GRIFFIN: A C++ library for higher-order electroweak corrections

A. Freitas University of Pittsburgh

L. Chen and A. Freitas, SciPost Phys. Codeb. 2023, 18 [arXiv:2211.16272]

github.com/lisongc/GRIFFIN/releases



Motivation

Tools for EW precision calculation pre-2022:	
• ZFITTER/DIZET, TOPAZØ,:	Bardin et al. '99
 rad. corr. packages developed for LEP era 	Montagna et al. '98
 SM prediction for EWPOs and (diff.) cross-section 	ons $(e^+e^- \to f\bar{f})$
 Full NLO corrections + partial higher orders 	
 QED ISR/FSR corrections through analyt. formu 	lae
 Can be linked with MC codes (Koralz,) 	Jadach et al. '80s–99
 Difficult to expand and maintain (Fortran77, not fully gauge-invariant framework,)
 Modern fitting tools (Gfitter, HEPfit, GAPP): 	Baak et al. '14
 own implementations of rad. corr. [only EWPOs (pseudo-obs.), not full observables 	de Blas et al. '19; Erler '00 6]
 extensions to higher orders, different schemes a custom work 	nd models require

Motivation

Goal of the GRIFFIN* project:

- New EW library that is modular / object-oriented (C++)
- Based on manifestly gauge-invariant setup
- Repository of existing calculations
- Can be extended to include ...
 - ... higher orders
 - ... different input parameter schemes
 - ... BSM physics (also SMEFT/HEFT)
 - ... new processes or new EWPOs
- Can be linked to MC generators and global fitting packages (QED more effectively handled with MC generators)

* Gauge-invariant Resonance In Four-Fermion INteractions

EWPOs: Fermi constant / W mass



μ decay in Fermi Model

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = \frac{G_F m_{\mu}^5}{192\pi^3} F\left(\frac{m_e^2}{m_{\mu}^2}\right) (1 + \Delta q)$$

QED corrections (2-loop)



Ritbergen, Stuart '98 Pak, Czarnecki '08

μ decay in Standard Model

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{e^2}{8s_w^2 M_W^2} (1 + \Delta r)$$

electroweak corrections



EWPOs: Z cross section and branching fractions



94



Left-right asymmetry:

With polarized e^- beam:

$$A_{\mathsf{LR}} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\mathsf{L}} - \sigma_{\mathsf{R}}}{\sigma_{\mathsf{L}} + \sigma_{\mathsf{R}}} = \mathcal{A}_{e}$$

Polarization asymmetry: Average τ pol. in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$: $\langle \mathcal{P}_\tau \rangle = -\mathcal{A}_\tau$



Left-right asymmetry:

With polarized e^- beam:

$$A_{\mathsf{LR}} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\mathsf{L}} - \sigma_{\mathsf{R}}}{\sigma_{\mathsf{L}} + \sigma_{\mathsf{R}}} = \mathcal{A}_{e}$$

Polarization asymmetry:

Average τ pol. in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$: $\langle \mathcal{P}_\tau \rangle = -\mathcal{A}_\tau$

Decay widths in terms of $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^f$:

$$\Gamma_{ff} = C \left[(Z_{Vf})^2 + (Z_{Af})^2 \right] = C (Z_{Af})^2 \left[(1 - 4|Q_f| \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^f)^2 + \left(\ln \frac{Z_{Vf}}{Z_{Af}} \right)^2 \right]$$

Theory calculations for EWPOs: Status

Available results for Δr , $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^f$, Γ_{ff} :

Many seminal works on 1-loop and leading 2-loop corrections Veltman, Passarino, Sirlin, Marciano, Bardin, Hollik, Riemann, Degrassi, Kniehl, ...

Full 2-loop results

Freitas, Hollik, Walter, Weiglein '00 Awramik, Czakon '02 Onishchenko, Veretin '02 Awramik, Czakon, Freitas, Weiglein '04 Awramik, Czakon, Freitas '06 Hollik, Meier, Uccirati '05,07 Awramik, Czakon, Freitas, Kniehl '08 Freitas '14 Dubovyk, Freitas, Gluza, Riemann, Usovitsch '16,18

• Partial higher orders:
$$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_t \alpha_s^2)$$
, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_t^2 \alpha_s)$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_t^3)$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_t \alpha_s^3)$, $\alpha_t = \frac{y_t^2}{4\pi}$

$$\mathcal{O}(N_f^3 \alpha^3), \mathcal{O}(N_f^2 \alpha^2 \alpha_s)$$

 $N_f = \text{closed}$ fermion loop

Chetyrkin, Kühn, Steinhauser '95 Faisst, Kühn, Seidensticker, Veretin '03 Boughezal, Tausk, v. d. Bij '05 Schröder, Steinhauser '05 Chetyrkin et al. '06 Boughezal, Czakon '06 Chen, Freitas '20

Full amplitude $e^+e^- \to f\bar{f}$

EWPOs like $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^f$, Γ_{ff} only contain **leading** EW corrections **on Z-pole**

For complete prediction need:

- Sub-leading corrections
- Off-peak matrix element
- QED/QCD ISR/FSR contributions

Expand amplitude for $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ about **complex pole** $s_0 \equiv \overline{M}_Z^2 + i\overline{M}_Z\overline{\Gamma}_Z$: \rightarrow All terms are individually gauge-invariant

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} = \frac{R_{ij}}{s - s_0} + S_{ij} + (s - s_0)S'_{ij} + \dots \qquad (i, j = V, A)$$





Expand amplitude for $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ about **complex pole** $s_0 \equiv \overline{M}_Z^2 + i\overline{M}_Z\overline{\Gamma}_Z$: \rightarrow All terms are individually gauge-invariant

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} = \frac{R_{ij}}{s - s_0} + S_{ij} + (s - s_0)S'_{ij} + \dots \qquad (i, j = V, A)$$
$$R_{ij} = \frac{Z_{ie}Z_{jf}}{1 + \Sigma'_Z}\Big|_{s = s_0} + B^R_{\gamma Z, ij} + B^{RL}_{\gamma Z, ij} \ln(1 - \frac{s}{s_0})$$

$$S_{ij} = \left[\frac{Z_{ie}Z'_{jf} + Z'_{ie}Z_{jf}}{1 + \Sigma'_{Z}} - \frac{Z_{ie}Z_{jf}\Sigma''_{Z}}{2(1 + \Sigma'_{Z})^{2}} + \frac{G_{ie}G_{jf}}{s + \Sigma_{\gamma}} + B_{ij}\right]_{s=s_{0}}$$
$$+ B_{\gamma Z, ij}^{S} + B_{\gamma Z, ij}^{SL} \ln(1 - \frac{s}{s_{0}})$$



Expand amplitude for $e^+e^- \to f\bar{f}$ about complex pole $s_0 \equiv \overline{M}_7^2 + i\overline{M}_7\overline{\Gamma}_7$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} = \frac{R_{ij}}{s - s_0} + S_{ij} + (s - s_0)S'_{ij} + \dots \qquad (i, j = V, A)$$

Current state of art: *R* @ NNLO + leading higher orders $S @ \mathsf{NLO}$ $S' \otimes (N)LO$

For future ee colliders: (at least) one order more!

Cross-section:
$$\sigma_{\mathsf{Z}} = \frac{R}{(s - \overline{M}_{\mathsf{Z}}^2)^2 + \overline{M}_{\mathsf{Z}}^2 \overline{\Gamma}_{\mathsf{Z}}^2} + \sigma_{\mathsf{non-res}}$$

In exp. studies: $\sigma \sim \frac{1}{(s-M_{\pi}^2)^2 + s^2\Gamma_{\pi}^2/M_{\pi}^2}$

$$\overline{M}_{Z} = M_{Z} / \sqrt{1 + \Gamma_{Z}^{2} / M_{Z}^{2}} \approx M_{Z} - 34 \text{ MeV}$$
$$\overline{\Gamma}_{Z} = \Gamma_{Z} / \sqrt{1 + \Gamma_{Z}^{2} / M_{Z}^{2}} \approx \Gamma_{Z} - 0.9 \text{ MeV}$$

Express $R_i j$ in terms of $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^f$ and F_A^f (accurate up to NNLO):

$$\begin{split} \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^f &= \frac{1}{4|Q_f|} \bigg[1 - \text{Re} \frac{Z_{Vf}}{Z_{Af}} \bigg]_{s=\overline{M}_Z^2} \\ F_i^f &= \bigg[\frac{|Z_{if}|^2}{1 + \text{Re} \,\Sigma_Z'} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{M}_Z \overline{\Gamma}_Z |Z_{if(0)}|^2 \,\text{Im} \,\Sigma_{Z(1)}'' \bigg]_{s=\overline{M}_Z^2} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^3) \end{split}$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{ij} = \frac{Z_{ie}Z_{jf}}{1 + \Sigma'_Z} \Big|_{s=s_0}$$

$$= 4I_e^3 I_f^3 \sqrt{F_A^e F_A^f} \Big[Q_i^e Q_j^f + \dots \Big], \qquad Q_V^f = 1 - 4|Q_f| \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^f,$$

$$Q_A^f = 1$$

$$\text{terms related to}$$

$$|\text{m } Z_{ie}, \text{ Im } Z_{jf}, \text{ Im } \Sigma_Z$$

$$\text{and the } \gamma Z \text{ box}$$

$$(n) = \text{loop order}$$

Initial-/final-state radiation

Factorization of massive EW corrections and QED/QCD ISR/FSR:



 \mathcal{R}_{V}^{f} , \mathcal{R}_{A}^{f} : QED/QCD radiation factors; FSR known inclusively to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{4})$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{2})$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_{s})$ Chetyrkin, Kühn, Kwiatkowski '96 Kataev '92; Baikov, Chetyrkin, Kühn, Rittinger '12

ISR via structure functions with LL resummation Kureav, Fadin '85

Montagna, Nicrosini, Piccinini '97

Ablinger, Blümlein, De Freitas, Schönwald '20

or compute exclusively using MC methods,

e.g. KKMC,

SHERPA_YFS,

POWHEG EW

Arbuzov, Jadach, Wąs, Ward, Yost '20

Krauss, Price, Schönherr '22

Barzè, Montagna, Nason, Nicrosini, Piccinini '12,13

Initial-/final-state radiation

Factorization of massive EW corrections and QED/QCD ISR/FSR:



Additional non-factorizable contributions, e.g.



- \rightarrow Incorporated in F_A^f , $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^f$ form factors
- $\begin{cases} & \swarrow_{\gamma} \\ & \searrow_{z} \\ & & & \end{cases} \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Known at } \mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_{\mathsf{S}}) \qquad \mathsf{Czarnecki, Kühn '96} \\ & \mathsf{Harlander, Seidensticker, Steinhauser '98} \end{cases}$

 \rightarrow Currently not known at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ and beyond

ISR-FSR inteference (IFI)

QED soft IR singular pieces for IFI also factorize: $B_{ij(1)} = B_{ij(1)}^{\text{tot}} - \mathcal{M}_{ij(0)} 2Q_e Q_f \Big[R_{e(1)}(t) - R_{e(1)}(u) \Big]$

$$e^{+} \xrightarrow{Z} f = \swarrow + \text{ finite}, \text{ with } \otimes = \swarrow_{z} f^{+} f^{+} = \swarrow_{z} f^{+} f^{+} = \swarrow_{z} f^{+} f^{+} = 0$$

Off-peak contribution

Pole expansion works well in window of few GeV about Z pole, but not beyond

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}^{\exp,s_0} = \frac{R_{ij}}{s - s_0} + S_{ij} + (s - s_0)S'_{ij} + \dots$$

Outside this window, use \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{noexp} without expansion in s and Dyson summation:

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} = \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{\exp,s_0} + \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{\operatorname{noexp}} - \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{\exp,M_Z}$$

To avoid double counting and cancel unphys. pole at $s = \overline{M}_Z^2$ in $\mathcal{M}_{ij}^{\text{noexp}}$:

$$M_{ij}^{\exp,M_{Z}^{2}} = \mathcal{T}_{\alpha} \left\{ \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{\exp,s_{0}} \Big|_{s_{0}} = \overline{M}_{Z}^{2} - i\overline{M}_{Z}\alpha\overline{\Gamma}_{Z(1)} \right\}$$

 \mathcal{T}_x = Taylor operator in x

- See also Dittmaier, Huber '09
- Could also use complex-mass scheme to compute $\mathcal{M}_{ij}^{\mathsf{noexp}}$

Denner, Dittmaier, Roth, Wieders '05; Denner, Dittmaier '06

Off-peak contribution

Implementation in GRIFFIN v1.0:

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}^{\exp,s_0} = \frac{R_{ij}}{s - s_0} + S_{ij} + (s - s_0)S'_{ij} + \dots$$

$$\stackrel{\uparrow}{\underset{\text{@NNLO @NLO}}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\uparrow}{\underset{\text{@NNLO @NLO}}{\longrightarrow}}$$

Off-peak contribution

Implementation in GRIFFIN v1.0:

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}^{\exp,s_0} = \frac{R_{ij}}{s - s_0} + S_{ij} + (s - s_0)S'_{ij} + \dots$$

QED contributions have been subtracted



Implementation in GRIFFIN v1.0:

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}^{\exp,s_0} = \frac{R_{ij}}{s - s_0} + S_{ij} + (s - s_0)S'_{ij} + \dots$$

QED contributions have been subtracted

• SM predictions for EWPOs (Δr , $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^f$, F_A^f) at NNLO+





Sample program

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
#include "EWPOZ2.h"
#include "xscnnlo.h"
#include "SMval.h"
int main()
{
  SMval myinput; // convert masses from PDG values to complex pole scheme
 myinput.set(al, 1/137.03599976);
  myinput.set(MZ, 91.1876);
 myinput.set(MW, 80.377);
 myinput.set(GamZ, 2.4952);
 myinput.set(GamW, 2.085);
  myinput.set(MH, 125.1);
 myinput.set(MT, 172.5);
 myinput.set(MB, 2.87);
 myinput.set(Delal, 0.059);
 myinput.set(als, 0.1179);
  cout << endl << "Complex-pole masses: MW=" << myinput.get(MWc) << ", MZ="</pre>
    << myinput.get(MZc) << endl << endl;
```

Sample program (2)

```
// compute matrix element for ee->dd with vector coupling in initial
// state and vector coupling in final state
int ini = ELE, fin = DQU, iff = VEC, off = VEC;
```

cout << "=== Matrix element for ee->dd (i=e, f=d) ===" << endl << endl;

// compute vertex form factors:

```
FA_SMNNLO FAi(ini, myinput), FAf(fin, myinput);
SW_SMNNLO SWi(ini, myinput), SWf(fin, myinput);
cout << "F_A^i (NNLO+) = " << FAi.result() << endl;
cout << "F_A^f (NNLO+) = " << FAf.result() << endl;
cout << "sineff^i (NNLO+) = " << SWi.result() << endl;
cout << "sineff^f (NNLO+) = " << SWf.result() << endl;
cout << endl;</pre>
```

Sample program (3)

```
double cme, // center-of-mass energy
         cost = 0.5; // scattering angle
  Cplx res1, res2;
  cout << "SM matrix element M_VV for cos(theta)=" << cost << ": " << endl;
  // compute matrix element for ee->dd using SM form factors:
 mat_SMNNLO M(ini, fin, iff, off, FAi, FAf, SWi, SWf, cme*cme, cost,
  myinput);
  cout << "sqrt(s)\t\ttot. result\t\toff-resonance contrib." << endl;</pre>
  for(cme = 10.; cme <= 190.; cme += 20.)
  Ł
   M.setkinvar(cme*cme, cost);
   res1 = M.result();
   res2 = M.resoffZ();
   cout << cme << " \t" << res1 << " \t" << res2 << endl;
  }
 cout << endl;
 return 0:
}
```

Sample program (output)

```
Complex-pole masses: MW=80.35, MZ=91.1535
=== Matrix element for ee->dd (i=e, f=d) ===
F_A^i (NNLO+) = (0.034499,0)
F_A^f (NNLO+) = (0.0345443,0)
sineff^{i} (NNLO+) = (0.231172,0)
sineff^{f}(NNLO+) = (0.230985,0)
SM matrix element M_VV for cos(theta)=0.5:
sqrt(s)
                tot. result
                                         off-resonance contrib.
10
        (0.000316739, -5.58082e-06)
                                          (0.000309429, -5.53734e-06)
30
        (3.53793e-05, -5.99317e-07)
                                         (2.84458e-05, -5.59139e-07)
        (1.25851e-05,-1.90789e-07)
                                         (6.4247e-06, -1.59184e-07)
50
                                         (1.19433e-06,-4.81728e-08)
70
        (6.07798e-06, -5.97311e-08)
        (-7.31188e-07, -3.55673e-06)
                                          (8.7104e-09,-1.80673e-09)
90
110
        (3.14635e-06, -1.62001e-07)
                                         (4.59289e-07,1.10821e-08)
        (2.12596e-06, -7.90095e-08)
                                         (1.82894e-06, 1.92144e-08)
130
150
        (1.5668e-06, -5.34561e-08)
                                         (3.83515e-06, 2.49419e-08)
170
        (1.20884e-06, -3.97403e-08)
                                         (6.35319e-06,2.97998e-08)
        (9.60973e-07, -3.33532e-08)
                                          (9.31833e-06,3.12732e-08)
190
```

Comparison GRIFFIN 1.0 vs. DIZET 6.45

19/21

Numerical Results:

$$|\rho_Z^f| = \frac{2\sqrt{2}F_A^f}{G_\mu M_Z^2}$$

	$ ho_Z^f $		$\sin^2 heta^f_{ m eff}$		$\Gamma_{Z \to f\bar{f}}$	
	Dizet 6.45	GRIFFIN	Dizet 6.45	GRIFFIN	Dizet 6.45	GRIFFIN
νī	1.00800	1.00814	0.231119	NAN	0.167206	0.167197
$\ell\bar\ell$	1.00510	1.00519	0.231500	0.231534	0.083986	0.083975
$u\bar{u}$	1.00578	1.00573	0.231393	0.231420	0.299938	0.299958
$d\bar{d}$	1.00675	1.00651	0.231266	0.231309	0.382877	0.382846
$b\bar{b}$	0.99692	0.99420	0.232737	0.23292	0.376853	0.377432

	Dizet 6.45	GRIFFIN all orders	$egin{aligned} & ext{GRIFFIN} \ & \mathcal{O}(lpha, lpha^2, lpha_t lpha_s, lpha_t lpha_s^2) \end{aligned}$
Δr	$3.63947 imes 10^{-2}$	3.68836×10^{-2}	$3.63987 imes 10^{-2}$

Not a one-one-one match. (no leading N3LO implemented in dizet v.6.45)

- most numbers are in agreement up to at least **4-digit**. The actual discrepancy is in the realm of missing N3(4)LO.
- fictitious discrepancies stem from the input scheme/definition of the form factors/EWPOs.

Comparison GRIFFIN 1.0 vs. DIZET 6.45





- $= \lesssim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ agreement near Z-pole (~NNLO precision)
- %-level agreement away from Z pole (NLO prec., different implementations)

[Note: enhanced corrections when tree-level matrix element is small]

<u>Outlook</u>

GRIFFIN v1.0: $f\bar{f} \rightarrow f'\bar{f}'$ with NLO EW corrections and h.o. @ Z-pole

Future upgrades:

- Bbhabha scattering (f = f')
- Higher-order off-resonance corrections, e.g. $\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_{\rm S})$, Heller, v.Manteuffel, Schabinger, Spiesberger '20 Bonciani et al. '21
- SMEFT d=6 operator effects
- W production and decay (a.k.a. charged-current DY)

Try out the code: github.com/lisongc/GRIFFIN/releases Feedback welcome!

Backup slides

Implementation of higher-order corrections

Co	orrections ente	ring throug	ςh $\delta \rho$:
	drho2aas	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathrm{t}}\alpha_{\mathrm{s}})$	[3, 4]
	drho2a2	$\mathcal{O}(lpha_{ m t}^2)$	[5-9]
*	drho3aas2	$\mathcal{O}(lpha_{ m t} lpha_{ m s}^2)$	[10, 11]
*	drho3a2as	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\rm t}^2 \alpha_{\rm s})$	[12, 13]
*	drho3a3	${\cal O}(lpha_{ m t}^3)$	[12, 13]
*	drho4aas3	$\mathcal{O}(lpha_{ m t} lpha_{ m s}^3)$	[14-16]
Fu	all corrections	to F_A^f , \sin^2	θ_{eff}^{f} :
*	res2ff	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_f^2)$	[17-19]
*	res2fb	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_f \alpha_b)$	[17-20]
*	res2bb	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_b^2)$	[21-25]
*	res2aas	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_{\rm s})$	[26–28] (correction to internal gauge-boson self-energies)
*	res2aasnf	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_{\rm s})$	[29–34] (non-factorizable final-state corrections for $f = q$)
*	res3fff	$\mathcal{O}(lpha_f^3)$	[35]
*	res3ffa2as	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_f^2 \alpha_s)$	[36]

Z lineshape

Deconvolution of initial-state QED radiation:

 $\sigma[e^+e^- \to f\bar{f}] = \mathcal{R}_{\text{ini}}(s,s') \otimes \sigma_{\text{hard}}(s')$

Kureav, Fadin '85 Berends, Burgers, v. Neerven '88 Kniehl, Krawczyk, Kühn, Stuart '88 Beenakker, Berends, v. Neerven '89 Bardin et al. '91; Skrzypek '92 Montagna, Nicrosini, Piccinini '97

Soft photons (resummed) + collinear photons

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{ini}} = \sum_{n} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n} h_{nm} \ln^{m} \left(\frac{s}{m_{\text{e}}^{2}}\right)$$

Universal (m=n) logs known to n = 6, also some sub-leading terms Ablinger, Blümlein, De Freitas, Schönwald '20

Exclusive description: MC tools

