

## MD10703: Ions BB limit varying the crossing angles

G. Sterbini, R. Bruce, S. Kostoglou, M. Hostettler

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1335055/

## <u>MD10703</u>: Ions BB limit varying the crossing angles→ 8 h (not including the validation time)

As for protons, there is an interest in reduce the crossing angle of the ions (in IP1/2/5/8). Simulations show significant margin if we adopt the proton metric (DA>6 sigma) but the DA/MD benchmarking with ions is limited. More on <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1078695</u>.

MD benefits:

- 1. Potential performance gain ( $\theta_X$  and  $\beta *$  reduction)
- 2. Simulation benchmarking for ions studies

We request a 8 h (+2 h for validation if needed) MD to make one  $\theta_x$ -scan in IP1/2/5/8 with  $\beta^*$ =50/50/50/150 cm

#### lons DA simulations with reduced $\theta_X$



Courtesy of S. Kostoglou



# **Filling scheme proposal**

- 5 x 8b per batch, 4 batches per beam, 320b in total (detail here).
- Bunches with nominal parameters (emittance/intensity).

50ns_160b_160_80_73_40bpi_PbPb Save [ Ctrl-s ]   Injection spacing : @00 © ns   AG keeper : 32461   Particle Type B1 : protons    Particle Type B2 : protons	Load [ Ctrl-1 ] Bunch spacing : 25 0 ns No. Batches : 3 0 Batch length : 48 0 Batch spacing : 200 0 ns Advanced Injections : advanced	Beam Info Bunches B1/B2 160 / 160 Injections B1/B2 4 / 4	CollisionsATLAS/CMS160ALICE80 (50.0%)LHCb73 (45.6%)Non Colliding B10Non Colliding B20
pp physics CHCb ripple through Pre-fill Add Injection	Deselect all Delete Selection Toggle Injection Type for selection	B1 classes : 0:0 1:47 B2 classes : 0:0 1:40	7 2:0 3:40 4:0 5:33 6:0 7:40 0 2:0 3:47 4:0 5:40 6:0 7:33
possible LHCb collisions possible ALICE collis	Sions Optimal AGK setting: 33561		



		IP1	IP2	IP5	IP8
WD Steps (I)	$\beta^*$ (m)	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5
	crossing plane	V	V	Η	Η
From INC 171 machine nominal ID configurations is	spectrometer half crossing ( $\mu$ rad)	0	$\mp$ 72	0	-139
From <u>LINC 471</u> , machine nominal IP configurations is	external half crossing ( $\mu$ rad)	170	$\pm 170$	170	-135
	net half crossing ( $\mu$ rad)	170	$\pm$ 98	170	-274
	spectrometer polarity	-	pos/neg	-	pos

- STEP 1: Go to collisions with a fully nominal configuration.
- STEP 2: Once in collisions, optimized and in a stable situation, load (discrete) limits for the TCTs that are open to allow crossing angle reductions.
- STEP 3: We reduce with 5/10 urad steps the IP1/5, IP2 and IP8 crossing angles using the orchestration tools (tested during the night of 21-22 Sept, <u>F9177</u>). If losses occurs → optimize the tunes
  - The target is to explore down to 50-70 urad external crossing angle

This implies to potentially reaching down to ~200 urad in IP8 (could potentially go significantly lower), 70 urad in IP1/5 and even towards 0 in IP2. If we observe parasitic collisions before detrimental effects from beam-beam, it is also an important input.





Step 3 will be iterated 5-7 times.

As main online observable we consider the bbb lifetime. Offline analysis will consider also effective crosssection (using bbb lumiinosity, FBCT or dBLM). If very detrimental effects are observed on the beam, stop the scan.

- STEP 4: End the cycle with an emittance scan.
- STEP 5: Dump.



### Thank you for your attention.





home.cern