Riding the Dark Matter Wave: General dark photon limits from LISA Pathfinder

Check out the full story here



Based on 2310.06017

Jonas Frerick^{1*} Joerg Jaeckel² Felix Kahlhoefer³ Kai Schmidt-Hoberg¹

¹Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, DESY, Hamburg ²Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg ³Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics (TTP), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsru

Find me if I'm gone

*jonas.frerick@desy.de

1. General Dark Photons

Recently, the interest in light (DM) candidates has increased, and a standout example of such a WISPy candidate is the Dark Photon (DP) associated to a dark $U(1)_X$



Kinetic mixing (Suppressed by plasma effects in direct detection)

Direct coupling to some current

We chose to gauge SM global symmetries for the current. Maybe the most prominent example is gauging B-L which will be our focus. Nevertheless, our work is applicable to more general choices [1]. We have dubbed this larger class of DPs **general Dark Photons**.

Sketch of the LPF setup. The two TMs are shown in their housings. Red arrows on the TMs and spacecraft indicate the different Lorentz forces. (Copyright: ESA/ATG medialab)



<u>3. Sensitivity & Advantages of LISA Pathfinder</u>

The LISA Pathfinder (LPF) mission tested technology feasibility for space-borne GW interferometry. The key facts are:

ably I'm somewhere h

- the LPF science module houses two AuPt alloy test masses (TMs)
 - mass: 2kg
 - relative distance: 40cm
- an onboard laser interferometer measures the relative acceleration $S_a^{1/2}$
- signal expectation: no net motion ($\Delta(q/M)=0$)

The workaround we found involves utilizing the module's **auxiliary channels**:

- space craft (SC) has a different charge-to-mass ratio than the TMs (conservatively $\Delta(q/M)>0.018\,({\rm GeV})^{-1}$)
- sensitivity on more than one axis
- amplitude spectral densities (ASDs) are readily available for a simplified analysis
 [4] to plug into the SNR



ASDs for all three sensitive axes in LPF, in comparison to the main channel (TM-TM).

since this measurement can only observe the gradient in the Lorentz force

 10^{-5} 10^{-4} 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{-1} 10^{0} Frequency f [Hz]

2. Signals of general Dark Photon Dark Matter

Ultralight Dark Photon Dark Matter (DPDM) benefits from diverse DM production mechanisms spanning fuzzy ($\simeq 10^{-21}$ eV) to light ($\simeq 1$ eV) DM masses. In the this regime, DM can be described by a classical wave

 $\mathbf{A}(x,t) = \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{DM}}e^{-i\omega t + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \phi} .$ This field is: • non-relativistic $v = k/\omega \approx k/m \simeq 10^{-3}$ • coherent $\lambda_c \simeq 2\pi/(mv) \& t_c = 2\pi/(mv^2)$ • exercising a quasi-Lorentz force on charges q with mass M $\mathbf{a}(t) \simeq i\omega\epsilon_g e \frac{q}{M} \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{DM}} e^{-i\omega t} = i\epsilon_g e \frac{q}{M} \sqrt{2\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_A e^{-i\omega t}$ $\Rightarrow \Delta a_i = \epsilon_g e \left(\Delta \frac{q}{M}\right) \sqrt{2\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}} \cos \theta_{A,i} .$ Wave DM density with coherence structure (image from [5])

This is our theory prediction for the DPDM signal at an accelerometer [2,3]. We get the following **signal-to-noise ratio (SNR)** for the induced acceleration

$$SNR = \frac{\Delta a_i}{S_a^{1/2}(f)} \sqrt{T_{eff}}$$
$$T_{eff} = \begin{cases} T_{obs} , & T_{obs} \leq t_c \\ \sqrt{T_{obs}t_c} , & T_{obs} > t_c \end{cases}.$$

Side notes: $ho_{
m DM}\sim 0.4\,{
m GeV}/{
m cm}^3$ $q=N_{
m neutron}$



Compilation of B-L **DP(DM) limits**. Previous direct detection limits are displayed in red, with our limits in blue. Additionally, the optimistic LISA forecast offers further reach and complements earlier projections.



LPF's journey to L1, starting in December 2015. L1 was reached in January 2016. Data taking began in March 2016 and ended in June 2017. (Copyright: ESA/ATG medialab) Using the aforementioned simplifications and assumptions, we can set a conservative yet **world-leading limit on** B-L **DPDM**.

A planned, more rigorous approach requires a detailed analysis of the **publicly available data**, taking into account the orbit and the directions of the spacecraft axes w.r.t. the DM polarization as well as theory considerations of the DP distribution.

Furthermore, this idea can also be **studied for LISA** directly which will most likely feature even more sensitive main and auxiliary channels as indicated in our naive forecast.

References

- [1] M. Bauer, P. Foldenauer, J. Jaeckel, Hunting All the Hidden Photons, JHEP 07 (2018) 094. arXiv:1803.05466
- [2] P. W. Graham, D. E. Kaplan, J. Mardon, S. Rajendran, W. A. Terrano, Dark Matter Direct Detection with Accelerometers, Phys. Rev. D 93 (7) (2016) 075029. arXiv:1512.06165
- [3] A. Pierce, K. Riles, Y. Zhao, Searching for Dark Photon Dark Matter with Gravitational Wave Detectors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (6) (2018) 061102. arXiv:1801.10161
- [4] M. Armano, et al., LISA Pathfinder Platform Stability and Drag-free Performance, Phys. Rev. D 99 (8) (2019) 082001. arXiv:1812.05491
- [5] M. Nori, M. Baldi, AX-GADGET: a new code for cosmological simulations of Fuzzy Dark Matter and Axion models, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 478, 3935 (2018). arxiv:1801.08144

