



Analysis of available data on measured X-band and 30 GHz accelerating structures

For the moment, use only copper accelerating structures (no PETS, No other materials)

Normalization of measured gradient

- 1. Normalize to 10-6 (assume "typical" copper slope, relative)
- 2. Normalize to pulse length (use 150 ns as "standard")





Analysis of available data on measured X-band and 30 GHz accelerating Structures











Analysis of available data on measured X-band and 30 GHz accelerating Structures



R. Corsini – 8 Mar 2007

Wuenshes & Corsinis



















Chris' damage potential & scalings

Effective Impedance

$$Z_s \approx 2 \frac{R/Q}{k \sin(\phi)} \approx \frac{R/Q}{v_g} \frac{\phi \sin(\phi) + 2 v_g \cos(\phi)}{\sin(\phi)}$$

Power Absorbed in an Arc

Power_{abs}
$$\approx 4 \frac{R_{arc}}{Z_s}$$
 Power_{inc} $\approx \frac{R_{arc}}{(R/Q)^2} \frac{v_g^2}{\omega/c} \frac{4 \sin(\phi) \text{ Gradient}^2}{\phi \sin(\phi) + 2 v_g \cos(\phi)}$

Different scaling – still miss R/Q data to test, but not very promising

If Breakdown is Modeled as a Load Impedance, Power Absorbed in the Load Scales as

$$\frac{v_g^2}{(R/Q)^2} \frac{\sin(\phi)}{\phi \sin(\phi) + 2 v_g \cos(\phi)} \text{ Gradient}^2$$

where vg = Group Velocity/c R = Shunt ImpedanceQ = Quality Factor $\phi = Phase Advance$







... to cut or not to cut...



Structure is not damped but 6-rf-cycles bunch spacing is assumed



Analysis of available data on measured X-band and 30 GHz accelerating Structures



