4 months ago
🗅 .flake8	Ignore studies submodule for flake8	last year
🗋 .gitignore	[Lightning WIP] Training loop working	3 months ago 2 weeks ago
.pre-commit-config.yaml	[pre-commit.ci] pre-commit autoupdate (#424)	last week
readthedocs.yaml	Add RTD config file (#149)	last year Contributors 7
CHANGELOG.md	Release 23.09.0	2 weeks ago 🛛 🛞 🏀 😥 🚱 🎬 🍩
CITATION.cff	Fix citation file format	last month
LICENSE.txt	Add packaging configuration	last year
The README md	Markdown link fix	Python 99.0%     Shell 1.0% last month

# Backup

## More on GC



## DBSCAN



