

# Jet substructure in VBS measurements by CMS and ATLAS experiments

Nur<u>fikri</u> Norjoharuddeen nurfikri.bin.norjoharuddeen@cern.ch

On behalf of CMS & ATLAS collaborations

COMETA 1st General Meeting

University of Izmir Bakırçay, İzmir, Türkiye 28 February 2024

- Why jet substructure for Vector Boson Scattering (VBS) measurements?
- Focus on the jet substructure techniques developed by CMS and ATLAS.
- Highlight CMS & ATLAS VBS Run 2 measurements using jet substructure techniques.
- Recent state-of-the-art techniques by CMS & ATLAS.

## Why jet substructure for VBS analyses?

### High-mass m<sub>vv</sub> measurement



## TeV-scale $m_{VV}$

"boosted" boson decays -> collimated decay products



## Additional challenges in VBS analyses



## Jet substructure techniques

## Jet Algorithms at the LHC

Sequential clustering algorithms with distance parameter R

- 1) k<sub>τ</sub>
- 2) Cambridge-Aachen (CA)
- 3) anti-k<sub>T</sub> (AK)



"Standard" jet algorithms by experiments

- Small-R jets: anti- $k_T R = 0.4 [AK4]$
- Large-R jets: anti- $k_T R = 0.8$  [AK8] for CMS, 1.0 [AK10] for ATLAS

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{ti}^{2p}, p_{tj}^{2p}) \frac{\Delta R_{ij}^2}{R^2}$$
$$d_{iB} = p_{ti}^{2p},$$

"Non-standard" jets used also

e.g Variable-R jets

JINST 15 (2020) P06005 ATL-PHYS-PUB-2017-010 EPJ C 67, 637-686 (2010)

## (Large-R) Jet Grooming

JHEP 1002 (2010) 084 Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 154



- 1. Recluster with  $k_t$  algorithm with R = 0.2.
- 2. Remove subjets with  $p_T$  fraction < 5%.

Figures by J. Dolen

HEP 1405 (2014) 146 JINST 15 (2020) P06005

CMS Soft Drop Stop when both subjets satisfy soft Decluster drop iteratively criterion

- 1. Recluster with CA algorithm.
- 2. Reverse clustering history.
- 3. Check criterion:

$$\frac{\min(p_{\text{T1}}, p_{\text{T2}})}{p_{\text{T1}} + p_{\text{T2}}} > z_{\text{cut}} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{12}}{R_0}\right)^{\beta} \qquad \qquad z_{\text{cut}} = 0.1$$
  
$$\beta = 0$$

4. Pass: two subjets are final. Fail: remove sub-leading subjet & repeat (1).

## (Large-R) Jet Grooming

## Jet grooming (+ Pileup Mitigation) "cleans" up large-R jets





## **Jet Tagging With Substructure Moments**

Measures of energy distributions inside a jet



#### **Energy Correlation Functions (ECFs)**

- Axes-free approach
  - ➤ reduces dependence of the jet pt

$$E_{CF2}(\beta) = \sum_{i < j \in J} p_{T_i} p_{T_j} (\Delta R_{ij})^{\beta} \qquad e_2^{(\beta)} = \frac{E_{CF2}(\beta)}{E_{CF1}(\beta)^2}$$
$$E_{CF3}(\beta) = \sum_{i < j < k \in J} p_{T_i} p_{T_j} p_{T_k} (\Delta R_{ij} \Delta R_{ik} \Delta R_{jk})^{\beta} \qquad e_3^{(\beta)} = \frac{E_{CF3}(\beta)}{E_{CF1}(\beta)^3}$$

#### N-subjettiness

• Subjet axes approach (exclustive  $k_T$  algorithm)

$$\tau_{\rm N} = \frac{1}{d_0} \sum_k p_{\rm T}^k \min(\Delta R_{1,k}, \dots, \Delta R_{{\rm N},k}) \qquad d_0 = \sum_k p_{\rm T}^k R_0$$

Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 375

 $D_{2}$ 



## **Pileup Mitigation (CMS)**



## **Pileup Mitigation (ATLAS)**





## Jet Vertex Tagger (JVT)

Likelihood discriminant built from:

- corrected Jet Vertex Fraction (corrJVF)
- R<sub>pT</sub>: ratio of track p<sub>T</sub> sum to jet p<sub>T</sub>

ATLAS Simulation



## Forward Jet Vertex Tagger (fJVT)

Use MET calculated at each PU vertex to check for forward jets that correct imbalance



Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 580

## Quark-Gluon Likelihood (QGL)



CMS-PAS-JME-16-003

## **Quark-Gluon Jet Tagging (ATLAS)**



## Run 2 VBS measurements with jet substructure

18

- Full Run-2 dataset (L = 138 fb<sup>-1</sup>) collected with singlelepton triggers.
- W->Iv: Single  $e/\mu$  + MET.
- **Boosted selection**
- V-jet candidate: 1 AK8 <u>Puppi</u> jet, τ<sub>21</sub>, 40 < m<sub>SD</sub> < 250 GeV.</p>
- VBS tag jets: 2 AK4 CHS jets with highest invariant mass.

#### **Resolved selection**

- VBS tag jets: 2 AK4 jets with highest invariant mass
- V-jet candidate: 2 AK4 jets with invariant mass closest to 85 GeV.
- Pileup Jet ID applied for AK4 CHS jets.



CLIAD manifima

19

## Signal extraction strategy

# Train a DNN from event-level and jet-level observables and fit the DNN distribution.



Varial	Variable	Resolved	Boosted	SHAP ranking	
	vallable			Resolved	Boostec
	Lepton pseudorapidity	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	13	12
	Lepton transverse momentum	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	16	10
	Zeppenfeld variable for the lepton	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	2	2
	Number of jets with $p_{\rm T} > 30 {\rm GeV}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	7	3
	Leading VBS tag jet $p_{\rm T}$	-	$\checkmark$	-	11
	Trailing VBS tag jet $p_{\rm T}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	7	6
	Pseudorapidity interval $\Delta \eta_{ij}^{VBS}$ between tag jets	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	4	4
	Quark/gluon discriminator of leading VBS tag jet	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	9	7
	Azimuthal angle distance between VBS tag jets	$\checkmark$	-	10	-
	Invariant mass of the VBS tag jets pair	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	1	1
	$p_{\rm T}$ of the leading V <sub>had</sub> jet	$\checkmark$	-	14	-
	$p_{\rm T}$ of the trailing V <sub>had</sub> jet	$\checkmark$	-	12	-
	Pseudorapidity difference between V <sub>had</sub> jets	$\checkmark$	-	8	-
	Quark/gluon discriminator of the leading V <sub>had</sub> jet	$\checkmark$	-	3	- )
	Quark/gluon discriminator of the trailing V <sub>had</sub> jet	$\checkmark$	-	5	
	$p_{\rm T}$ of the AK8 V <sub>had</sub> jet candidate	-	$\checkmark$	-	8
	Invariant mass of V <sub>had</sub>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	11	5
	Zeppenfeld variable for V <sub>had</sub>	-	$\checkmark$	-	9
	Centrality	-	$\checkmark$	15	13

20

## DNN output distribution in signal regions



 $4.4\sigma$  (5.1 $\sigma$ ) observed (expected) significance of VBS EWK WV signal

## ATLAS: VBS VV -> vvqq / lvqq / llqq

• 2016 dataset with single-lepton / MET triggers.

#### Three lepton channels simultaneously:

- > Leptonic W: Single e /  $\mu$ .
- > Leptonic Z: ee / $\mu\mu$
- ≻Z->vv: MET

# q q''' W/Z Hadronic W/Z Leptonic q''

#### **Boosted selection**

- > V-jet candidate: 1 AK10 jet, D<sub>2</sub>, p<sub>T</sub>-dependent  $m_{comb}$  cut.
- > VBS-tag jets: 2 AK4 jets with highest invariant mass.
- > Split to High-Purity and Low-Purity signal regions based on (D<sub>2</sub>,  $m_{comb}$ ) cut.

#### Resolved selection

- ➤ V-jet candidate: 2 AK4 jets with invariant mass closest to W/Z mass GeV.
- > VBS-tag jets: 2 AK4 jets with highest invariant mass

Phys. Rev. D 100, 032007 (2019)

## ATLAS: VBS VV -> vvqq / lvqq / llqq

## Signal extraction strategy

# Train a BDT from event-level and jet-level observables and fit the BDT distribution.

Variable	0-lepton	1-lepton	2-lepton
$m_{ii}^{\mathrm{tag}}$	1		1
$\Delta \eta_{ii}^{\text{tag}}$			$\checkmark$
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{tag},j_2}$	1	1	$\checkmark$
$m_J$	$\checkmark$		)
$D_2^{(eta=1)}$	1		✓ )
E <sub>T</sub> <sup>miss</sup>	$\checkmark$		
$\Delta \phi(ec{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}},J)$	1		
$\eta_{\ell}$		1	
$n_{j,\mathrm{track}}$	1		
$\zeta_V$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$m_{VV}$			1
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{VV}$			1
$m_{VVjj}$		1	
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{VVjj}$			$\checkmark$
$W^{\mathrm{tag},j_1}$	$\checkmark$		)
$W^{\mathrm{tag}, j_2}$	$\checkmark$		

Variable	0-lepton	1-lepton	2-lepton
$m_{ii}^{\text{tag}}$	1		1
$\Delta \eta_{ii}^{\text{tag}}$			1
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{tag}, j_1}$	1	1	
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{tag}, j_2}$	1	1	$\checkmark$
$\Delta \eta_{ii}$	1	1	$\checkmark$
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{j_1}$	1		
$p_{\rm T}^{j_2}$	1	1	1
$w^{j_1}$	1	1	$\sim$
$w^{j_2}$	1	1	1
$n_{ m tracks}^{j_1}$		$\checkmark$	1
$n_{ m tracks}^{j_2}$		1	1
$w^{\mathrm{tag}, j_1}$	1	1	1
$w^{\mathrm{tag}, j_2}$	1	$\checkmark$	1
$n_{ m tracks}^{ m tag, j_1}$		1	1
$p_{\mathrm{tracks}}^{\mathrm{tag},j_2}$		$\checkmark$	\)
n <sub>j,track</sub>	1		$\checkmark$
<i>n</i> <sub>j,extr</sub>	$\checkmark$		
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	1		
$\eta_{\ell}$			
$\Delta R(\ell, \nu)$		<i>,</i>	
5 <i>V</i>		<b>v</b>	٠ ١
$m_{VV}$ $m_{VVii}$		✓	•

Phys. Rev. D 100, 032007 (2019)

## ATLAS: VBS VV -> vvqq / lvqq / llqq

## BDT output distribution in Boosted category signal regions



 $2.7\sigma$  ( $2.5\sigma$ ) observed (expected) significance of VBS EWK VV signal

## The future of jet tagging & substructure

#### Constituent-based jet taggers

## Jet mass regression with jet constituents



## State-of-the-art (ATLAS)

- New jet input: Unified Flow Objects (UFO) Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 334
  - > Particle Flow (PF) + Track Calo-Clusters (TCC).
- New baseline large-R jet: CS+SK UFO Soft-Drop Anti- $k_T R = 1.0$  jets
  - Constituent-Subtraction + Soft-Killer for pileup mitigation.
- Constituent-based jet taggers:



- High-mass  $m_{VV}$  regime in VBS measurements is a crucial phase space to discover or constrain BSM physics.
- In this regime, jet substructure techniques enhances the ability to identify boosted hadronically decaying V-bosons.
  - Together with quark vs gluon and pileup jet discrimination for VBS event tagging.
- CMS & ATLAS have utilized jet substructure in Run 2 VBS measurements.
- Latest state-of-the-art substructure techniques to be used for Run 2/3 CMS and ATLAS analyses.

## EXTRA SLIDES

## Jet Reconstruction: Input for <u>CMS</u>

Particle Flow (PF) Algorithm



JINST 12 (2017) P10003

## Jet Reconstruction: Input for <u>ATLAS</u>

## **Evolution throughout Run-2**



## **Pileup Jet Id (ATLAS): Inputs for JVT**



#### JINST 15 (2020) P09018

#### **BDT Input Variables**

Definition Input variable Fraction of  $p_{\rm T}$  of charged particles associated with the β LV, defined as  $\sum_{i \in \text{LV}} p_{\text{T},i} / \sum_i p_{\text{T},i}$  where *i* iterates over all charged PF particles in the jet Number of vertices in the event N<sub>vertices</sub>  $\langle \Delta R^2 \rangle$ Square distance from the jet axis scaled by  $p_T^2$  average of jet constituents:  $\sum_i \Delta R^2 p_{T_i}^2 / \sum_i p_{T_i}^2$ Fraction of  $p_{\rm T}$  of the constituents  $(\sum p_{\rm T,i}/p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet})$  in the region  $f_{\rm ringX}, X =$  $R_i < \Delta R < R_{i+1}$  around the jet axis, where  $R_i = 0, 0.1, 0.2$ , 1, 2, 3, and 4 and 0.3 for X = 1, 2, 3, and 4  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm lead} / p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet}$  $p_{\rm T}$  fraction carried by the leading PF candidate  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm l.\,ch.}/p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet}$  $p_{\rm T}$  fraction carried by the leading charged PF candidate Pull magnitude, defined as  $|(\sum_i p_T^i | r_i | \vec{r}_i)| / p_T^{\text{jet}}$  where  $\vec{r}_i$  is  $|\vec{m}|$ the direction of the particle *i* from the direction of the jet Number of PF candidates N<sub>total</sub> Number of charged PF candidates N<sub>charged</sub> Major axis of the jet ellipsoid in the  $\eta$ - $\phi$  space  $\sigma_1$ Minor axis of the jet ellipsoid in the  $\eta$ - $\phi$  space  $\sigma_2$  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm D}$ Jet fragmentation distribution, defined as  $\sqrt{\sum_i p_{T,i}^2} / \sum_i p_{T,i}$ 

#### CHS(+Pileup ID) vs Puppi Performance in simulation

