Possible configurations for multicell RF structures for muon cooling

Alexej Grudiev (CERN)

18/01/2024

Outline

- Introduction
- Different type of RF coupling
 - Distributed RF coupling
 - Magnetic (inductive) cell-to-cell RF coupling
 - Electric (capacitive) cell-to-cell RF coupling
- Standing and Travelling wave RF structures
- Conclusions

RF system for muon cooling (MAP design)

Summarized from: David Neuffer Chris Rogers

Region	Length [m]	N of cavities	Frequencie s [MHz]	Gradient [MV/m]	Magnetic field [T]	Peak RF power [MW/cav.]	Ionisation Cooling
Buncher	21	54	490 - 366	0 - 15		1.3	
Rotator	24	64	366 – 326	20		2.4	Absorber Absorber MILLING
Initial Cooler	126	360	325	25	2	3.7	
Cooler 1	400	1605 x2	325, 650	22, 30	2-3, 4-6	4, 2	a) 1.0 LH wedge coils 0.8 + 325 MHz -
Bunch merge	130	26 x2	108 - 1950	~ 10			0.6
Cooler 2	420	1746 x2	325, 650	22, 30	2-5, 8-13	4, 2	0.4
Final Cooling	140	96 x2	325 - 20				
Total	~1300	7424 🗖				=> ~20GW	-0.2 -

It is a very large and complex RF system

-0.6

-0.8

-1.0

0.0

+

0.5

1.0

1.5

z (m)

2.0

2.5

Muon cooling demonstrator layout (2023)





Muon cooling demonstrator layout (2023) High peak power klystron: 24 MW



Distributed RF coupling

Advantages:

- Minimum RF power per cell, highest gradient
- Arbitrary cell-to-cell RF phase advance, high transit time factor
- No RF phase shifters, less active components
- Compatible with beam window, high R/Q



Disadvantages:

- It is still a bulky waveguide distribution system
- It still require RF coupler and cryo-module feed-through per cell
- Cell-to-cell RF phase advance is fixed, beam energy variation is limited



18/01/2024

modulator

klystr

on

G

Magnetic (inductive) cell-to-cell RF coupling

Advantages:

- Single RF coupler and cryo-module feedthrough
- Compact RF waveguide network
- Single RF cavity unit: RF structure
- Compatible with beam window, high R/Q

Disadvantages:

- Highest power in the RF coupler
- Cell-to-cell RF phase advance is fixed, beam energy variation is limited
- Cell-to-cell RF phase advance is π, lower transit time factor





modulator

klystr

on

G

Electrical (Capacitive) cell-to-cell RF coupling

Advantages:

- Single RF coupler and cryo-module feedthrough
- Compact RF waveguide network
- Single RF cavity unit: RF structure



Disadvantages:

- Highest power in the RF coupler
- Cell-to-cell RF phase advance is fixed, beam energy variation is limited
- Cell-to-cell RF phase advance is 180 degree: Pi-mode, lower transit time factor
- NON-Compatible with beam window, lower R/Q
- High electric field on the iris, RF



modulator

klystr

on

G

Travelling wave structure with recirculation

Advantages:

- Arbitrary cell-to-cell RF phase advance, high transit time factor
- Single RF cavity unit: RF structure
- Compatible with both Electric and ٠ Magnetic cell-to-cell coupling

Example: BTW structure for proton therapy, PRAB20, (2017)

RF recirculation, see I. Syratchev LINAC12

Disadvantages:

- Less compact RF waveguide network
- Two RF couplers and cryo-module feed-throughs
- Highest power in the RF couplers
- Cell-to-cell RF phase advance is fixed, beam energy variation is limited





Conclusions I

- Combining a set of independent RF cavities into a multicell RF structure requires giving up independent RF phase control of each cavity.
- The **RF phase** difference between cavities become **fixed** to **cell-to-cell RF phase advance**
- This is true for all concepts presented above
- Some flexibility in operation is lost. The RF structure only works effectively in a certain limited range of beam energies, since relativistic beta < 1
- On the positive side, the complexity of the system is greatly reduced
- In fact, this is how all the low energy ion linacs are designed and operated. Each RF structure works in a certain limited energy (beta) range

Example: CERN Linac4 PIMS structure <u>PAC2009</u> Design beta = 0.43 Energy range: 102-160 MeV/p



Conclusion II (Baseline proposal)

- In case, beam window can be used.
 Magnetic coupled standing wave structure provide solution with the most compact waveguide network
- Only **one RF coupler** and cryo-module feedthrough is necessary to feed all the RF cells
- Number of beam windows is reduced from 2*Ncells to Ncells+1
- There are two disadvantages:
 - Higher (x Ncells) RF power per coupler
 - Lower transit time factor: from 0.83 (Chris's design) to 0.7 (pi-mode)



Conclusion III (Interesting alternatives)

- Magnetic coupled traveling wave structure with recirculation provide solution with higher transit time factor.
- But the price to pay is:
 - Two RF power couplers: input and output
 - Special waveguide component for recirculation: directional coupler
 - More (shorter) cells for the same total RF structure length

- Electric coupled structure provide solution in case beam window cannot be used
- There are some disadvantages compared to magnetic coupling:
 - High electric field on the iris, RF breakdown
 - Lower R/Q, in particular for large aperture