





### **Two Photon Absorption – Transient Current Technique**

Results of TCAD Simulation of TPA-TCT in a pad detector & Influence of Radiation Damage on the TPA-TCT

Marcos Fernández García<sup>1,2</sup>, Michael Moll<sup>1</sup>, Sebastian Pape<sup>1,3</sup>, Moritz Wiehe<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup>CERN <sup>2</sup>Instituto de Física de Cantabria <sup>3</sup>TU Dortmund University

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1334364/

29.11.2023

43<sup>rd</sup> RD50 workshop – S. Pape at CERN





Federal Ministry of Education and Research





### **Table of content**

### Part I

Results of TCAD simulation of TPA-TCT in a pad detector



### Part II Continuation of my 42nd RD50 talk

Comparison between neutron, proton, and gamma irradiated samples

Influence on the linear absorption coefficient and refractive index

Radiation damage and the TPA-TCT





# **Part I** Results of TCAD Simulation of TPA-TCT in a pad detector



29.11.2023





Plots

from

ŝ

Pape

Thesis (2024)

# **TCAD simulation of TPA-TCT: Definitions**



- The threshold is defined as a certain fraction of the amplitude
- Calculated individually for each waveform



- Beginning of signal: found by fit to the rising edge
- PC: Current at a given time  $t_{pc}$  after the beginning
- $Q_{\text{coll}}$ : Integral of the current transient until a given time  $t_{\text{coll}}$
- Weighted prompt current:  $I(t_{pc}) / Q_{coll}$

Details: https://doi.org/10.3390/s23020962





### **Closer look on the ToT profile:**

- Where do the maxima at the boundaries originate from?
  - → Expectation: ToT is constant beyond the boundaries as charge is deposited at the maximum positions







### **Closer look on the ToT profile:**

- Where do the maxima at the boundaries originate from?
  - → Expectation: ToT is constant beyond the boundaries as charge is deposited at the maximum positions
  - → The maxima originate from the assumption that the focal point positions aligns with the position of the dominant contribution to the current transient



#### TPA charge carrier density along depth:



The median is central when the full distribution is inside the active volume.

#### **Definition:**

The median is the position of the main contribution to the charge generation. It is calculated as the position where the integral of the excess charge carrier density reaches 50%.





### **Closer look on the ToT profile:**

- Where do the maxima at the boundaries originate from?
  - → Expectation: ToT is constant beyond the boundaries as charge is deposited at the maximum positions
  - → The maxima originate from the assumption that the focal point positions aligns with the position of the dominant contribution to the current transient



#### TPA charge carrier density along depth:



The median is central when the full distribution is inside the active volume, **but the median position shifts when one is close to the boundaries.** 

This causes the ToT to become "symmetric" around the boundaries!





### **Closer look on the ToT profile:**

- Where do the maxima at the boundaries originate from?
  - → Expectation: ToT is constant beyond the boundaries as charge is deposited at the maximum positions
  - → The maxima originate from the assumption that the focal point positions aligns with the position of the dominant contribution to the current transient

TPA charge carrier density along depth:



The median is central when the full distribution is inside the active volume, **but the median position shifts when one is close to the boundaries.** 

This causes the ToT to become "symmetric" around the boundaries!

43<sup>rd</sup> RD50 workshop – S. Pape



### 29.11.2023

Plots

from

S. Pape

Thesis

(2024)





### **Closer look on the WPC profile:**

1) Where do the valleys at the boundaries originate from?

- $\rightarrow$  Expectation: E-Field of PIN is linear and is maximal at the top side
- $\rightarrow$  Depth of valleys increases with the  $t_{\rm pc}$







### **Closer look on the WPC profile:**

1) Where do the valleys at the boundaries originate from?

- $\rightarrow$  Expectation: E-Field of PIN is linear and is maximal at the top side
- $\rightarrow$  Depth of valleys increases with the  $t_{\rm pc}$
- $\rightarrow$  It is not an effect of the readout electronics as it also appears in the non-convoluted WPC









### **Closer look on the WPC profile:**

1) Where do the valleys at the boundaries originate from?

- $\rightarrow$  Expectation: E-Field of PIN is linear and is maximal at the top side
- $\rightarrow$  Depth of valleys increases with the  $t_{\rm pc}$
- $\rightarrow$  It is not an effect of the readout electronics as it also appears in the non-convoluted WPC



1) Valleys are related charge collection during  $t_{pc} \rightarrow not$  all the injected carriers contribute to the transient current at  $t_{pc} \rightarrow lower$  prompt current





### **Closer look on the WPC profile:**

1) Where do the valleys at the boundaries originate from?

- $\rightarrow$  Expectation: E-Field of PIN is linear and is maximal at the top side
- $\rightarrow$  Depth of valleys increases with the  $t_{\rm pc}$
- $\rightarrow$  It is not an effect of the readout electronics as it also appears in the non-convoluted WPC
- 2) Where does the symmetry around the boundaries comes from?



1) Valleys are related charge collection during  $t_{pc} \rightarrow not$  all the injected carriers contribute to the transient current at  $t_{pc} \rightarrow lower$  prompt current





### **Closer look on the WPC profile:**

1) Where do the valleys at the boundaries originate from?

- $\rightarrow$  Expectation: E-Field of PIN is linear and is maximal at the top side
- $\rightarrow$  Depth of valleys increases with the  $t_{\rm pc}$
- $\rightarrow$  It is not an effect of the readout electronics as it also appears in the non-convoluted WPC
- 2) Where does the symmetry around the boundaries comes from?



- 1) Valleys are related charge collection during  $t_{pc} \rightarrow not$  all the injected carriers contribute to the transient current at  $t_{pc} \rightarrow lower$  prompt current
- 2) Same argument as for the symmetry in the ToT  $\rightarrow$  median of the excess charge distribution shifts at boundaries





# **Part II** Influence of Radiation Damage on the TPA-TCT





# **Details about the used samples**

#### **Design of the planar sensors:**



CiS16 FZ planar diodes, p-type, >10k $\Omega$ ·cm, 2.632×2.632mm<sup>2</sup> active area

Thickness [µm]	Type of irradiation	Facility	Fluence	Annealing
300	Neutron	TRIGA JSI	$\leq 7.02 \times 10^{15}$ n / cm2	10 min @ 60°C 6600 min @ 20 °C
156	Neutron	TRIGA JSI	$\leq 7.02 \times 10^{15}$ n / cm2	10 min @ 60 °C 6600 min @ 20 °C
156	Proton	CERN PS (23GeV)	$\leq 1.17 \times 10^{16}$ p / cm2	10 min @ 60 °C 6600 min @ 20 °C
156	Gamma	IRB Zagreb ( <sup>60</sup> Co)	< 200 Mrad	None

Measurement temperature: (-20±0.1) °C Humidity: flushed with dry air (~0%)

43<sup>rd</sup> RD50 workshop – S. Pape



29.11.2023

metal





# **Influence of radiation damage on the TPA-TCT**

 $\rightarrow$  Radiation damage can introduce new energy levels in the band gap that trap charge carriers



- $\rightarrow$  Trapped charge carriers can be excited by a single 1550 nm photon
- $\rightarrow$  This enables a parasitic single photon absorption component to the TPA-TCT measurement
  - In depth measurements of neutron irradiated PINs:

- → Additional SPA component is found as a offset, as it is not depth dependent  $Q_{\text{SPA}}(z) = \text{const}$
- $\rightarrow$  Different methods to correct this SPA component were developed



#### **In-depth scans:**



### **Neutron & proton irradiation:**

- $\rightarrow$  Both lead to a SPA offset
- $\rightarrow$  Charge loss depends on depth position of charge deposition
- $\rightarrow$  for the picked fluence they both show a double junction (see prompt current plots in the backup)

### **In-depth scans** (SPA corrected):



technische universität

dortmund

### **Gamma** irradiation:

- $\rightarrow$  No SPA offset visible!
- $\rightarrow$  Charge loss is constant throughout the device depth

#### 29.11.2023





# Neutron & Proton irradiated PIN: Prompt current profiles

**Neutron irradiation** 7.02·10<sup>15</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>:



**23 GeV proton irradiation**  $1.17 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ p/cm}^2$ ( $\Phi_{eq} \approx 7.25 \cdot 10^{15}/\text{cm}^2$ ):

 $\rightarrow$  Both detectors show a double junction, but the maximum of electric field and growth direction appears on opposite sites





# Neutron & Proton irradiated PIN: Prompt current profiles

**Neutron irradiation** 7.02·10<sup>15</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>:



**23 GeV proton irradiation**  $1.17 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ p/cm}^2$ ( $\Phi_{eq} \approx 7.25 \cdot 10^{15}/\text{cm}^2$ ):

 $\rightarrow$  Both detectors show a double junction, but the maximum of electric field and growth direction appears on opposite sites

- $\rightarrow$  Proton irradiated device appears inverted compared to the neutron irradiated one.
- $\rightarrow$  "Space charge sign inversion" in FZ p-type at high proton irradiation!

29.11.2023

Plots from S.

Pape Thesis (2024)





# Influence of irradiation on the linear absorption coefficient $\alpha$

Irradiation changes the linear absorption [Fan et al., Fretwurst et al.].

 $\rightarrow$  The absorption coefficient is linked to the amount of generated charge and measured by the collected charge:

$$\alpha_{\rm eff} = -\frac{1}{d} \ln \left( 1 - Q_{\rm SPA} \frac{\hbar \omega}{eE_{\rm p}} \right) \qquad \qquad Q_{\rm SPA}: \text{ charge only generated by linear absorption} \\ \text{d: device thickness}$$

If all charge is collected,  $\alpha_{eff} = \alpha_{irr}$  as defined by Fretwurst et al.





# Influence of irradiation on the linear absorption coefficient $\alpha$

Irradiation changes the linear absorption [Fan et al., Fretwurst et al.].

 $\rightarrow$  The absorption coefficient is linked to the amount of generated charge and measured by the collected charge:

$$\alpha_{\rm eff} = -\frac{1}{d} \ln \left( 1 - Q_{\rm SPA} \frac{\hbar \omega}{eE_{\rm p}} \right) \qquad \qquad Q_{\rm SPA}: \text{charge only generated by linear absorption} \\ \text{d: device thickness}$$

If all charge is collected,  $\alpha_{eff} = \alpha_{irr}$  as defined by Fretwurst et al.

### $\alpha_{\text{eff}}$ for different thicknesses and fluences:



- Increasing bias voltages saturate the  $\alpha_{\text{eff}}$ , when charge loss saturates
- Higher thickness increases the needed voltage to saturate the *Q*<sub>SPA</sub>
- Thickness should not influence  $\alpha_{eff}$
- Highest fluences do not saturate *Q*<sub>SPA</sub>

29.11.2023



29.11.2023

Plots from

ŝ

# Influence of irradiation on the linear absorption coefficient $\alpha$

Irradiation changes the linear absorption [Fan et al., Fretwurst et al.].

 $\rightarrow$  The absorption coefficient is linked to the amount of generated charge and measured by the collected charge:

$$\alpha_{\rm eff} = -\frac{1}{d} \ln \left( 1 - Q_{\rm SPA} \frac{\hbar \omega}{eE_{\rm p}} \right) \qquad \qquad Q_{\rm SPA}: \text{ charge only generated by linear absorption} \\ \text{d: device thickness}$$

If all charge is collected,  $\alpha_{eff} = \alpha_{irr}$  as defined by Fretwurst et al.

### $\alpha_{\text{eff}}$ for different thicknesses and fluences:



- Increasing bias voltages saturate the  $\alpha_{\text{eff}}$ , when charge loss saturates
- Higher thickness increases the needed voltage to saturate the *Q*<sub>SPA</sub>
- Thickness should not influence  $\alpha_{eff}$
- Highest fluences do not saturate  $Q_{\text{SPA}}$
- Proton and neutron irradiation leads to similar results → Cluster defect related [Fretwurst et al.]

43<sup>rd</sup> RD50 workshop – S. Pape



#### Citations: H.Y. Fan and A.K. Ramdas - Infrared Absorption and Photoconductivity in Irradiated Silicon

E. Fretwurst et al. - Study of the  $V_2^0$  state in neutron-

irradiated silicon using photon-absorption measurements 23





### **Influence of irradiation on the refractive index**

The laser beam moves different in silicon and air, due to the higher refractive index. The scaling factor  $s=z_{\rm Si}/z$  is related to the refractive index:

$$n^2=\sqrt{\frac{(\gamma-s^2)^2}{4}+\gamma}-\frac{\gamma-s^2}{2}\,,$$

with  $\gamma = (\lambda s^2)/(\pi z_{\rm R})$  .





### Influence of irradiation on the refractive index

The laser beam moves different in silicon and air, due to the higher refractive index. The scaling factor  $s = z_{\rm Si}/z$  is related to the refractive index:

$$n^2 = \sqrt{\frac{(\gamma - s^2)^2}{4} + \gamma} - \frac{\gamma - s^2}{2} \,,$$

with  $\gamma = (\lambda s^2)/(\pi z_{\rm B})$ .

Extracting the device thickness and comparing them to the non-irrad. device allows to calculate the change in the refractive index.

Changes in *n* for fluences up to  $\Phi_{eq} = 3.32 \cdot 10^{14} / \text{cm}^2$  and doses up to 186 Mrad are at least < 5.5 %.

Uncertainty in the measurements is large, but shows that changes in *n* are at least not dominant.

**Extracted refractive index:** Reactor neutrons ---- 23 GeV protons



Plot from



### Summary

- TPA-TCT measurements can be simulated with TCAD
  - $\rightarrow$  Measurements in a pad detector are well reproduced  $\rightarrow$  all qualitative features found
- Prompt current (PC) and weighted PC have problems at the device boundaries
  - → Charge collection during  $t_{pc}$  lowers the PC; shorter  $t_{pc}$  help to reduce the problems, but are limited by readout electronics
- Systematic study of influence of radiation damage on the TPA-TCT  $\rightarrow$  n, p,  $\gamma$  irradiation
- "Space charge sign inversion" in proton irradiated FZ p-type ( $\Phi_{eq} \approx 7.25 \cdot 10^{15}$ /cm<sup>2</sup>)
- Effective linear absorption coefficient similar at same  $\Phi_{eq}$  for neutron and proton irrad. devices  $\rightarrow$  Cluster damage related
- Medium fluences/doses ( $\Phi_{eq} = 3.32 \cdot 10^{14}$ /cm<sup>2</sup> and 186 Mrad) do not significantly change the refractive index *n*



**FRI** 

Rad. damage

# Thank you!

This work has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under GA no 101004761 (AIDAinnova) and the Wolfgang Gentner Programme of the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grant no. 13E18CHA).

43<sup>rd</sup> RD50 workshop – S. Pape



Federal Ministry of Education and Research





# BACKUP





### **TPA-TCT: Setup & Calibration**

### Sketch of the TPA-TCT setup at CERN SSD:

#### **Calibration:**

Pulse energy against generated charge (in a 300 µm PIN):



M. Wiehe et al.:

29.11.2023

Development of a Tabletop Setup for the Transient Current Technique Using Two-Photon Absorption in Silicon Particle Detectors



29.11.2023



### **TPA-TCT setup at CERN SSD**









### **TPA-TCT setup: Inside of the Faraday cage**

