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## DIVING INTO "UNOBSERVABLE" PAST



Phase transition $\sim 10^{-11} s$ after $B B$

## BIG BANG

The Universe has expanded and cooled ever since

THE BEGINNING The Universe begins 13.7 sillion years ago with an even nown as the Big Bang, Both time and space art created in this event.

UNOBSERVABLE UNIVERSE (PAST)

FRACTION OF A SECOND Rapid expansion occurs during a billionth of a billionth of a billionth of a billionth of a second - the visible Universe is the size of a grapefruit.

## 1 SECOND

 The Large Hadron Collider at CERN is recreating the conditions thatprevailed a fraction of'a second 'after the Big Bang.

## 100-1000 <br> SECONDS

 Nuclei of hydrogen helium, lithium and other light elemients form.300,000. YEARS We can detect radiation from the early formation of the Universe, back as this the Universe is opaque: it's as if a vel has been pulled over it.

## POTENTIALLY OBSERVABLE UNIVERSE (PAST)

## A FEW HUNDRED MILLION YEARS

Matter clumps together under its own gravity forming the first protogalaxies and within them, the first stars.
Stars are nuclear furnaces in which heavier elements such as carbon, oxygen, silicon and iron are formed. Massive'stars exploding as supernovae create even heavier elements. Such explosions send material into space ready to be incorporated into future generations of stars and planets.

A FEW BILLION YEARS Initially, the expansion of the $L$ few billion years after the Big accelerate. The acceleration is completely unknown

## GRAVITATIONAL WAVES FROM A PT


[figure credit: Christopher Moore, Robert Cole and Christopher Berry]

EXPERIMENT: HIGGS EXISTS


THEORY: NO VEV IN THE PAST


## PHASE TRANSITION HAPPENED!



STOCHASTIC GRAVITATIONALWAVE BACKGROUND FROM FIRST-ORDER PHASE TRANSITIONS
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## In SM the PT is crossover.

The search for a first-order PT is a search for New Physics!

## FIRST-ORDER PT CANNOT BE SM-LIKE
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Scalar extensions of the SM (large coupling)

PT for a new field

[^1]
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## PHASE TRANSITION: ORDINARY VS SUPERCOOLED


[C. Caprini et al., LISA CosWG, JCAP 03 (2020) 024 ]

[M. Kierkla, BŚ, T.V.I. Tenkanen, J. van de Vis, JHEP 02 (2024) 234]

## COSMOLOGICAL

FIRST-ORDER PHASE TRANSITION


NUCLEATION

$$
\Gamma=A_{\mathrm{dyn}} \cdot A_{\mathrm{stat}}=A_{\mathrm{dyn}} \cdot A_{\mathrm{det}} \cdot \exp (-S)
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## HOW FAR WILL YOU DARE TO GO?

Daisy resummation

[P. Arnold, O. Espinosa, Phys.Rev.D 47 (1993)
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## HOW FAR WILL YOU DARE TO GO?

Daisy resummation


# Now available in a public code DRalgo 

[A. Ekstedt, T.V.I. Tenkanen, P. Schicho,

Comput.Phys.Commun. 288 (2023) 108725]

## DR FOR SUPERCOOLED PT
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## BUBBLE-WALL VELOCITY

FOR GW AND BARYOGENESIS


## WALL VELOCITY


[G. D. Moore and T. Prokopec, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 7182-7204,
Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 777-780,
B. Laurent and J. M. Cline, Phys. Rev. D 102 no. 6, (2020) 063516,

Phys. Rev. D 106 no. 2, (2022) 023501,
G. C. Dorsch, S. J. Huber, and T. Konstandin, JCAP 12 (2018) 034]

## RUNAWAY BUBBLES?



[D. Bodeker and G. D. Moore, JCAP 05 (2009)<br>009, JCAP 05 (2017) 025,<br>S. Höche, J. Kozaczuk, A. J. Long, J. Turner, and Y. Wang, JCAP 03 (2021) 009<br>Y. Gouttenoire, R. Jinno, and F. Sala, JHEP 05 (2022) 004 ]
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[M. Kierkla, A. Karam, BŚ, JHEP 03 (2023) 007

## RUNAWAY BUBBLES?

$$
\beta R_{\mathrm{eq}}
$$

$\longrightarrow 0.05-0.50-5.00-50.00-0.0$

Bubbles, $T_{r r} \propto R^{-3}$


Fluid, $T_{r r} \propto R^{-3}, v_{\text {shell }}=1$


## IS LOCAL THERMAL EQUILIBRIUM USEFUL?
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## WHAT WILL WE <br> LEARN FROM OBSERVATIONS?



## PARAMETER RECONSTRUCTION WITH LISA
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[C. Caprini at al., LISA CosWG, 2403.03723]

## GRAVITATIONAL WAVE DETECTORS


[figure credit: Christopher Moore, Robert Cole and Christopher Berry]

## HAVE WE ALREADY SEEN A SIGNAL IN PTA?



## HAVE WE ALREADY SEEN A SIGNAL


[Y. Gouttenoire, Phys.Rev.Lett. 131 (2023) 17]

OTHER EFFECTS OF PT
PRIMORDIAL BLACK HOLES AND SECONDARY GW


## PRIMORDIAL BLACK HOLES

a)
c)


Old vacuum-dominated region (outside bubbles)

New radiation-dominated region (inside bubbles)
[Y. Gouttenoire, T. Volansky, 2305.04942 [hep-ph]]

Too slow PTs excluded due to overabundance of PBH .

## secondary Gravitational waves


[M. Lewicki, P. Toczek, V. Vaskonen, 2402.04158]

## secondary gravitational wave



See poster ttalk of Piotr Toczek

[^3]SUMMARY


## SUMMARY

## SUMMARY

Progress in (non)perturbative treatment of PTs. More needed!

## SUMMARY

Progress in
(non)perturbative treatment of PTs. More needed!

We can learn about New Physics using GWs from PTs.

## SUMMARY

Progress in (non)perturbative treatment of PTs. More needed!

We can learn about New Physics using GWs from PTs.

Other interesting phenomena associated with the PT
(baryogenesis, DM, PBH).
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