





Searches for long-lived particles at the LHC

<u>Lisa Benato</u> (HEPHY, Austrian Academy of Sciences) on behalf of the CMS, ATLAS, LHCb collaborations

35th Rencontres de Blois on "Particle Physics and Cosmology" 21 October 2024

Introduction: LLP searches @ LHC

https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.12602

- Standard Model doesn't answer all the questions about matter and its interactions
- Extensions of SM can predict new particles that are long-lived if:
 - Small couplings
 - Suppressed phase space
 - Highly virtual intermediate states



- \circ Partners of SM particles (SUSY) \rightarrow dark matter candidates
- \circ Dark sectors communicating with SM via Higgs boson/Dark photons \rightarrow Higgs mass hierarchy problem
- $\circ \quad \text{Axion-like particles (ALPs)} \rightarrow \text{strong CP problem}$



Introduction: LLP searches @ LHC

Very broad (and growing) long-lived particles (LLPs) search program at the LHC!

- LLP searches are **challenging**: detectors not designed for these signatures!
- ...but they give **unique opportunities** for R&D
- New ideas applied at **any level** (reconstruction, trigger, analysis techniques, machine learning)

Challenges:

- Non-standard use of the detector
- Non-standard data formats
- Non-standard backgrounds

Unexplored phase-space: new physics in already collected data!



3

Introduction: LLP searches @ LHC

Ē

8.0

Vertices

In this presentation:

- Recent results @ ATLAS, CMS, LHCb
 - LHC proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV (Run 2: 2016-2018) 13.6 TeV (Run 3: 2022-2025)
- Focus on long decay lengths:
 - LLP searches with calorimeters
 - With muon systems

- New Run3 developments @ LHC experiments
- New ideas for the future









LLP searches with calorimeters



CMS: trackless and out-of-time jets

Trackless-ness:

- Tracking efficiency decreases with displacement
- Jets appear as trackless



• SUSY neutralino $X \rightarrow GH(Z)$

- $c_x \sim 1 m$ (outer tracker/electromagnetic calorimeter ECAL)
- G (LSP) creating missing transverse energy \rightarrow trigger
- H(Z) to hadrons \rightarrow jets

Delay:

• Slow-moving LLPs and/or path length increase due to displacement → jets are delayed wrt p-p collision!

https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.06695

• Timing layer: PbWO₄ ECAL scintillating crystals



https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.06695

CMS: trackless and out-of-time jets

Trackless and OOT jet DNN tagger:

- Combine features of
 - ECAL crystals (time, multiplicity)
 - Tracks associated to a jet
- Strong background rejection
- Signal Region (SR): at least 2 tagged jets



Study of the DNN response in MC/data:

- DNN inputs corrected in MC to match data in CR
- Good data/MC agreement after corrections applied



7

CMS: trackless and out-of-time jets

Collision background:

- Prompt jets misidentified as trackless and delayed
- Misidentification rate evaluated in CRs in data
- Background predicted with matrix method

Noncollision backgrounds:

- Cosmic muons and beam induced backgrounds (beam halo) creating ECAL deposits without associated tracks
- Dedicated vetoes (geometry + physics)







https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/SWGuideEJTermBeamHalold

CMS: trackless and out-of-time jets

https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.06695



Results:

- Expected <1 events (DNN), 0 observed
- Limits at 1 fb level for m_{χ} > 550 GeV, exclude m_{χ} up to 1.18 TeV at ct = 0.5 m

https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.01009

ATLAS: calorimeter-ratio search

LLP decays in hadronic calorimeter (HCAL):

- Trackless jets
- Low energy fraction in ECAL: CalRatio = E_{HCAL}/E_{ECAL}

CalRatio trigger:

- CalRatio triggers: low E_{τ} & high E_{τ}
- 2 search regions: low- (≤200 GeV) and high-mass (>200 GeV)
- Can access lower masses wrt CMS!



Backgrounds:

- Multijets (strong interaction) with mis-reconstructed tracks/neutral hadron abundance
- Noncollision backgrounds:
 - Beam induced backgrounds (BIB) ~ beam halo in CMS
 - Cosmic muons showering in HCAL
 - Reduced to negligible levels after final selections

ATLAS: calorimeter-ratio search

DNN jet tagger:

- Input variables: features of tracks, calo deposits, muon segments inside a jet
- Adversarial Network to mitigate MC mis-modelling (calo cluster timing)



Event BDT:

- 2 CalRatio jets DNN scores
- Event-level variables

Results:

- Data-driven background estimation, ABCD
- Limits on $H \rightarrow SS \rightarrow 4f, m_{H} = 125 \text{ GeV}$
- Branching ratios BR>10% excluded for 20 mm < $c\tau$ < 10 m



11

ATLAS: calorimeter-ratio search

Pair-produced LLPs: "merged + resolved"

- 1 CalRatio jet + 2 trackless jets: CalRatio trigger
- ABCDisco: per-event NN, decorrelated with variable measuring trackless-ness of jets
- 3x improvement w.r.t. 2 CalRatio jets 2203.01009



More details: <u>lan's talk</u> (on Wed, Collider BSM parallel)

LLP (1 CalRatio jet) + SM W/Z:

- W/Z: lepton triggers
- LLP + W/Z: new interpretations (photo-phobic ALPs)



12



HEPHY

NSTITUT FÜR HOCHENERGIEPHYSIK



LLP searches with muon systems



ATLAS: displaced vertices in MS

ATLAS Muon System (MS)

- Big volume, shielded by calorimeters
- Tracking capability in Monitoring Drift Tubes (MDT)

Muon Rol cluster trigger (arxiv 1305.2284)

- Muon hardware trigger (L1) **Rol**: coincidence of hits in MS trigger detectors
- Software trigger (HLT) **Rol cluster**: a ΔR =0.4 region containing at least 3 (4) L1 Rols in the barrel (endcaps)

MS vertex algorithm (<u>arxiv 1311.7070</u>):

- Uses spatial separation of multilayers (ML) inside MDT
- Single ML hits form segments
- ML segments form tracklets
- MS vertex (η, ϕ) position reconstructed with at least 3 (4) tracklets in the barrel (endcaps)
- SR: 2 MS vertices matched to HLT Rol clusters (at least 1)



https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.00587

ATLAS: displaced vertices in MS

Backgrounds

- Punch-through jets showering in MS
- Noncollision (electronic noise, cosmic muons, BIB)

Results

- Expected 0.32 ± 0.05 events, 0 observed
- Excluded $H \rightarrow SS \rightarrow 4f BR < 0.1\%$
- BR>10% excluded for LLP 4 cm < $c\tau$ < 72.4 m





https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.01898

CMS: muon detector showers (MDS)

- Neutral LLP ($c\tau > 1$ m) \rightarrow decay products ionize gas in CSCs (endcap)/DTs (barrel)
- Passive material (iron/steel) + muon chambers: sampling calorimeter → a shower develops → high multiplicity of hits: muon detector shower (MDS)
- Sensitivity to any LLP decay (except muons)
 - $\circ \quad Also light (O(100) MeV) particles \rightarrow poor sensitivity in tracker/calorimeter (background too high!)$
- Trigger: missing energy \rightarrow pair of LLPs, one out of CMS
- First CMS result using CSCs: PRL.127.261804



CMS Simulation Supplementary





138 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV

800

1000

CMS: searches for LLPs with MDS

MDS in CSC+DT:

800

700

600

400

300

200

100

500 MB2

position [cm]

decay |

- Muon hits clustered with DBSCAN
- High efficiency throughout the detector Backgrounds:
 - Punch-through jets, low- p_{τ} pileup particles
 - Suppressed by vetoing high background regions (inner DT/CSC chambers)

Exclusive categories: 1 CSC, 1 DT, 2 clusters

- Data-driven ABCD background prediction
- 1 cluster ABCD plane: n. hits, $\Delta \phi$ (cluster, MET)
- 2 clusters ABCD plane: n. hits in each cluster

Advantage wrt ATLAS:

More shielding material \rightarrow less background \rightarrow can use 1 cluster category to increase sensitivity!



CMS: searches for LLPs with MDS

Results:

- $H \rightarrow SS \rightarrow 4f$: 9 decay modes with hadronic shower (bb, dd, K⁺K⁻, K⁰K⁰, $\pi^+\pi^-$), EM ($\pi^0\pi^0$, $\gamma\gamma$, e^+e^-), or both ($\tau^+\tau^-$)
- Same sensitivity for same shower type independent of masses
- First sensitivity to sub-GeV mass LLPs at BR = 0.1% level
- Best limits to date on Twin Higgs model: 0.04-0.40 m and above 5 m for 15 GeV LLP; 0.3-0.9 m and above 3 m for 40 GeV LLP; and above 0.9 m for 55 GeV LLP
- First sensitivity @ LHC to dark showers model produced from Higgs decay



CMS: searches for VLLs with MDS

Vector-like lepton (VLL): $\tau' \rightarrow \tau a_{\tau}$

- Prompt τ lepton + a light pseudoscalar, long-lived \rightarrow electromagnetic shower as **MDS**
- N. hits predicted from data events failing *t* identification
- Validated in out-of-time data





CMS-PAS-EXO-23-015

m(VLL) excluded up to 690 GeV for $m(a_r) = 2 \text{ GeV}$

19

New!

LHCb: dark photons to dimuons

- $A' \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, full Run2 (5.5 fb⁻¹)
 - **Prompt** (m(A')<70 GeV)

Displaced analysis:

 Software trigger: dimuons forming good quality displaced vertex → suppress prompt background

Backgrounds:

- Photon conversions in Vertex Locator detector (VELO) → determined with a precise 3D material map
- b-hadrons \rightarrow reduced with BDT (isolation) + veto on heavy-flavour software trigger
- Low mass tail of $K_s^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$





LHCb: dark photons to dimuons ults: Signal yield dependency on displacement corrected by

Results: LHCb Signal yield dependency on displacement corrected by 10^{-9} resampling prompt $\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ in data No excess, exclude large portion of $[m(A'), \varepsilon^2]$ plane 10^{-10} ϵ^2 : A' kinetic mixing to SM photon (related to τ (A')) 0 250 300 350 m(A') [MeV] 10^{-2} 90% CL upper limit on $n_{\rm ob}^{A'}[m(A'), \varepsilon^2] / n_{\rm ex}^{A'}[m(A'), \varepsilon^2]$ LHCb ω 10^{-8} ε^2 BaBar NA48 10^{-3} BaBar LHCb 10^{-9} 10^{-4} LHCb KEK 10^{-5} 10^{-10} H 250 300 350 10^{-6} 10^{-2} m(A') [MeV] 10^{-1} 10 m(A') [GeV

10

9

https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.06926

LHCb - CMS: dark photons to dimuons



Analogous CMS analysis:

- $\bullet \quad H \mathop{\rightarrow} A' A' \mathop{\rightarrow} 2 \mu \, X$
- Special CMS data stream called "scouting" [2403.16134]: store only limited event information but can loosen trigger thresholds
- Clear complementarity!

CMS: displaced dimuons with Run3 data



https://cms.cern/news/detector-far-far-away-searching-el usive-long-lived-travellers-tracing-pairs-muons

https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.14491



Run 3 key development: trigger

- New L1 algorithm to assign p_T to μ from displaced vertex
- Improved HLT algos:

Recovers efficiency for shorter μ tracks,

```
x2 better @ ct = 1 cm
```

Discard prompt $\mu \rightarrow$ improves at larger displacement, x3 efficiency @ ct = 1 m



CMS: displaced dimuons with Run3 data

Displaced dimuons reconstruction:

- As global µ (with tracker): better at lower displacement
- As standalone µ (muon system only): better at higher displacement



Achieved similar sensitivity to Run 2 data with only ¹/₃ of the luminosity in Run 3 (2022)

https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.14491



More LLP Run 3 results: <u>Daniele's talk</u> (on Tue, plenary)



CMS,



ATLAS Run3 upgrades

https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.16623

- ECAL LAr electronics: finer granularity inputs to trigger, better control of rates (better resolution, rejection of pileup)
- HCAL TileCal: more robust against radiation (extended coverage of scintillation counters)

 \rightarrow CalRatio!

- MS: New Small Wheel (NSW) detectors installed in endcaps innermost stations, 1.3 < |η| < 2.7
- Small-strip TGCs and micro-mesh gaseous structure (Micromegas) detectors: fast trigger, precision tracking

 \rightarrow Muon Displaced Vertices!

• Upgraded HLT and DAQ



ATLAS: large radius tracking

LRT:

- Deploys unused hits after standard tracking
- Optimized for LLP decays:
 - |d₀| 5-300 mm, |z₀| 200-500 mm

Run3 LRT:

- Tighten selections to reduce fake rate and PU-dependency
- Commissioned studying K^0 , excellent data-MC agreement
- Deployed to trigger on displaced jets/taus/leptons



Vertices / 8.0 mm

1.5

0.5

ATLAS Simulation

 $m_a = 55 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_a = 100 \text{ mm}$

√s = 13 TeV

VH. $H \rightarrow aa \rightarrow b\overline{b}b\overline{b}$

https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.12867

https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.06630

Active layers

Non-LLP, Legacy Reconstruction

LLP, Legacy Reconstruction

LLP. Updated Reconstruction

Non-LLP, Updated Reconstruction

CMS Run3 upgrades

- Innermost tracker layer replaced (radiation damage)
- HCAL: enhanced readout granularity and shower depth (4 barrel layers)
- Installed first stations the Gas Electron Multiplier (GEM) for HL-LHC
- CSC electronic replacement (in view of HL)
- HLT farm equipped with GPUs to accelerate reconstruction



http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2309.05466

CMS trigger developments: delayed jets

Delayed and displaced jets in HCAL trigger:

- L1 algorithm: identifies HCAL trigger towers with significant energy in higher depths or pulses at late times
- x4 efficiency @ LLP ct = 3 m
- Signature currently not covered at CMS, analogous to ATLAS CalRatio!



Delayed jets in ECAL trigger:

• ECAL timing + trackless jet @ HLT

DP-2023-043

 Improved p_T/H_T reach (300 GeV w.r.t 1 TeV in Run2) → more competitive wrt ATLAS



CMS trigger developments: muon showers

Muon detector shower trigger:

- L1 algorithm: counts if CSC hits in a chamber above a configurable threshold
- 20x better acceptance wrt Run2 unspecific trigger (MET)





LHCb detector upgrade

Detector upgrade for Run3

- VELO: new silicon pixel, placed closer to beam
- RICH: new optics/PM
- **UT**: new high granularity upstream tracker
- SciFi: 3 new scintillating fibre tracking stations
- Full replacement of FE and DAQ
- Full software trigger
- HLT1 on GPUs
- HLT2 on CPUs
- Full detector readout at 40 MHz!
- New data centre



LHCb tracking for LLPs

Long tracks:

- Standard approach
- All tracker detectors

Downstream tracks:

• UT + SciFi matching without VELO

T-tracks:

• Using SciFi only

Challenges:

• Low momentum resolution (lower magnetic field), extrapolation over long distance, low vertex reconstruction efficiency (ghost vertices)



LHCb tracking for LLPs

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fdata. 2022.1008737/full#F10

- Case study: Higgs portal to DM; $B \to H' (\to \! \mu^+ \mu^-) K$
 - Mixing of SM H and light H' produced in B decays
 - Reconstructability of the decay vertex $(H' \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$ as a function of $M_{H'}$ and lifetimes:
 - 2 Long tracks
 - 2 Downstream tracks
 - 2 T-tracks
 - To be compared to current HLT capabilities with long tracks only!











♦D

D EN



Line of Sigh

Å

LLP detectors 64 B 🕨 FASER 2 l∎c FASERv2/AdvSND FORMOS/ FLACE Stairs Transport space Å @LHC l∎c Safety corridor Plan view - Cavern Stairs BÞ 1:100

LLP transverse detectors

- Target LLPs in large mass range (GeV-TeV)
- Increase lifetime coverage wrt ATLAS/CMS/LHCb

CODEX-b

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjc/s10 052-020-08711-3





ANUBIS

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/ANUBIS/



LLP forward detectors

• Target lighter LLPs mass range (<GeV) more likely to be produced forward

FASER

 $\label{eq:https://faser.web.cern.ch/} \\ Search for dark photons A' \rightarrow e^+e^- (2022 data) \\ \\ \underline{https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.05587} \\ Search for ALPs \rightarrow \gamma\gamma (2022+2023 data) \\ \\ \underline{https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.10363} \\ \\ \end{array}$





MoEDAL-MAPP http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X14300506


LLP forward detectors

 Target lighter LLPs mass range (<GeV) more likely to be produced forward

FASER

<u>https://faser.web.cern.ch/</u> Search for dark photons $A' \rightarrow e^+e^-$ (2022 data) <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.05587</u> Search for ALPs $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ (2022+2023 data) <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.10363</u>



Run3 searches!

ω

Kinetic Mixing

10



10²

m₄ [MeV]

37

LLP Community Workshop

State-of-the-art: LLP Community Workshop

LLP2025 in Valencia: https://indico.cern.ch/event/1441321/ 2-6 June 2025!



LLP2024 in Tokyo: https://indico.cern.ch/event/1381368/



Summary

LLP searches: a very vibrant field!

- Many opportunities for R&D and new ideas at any level
 - reconstruction
 - trigger
 - analysis techniques
 - machine learning for classification/regression
- We may have collected new physics already!
- LHC community strongly involved in LLP searches:
 - Already achieved high impact publications
 - Many more in the pipeline
 - Several new ideas for the future...
 - ...and also several more LLP experiments!









Backup

Introduction: LLP searches @ LHC



LHC: proton-proton collisions at

- 13 TeV (Run 2: 2016-2018)
- 13.6 TeV (Run 3: 2022-2025)
- momentum of quarks/gluons unknown \rightarrow hard to precisely model
- beam organised in bunches of 10¹¹ protons: multiple collisions at each crossing (pile-up), up to ~80





ATLAS

- Tracking inner detector
- Solenoid magnet 2 T
- ECAL: liquid argon (LAr) with lead absorbers
- HCAL: steel/scintillator-tile
- Muon system (MS):
 3 stations trigger + tracking
 - Stations trigger + tracking
 - Trigger: RPC + TGC
 - Tracking:
 - Monitored Drift Tube (MDT)
 - Cathode Strip Chambers (CSC)
 - Each MDT: 2 Multi Layers (ML) with 3/4 DT
- 3 toroidal magnets, each 8 coils
- L1 hardware trigger, HLT software trigger



CMS

- Tracking: silicon pixel and strip detectors
- Solenoid magnet 3.8 T
- ECAL: PbWO₄ scintillating crystals
- HCAL: brass+scintillator sampling calorimeter
- Muon system (MS):

3 gas detectors

- Drift Tubes in barrel
- Cathode Strip Chambers in endcaps
- **Resistive Plate Chambers**, timing assignment (trigger)
- L1 hardware trigger, HLT software trigger



LHCb

LHCb: forward single-arm spectrometer:

- Tracking system: excellent momentum and (displaced) vertex identification: VErtex LOcator (VELO)
- **Ring Imaging Cherenkov Detectors**: Particle IDentification (PID)
- **Calorimeters**: γ and π^0
- Muon chambers
- Advantages:
 - Very forward (2 < η < 5), complementary to ATLAS/CMS
 - Low p_T (0.5 GeV) tracking
 - Can probe lighter LLPs that are boosted, tracking system covers large decay volumes
 - Better PID with RICH (separate π, K, p) w.r.t. ATLAS/CMS

Disadvantage:

• Lower luminosity



CMS: trackless and out-of-time jets

https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.06695



Results:

- Expected <1 events (DNN), 0 observed
- Limits at 1 fb level for $m_x > 550$ GeV, exclude m_x up to 1.18 TeV at $c\tau = 0.5$ m Complementary to prompt analysis (<u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.04896</u>), up to x20 better sensitivity at lower masses

https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.01009

ATLAS: Calorimeter-ratio search



https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.01009

Signal

SM Multijet MC

ATLAS

 $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 139 \text{ fb}^-$

P V

Number of events

10

 10^{-1}

ATLAS: Calorimeter-ratio search



10

cτ [m]

10⁻²

 10^{-1}





47

ATLAS: calorimeter-ratio search

CalR + 2J:

- Select 3 jets with highest signal score, 1 being CaIR, and build a per-event DNN decorrelated from $\Sigma \Delta R_{min} \rightarrow only$ multijet bkg left
- Inputs: per-jet NN scores, kinematics, width, time; scalar sum hadronic energy of all jets, angular separations, missing transverse energy
- Per-jet NN mismodelling: up to 5%
- Improvement: relaxing requirement on signal-like jets, exploiting additional jet information → bkg reduction

CalW/CalZ:

- Improvement: luminosity and stronger DNN-jet rejection
- ALP masses 0.1-4 GeV
- Dark photon (Z_d) model with mediator mass 250-600 GeV, m(Z_d) 5-400 GeV









CMS: trackless and out-of-time jets

Events / bir

- Trackless and OOT jet DNN tagger:
 - Combine features of
 - ECAL crystals (time, multiplicity)
 - Tracks associated to a jet
 - Strong background rejection
 - SR: at least 2 tagged jets

Study of the DNN response in MC/data

- DNN inputs well modelled in MC except jet time
- Correct MC jet time to match data in CR
- Good data/MC agreement after corrections applied



https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.06695

Simulation

√s = 13 TeV

Background

Jet tagger output score

Signal



https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.00587

ATLAS: displaced vertices in MS

ATLAS Muon System (MS)

- Big volume, shielded by calorimeters
- Tracking capability

Muon Rol cluster trigger (arxiv 1305.2284)

- Muon **L1 Rol**: coincidence of hits in at least 3 of 4 layers of the 3 inner RPC planes for the barrel, 2 outer TGC planes for the end-caps
- L1 seed: 2 Muon Rol with pT>10 GeV
- **HLT Rol cluster**: a DR=0.4 region containing at least 3 (4) Rols in the barrel (endcaps)

MS vertex algorithm (arxiv 1311.7070):

- Uses spatial separation of ML inside MDT (no CSC!)
- MDT hits form tracklets
- Reconstruct vertices with at least 3 (4) tracklets in the barrel (endcaps)
- Vertices matched to trigger Rol clusters



Frigger Efficiency

ATLAS: displaced vertices in MS



- MS barrel: vertex reco eff. O (2–15)% near the outer edge of the hadronic calorimeter (*r* ≈ 4 m) and decreases as decay closer to middle stations (*r* ≈ 7 m) → charged hadrons and photons not spatially separated and overlap when traversing middle stations → less efficient tracklet reco
- MS endcaps: higher reco eff (40%) due to more efficient selection and vertex reconstruction: magnetic field here is weak, looser tracklets curvature constraints wrt barrel → vertex reco uses straight-line fits → low-momentum tracks are not rejected
- MS barrel: curvature plus combinatorics provide better rejection of misreconstructed tracks
- Vertex reco in endcaps: more efficient for signal, but less robust in rejecting background

ATLAS: displaced vertices in MS

Backgrounds

- Punch-through jets showering in MS
- Noncollision (electronic noise, cosmic muons, beam induced background BIB)

$$N_{2Vx} = N^{1cl} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \text{Zero bias} \\ P_{\text{noMStrig}}^{Vx} \end{bmatrix} + N_{1\text{UMBcl}}^{2cl} \cdot P_{\text{Bcl}}^{Vx} + N_{1\text{UMEcl}}^{2cl} \cdot P_{\text{Ecl}}^{Vx} = 0.32 \pm 0.05$$

Cluster: trigger object Vertex: offline object

- Excluded $H \rightarrow SS \rightarrow 4f BR < 0.1\%$
- BR>10% excluded for LLP 4 cm < $c\tau$ < 72.4 m Systematic uncertainties:
 - 20-24% trigger efficiency (MC)
 - 11-13% vertex reco mismodelling
 - Up to 30% signal stat unc.





https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.01898







https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.01898

CMS: searches for LLPs with MDS



|V_{Nµ}|²

10

Search for HNLs in the muon system

- Majorana/Dirac HNLs with ct > 1 m
- Trigger on associated prompt e/μ
- HNL decay reconstructed as 1 muon hits cluster

Background:

- W + jets or hadrons from pileup, $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$
- ABCD plane: # cluster hits, $\Delta \phi$ (cluster, prompt e/ μ)

Results:

- Limits to 3 lepton generations
- Best limits in the range 2-3 GeV!



Vector-like lepton (VLL): $\tau' \rightarrow \tau a_{\tau}$

- a_Yukawa interaction with weak singlet VLL
- Heavy physical VLL (τ) mixing with τ
- a_r VEV and CP-odd component lighter than τ'
- $\dot{m}(a) = 2 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \text{decay to photons via } \tau' \text{ loop}$
- EM MDS mostly contained in 1 station, stopped by steel
- CSC cluster efficiency: 35%
- DT cluster efficiency: 45%
- missing momentum efficiency: 6-62%
- τ h efficiency: 50-85%

Backgrounds:

- Punch-through jets
- Muons undergoing bremsstrahlung
- Isolated hadrons from PU or UE
- Cosmic muons showering





[qd]

b

LHCb: dark photons to dimuons

- Fit to $[m(\mu^+\mu^-)$, decay length, χ^2 (decay fit)]
- Mass binning depend on m(A') $\sigma[m(\mu^+\mu^-)]/2$
- 8 t bins (0.2, >10 ps)
- Signal: small χ^2_{DF} values, 50% (80%) having χ^2_{DF} < 2 (4) b-hadron: small t region, uniformly distributed in χ^2_{DF}
- K_s^0 : signal-like in χ^2_{DF} and uniformly distributed in t
- Photon conversions yield: from n. candidates rejected by conversion criterion
- b- and K_s⁰ modeled by second-order polynomials of the energy released in the decay: sqrt(m(μ + μ -)² - 4m(μ)²)
- Contributions validated in data control sample
- Hardware trigger: muon p_{τ} >1.8 GeV or dimuon pair, or high-p_T hadron
- 214 < m(A') < 350 MeV



https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.06926

60

LHCb: dark photons to prompt dimuons

- Prompt $\mu^+\mu^-$: PDFs from data at m(J/ ψ) and m(Z)
- $\mu_{Q}\mu_{Q}$ from heavy flavour: from min[$\chi^{2}_{IP}(\mu)$] fit on simulation $\chi^{2}_{IP}(\mu)$: difference in χ^{2}_{VF} (PV) when PV is reconstructed with and without the muon track
- hh + h μ_{Ω} : from same sign $\mu\mu$







CMS: displaced dimuons with Run3 data

https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.14491



https://cms.cern/news/detector-far-far-away-searching-elusiv e-long-lived-travellers-tracing-pairs-muons

> First Run3 CMS search!





Displaced dimuons

- Achieved similar sensitivity to Run 2 data with only ¹/₃ of the luminosity in Run 3 (2022)
- Key development: trigger
 - New L1T algo to assign p_{T} to μ from displaced vertex
 - Improved HLT algos: Recovers efficiency for shorter µ tracks,

x2 better @ ct = 1 cm

Discard prompt $\mu \rightarrow$ improves at larger displacement, x3 efficiency @ ct = 1 m



CMS: displaced dimuons with Run3 data

Transverse collinearity angle $|\Delta \Phi|$ between Lxy and $pT\mu\mu$:

- $|\Delta \Phi| < \pi/4 \rightarrow \text{signal (SR)}$
 - Expected to be aligned (OS muons)
- $|\Delta \Phi|$ symmetric around $\pi/2 \rightarrow DY$ -like background
 - $\circ \quad \ \ \, \text{Prompt}\,\mu\,\text{with large mismeasured Lxy}$
 - \circ Estimated in control region CR with large $|\Delta \Phi| > 3\pi/4$
- $|\Delta \Phi|$ asymmetric and small \rightarrow QCD-like background
 - \circ $\,$ Mismeasured pµµ (low-mass resonances, jets) $\,$
 - \circ Mostly rejected by mµµ > 10 GeV
 - $\circ \quad \ \ Estimated in SS \, / \, non-isolated \, \mu \, CR$





R. Dasgupta, A . E. Del Valle, M. Iqbal

https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.14491

LHCb tracking for LLPs

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fdata. 2022.1008737/full#F10

- Case study: Higgs portal to DM; $B \rightarrow H' (\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) K$
 - Mixing of SM H and light H': $h = H \cos \theta H' \sin \theta$
 - $0.5 < M_{\mu^\prime} < 4.5 \text{ GeV}, B \rightarrow H' (\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) K$
 - Reconstructability of the decay vertex $H' \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ as a function of $M_{\mu'}$ and lifetimes
 - 2 Long tracks 0
 - 2 Downstream tracks
 - 2 T-tracks
 - To be compared to current HLT capabilities with long tracks only!





0.35

0.2 0.15

0.05

vertex proporti

DD



64

LHCb upgraded tracking





Tracking algorithms @ upgraded HLT1 (GPU)

- Long tracks: VELO + SciFi (no UT)
- Individual reconstruction + matching algorithm
- Ability to improve low p_T tracks performances w.r.t standard algorithm ("forward")

HLT1 - long tracks

Forward tracking

 double sided search window for p>5GeV & pt>1GeV

VELO-SciFi matching

 VELO & SciFi seeds extrapolated as straight lines to matching position



E. Dall'Occo talk @ LLP12 https://indico.cern.ch/event/1166 678/timetable/#40-whats-new-for -run-3-for-llp

LHCb tracking for LLPs

Downstream tracks:

- UT + SciFi matching
- Good efficiency but high rates
- Downstream tracking: from SciFi upstream the magnet
- Better performance, possibly more restrictions on momentum



T-tracks:

- Using SciFi only
- Low momentum resolution (lower magnetic field), extrapolation over long distance, low vertex reconstruction efficiency (ghost vertices)
- Possibility to add PID (RICH) or kinematical info
- Studied on SM LLPs (A and KO)

https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.10920

D. Mendoza talk @ LLP12 https://indico.cern.ch/event/1166678/timetable/#53-impact-of-the-high-level-tr

LHCb tracking system and track types

- ✗ Three sub-detectors: VELO, UT and SciFi + magnet
 - Estimation of particle momentum and track origin
- ✗ Main track types for physics analysis:
 - Long: signal in VELO and SciFi (minimum) + UT (full)
 - Downstream: signal in UT and SciFi
 - <u>T</u>: hits only in SciFi
- ✗ In simulations, reconstructible tracks meet certain threshold in each subsystem:
 - VELO: 3 pixel sensors with 1 digit each
 - UT: two clusters from layers 1-2 and 3-4
 - SciFi: 1 hit per cluster and 1 stereo cluster per station





The Hybrid Seeding strategy

- ✗ An iterative reconstruction algorithm to reconstruct track segments
- ✗ SciFi: three stations with x-u-v-x geometry

u and v layers titled by +/- 5° stereo angle

- ✗ X-Z plane: parabolic trajectory with cubic correction
- x Residual B_v field: easier to get y trajectory (straight line)

× Seeding in XZ:

First assumption: origin in (0,0,0) and infinite momentum Open search windows in T3 from a hit in T1: <u>tolerance</u> window around the projected position





16

The Hybrid Seeding strategy

- ✗ X-Z trajectories provide x(z) track equations. How to find y coordinate?
- X U/V layers:

Estimate of x-position in the first U layer from x(z) trajectory Assumption: trajectories coming from the origin \Rightarrow define t_y slope Open search window in next U/V layer For each combination found, new hits are seek in further layers Minimum of 10 hits for track candidate

Good quality tracks are used for further tracking, matching with:







LLP detectors at FPF

- Forward Physics Facility: 65 m cavern
- Host large volume detectors 600 m from ATLAS
- Detectors dedicated to BSM and neutrino physics

 FASER2: magnetized spectrometer for LLP, quirks
 FASERNu2: neutrino emulsion detector

 BN
 FASER 2
 Image: Compare the second second

Physics case summary Oct 2024

FORMOSA: plastic scintillator array mCPs



FASER: search for dark photons with 2022 data

- Search for dark photons $A' \rightarrow e^+e^-$: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.05587</u>
- Dark photons in light mesons decays (pions \rightarrow photon + A')
- Electrons reconstructed in tracking stations and calorimeters
- Backgrounds:
 - Veto inefficiency + muons missing veto \rightarrow negligible (evaluated from scintillator efficiency + MC)
 - \circ Noncollision (cosmics, beam induced) \rightarrow negligible (evaluated in non-colliding bunches)
 - Neutrinos \rightarrow (1.8 +- 2.4) 10⁻³ (from MC)
 - Neutral hadrons \rightarrow (2.2+-3.1) 10⁻⁴ (data driven)
 - Expected 0.0020 +- 0.0024 events, observed 0
FASER: search for ALPs with 2022+2023 data

- Search for ALPs $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.10363</u>
- Produced from photons (Primakoff) or mesons (B)
- Photons reconstructed in calorimeter and scintillation layers
- Backgrounds:
 - Irreducible neutrino background from light/charm (evaluated from MC, large flux uncertainties)
 - Validation regions depending on where the neutrino interacts
 - Expected 0.44 +- 0.39 events, observed 1

MoEDAL - MAPP

Phase-0: MoEDAL deployed for LHC Run1-2 (2010 - 18) and Phase-1: Run-3 (2022 -)

- Nuclear Track Detector, plastic array
- Trapping detector array (1 T of Aluminium) to trap Highly Ionizing Particles
- Timepix array: digital camera for real time radiation monitoring
- No trigger, no bkg, permanent record
- Search for monopoles (best limits!), dyons (e and magnetic charge), HECOs (done!)
- Charged SUSY particles (looser requirement), multiply charged particles
- Phase-1 MAPP-1 upgrade deployed: Run3 (2022-)
 - 400 scintillator bars (10 x 10 x 75 cm3) in 4 sections readout by PMTs hermetic VETO counter
 - Millicharged particles

Phase-2 - MAPP-2 upgrade to be deployed for HL-LHC (2027-)

- Detector extended in UGC1 gallery, enhanced technology and resolution
- HIPs, FIPs and LLPs \rightarrow charged particles and photons

FACET

- Proposal: 100 m from CMS
- Forward direction (7.6 > h > 6.2)
- Large decay volume (D= 1m, L = 18 m, 14 m3)
- High vacuum (10-10 mbar) ≈ low background
- Forward direction $6.2 < \eta < 7.6$
- Shielding upstream $\approx 35 50$ m of iron
- Detector design: CMS Phase-2 technology
- High precision Si tracking, high granularity calorimeter/hodoscopes
- Detect neutral particles \rightarrow 2 charged/photons
- LLPs: dark photons, HNLs, ALPs, dark Higgs
- Lifetime sensitivity $\gamma c\tau \approx 10 10,000 \text{ m}$, peak $\approx 100 \text{ m}$
- Evaluating possible configurations

Codex-b

- 10 m cube of 500 RPC triplet modules, 4 internal faces
- Near 0 background, active and passive shielding
- Design needs to accommodate LHCb needs for Run4 (currently ongoing)
- Backgrounds: neutrons and KL at IP \rightarrow active veto, secondary interaction producing KS
- CODEX-beta demonstrator: 2 m cube, 14 RPC triplet modules
- Validate bkg, integrate with LHCb DAQ, validate mechanical support
- RPC: modules from HL-ATLAS, timing resolution O(100 ps), spatial resolution O(1 mm)
- Commissioning with cosmic muons
- Full installation in YETS (December 2024 March 2025)
- Data taken for remainder of Run 3

Mathusla

- Massive timing Hodoscope for ultra stable neutral particles
- Sensitivity to ct~0.1 s (BBN limit)
- Operation in HL-LHC, prototype in progress
- 80 m of rocks shielding
- Walls/floor scintillator to veto LHC muons
- Tracking scintillators + timing to separate upward/downward muons



https://indico.cern.ch/event/1216822/contributions/5449253/attachments/2671677/4631782/Mathusla 13LHCLLP_June2023.pdf

- Modular design, 100 modules 9x9 m2, decay volume 100 x 100 x 25 m3, 20 m underground
- Each layer has 4 sub-planes with 8 adjacent modules with 32 scintillator bars
- 4D tracking and vertexing to reduce background
- SiPM, goal 1 ns and 1 cm transverse hit resolution
- Trigger: able to trigger CMS readout!
- Feasibility study using FPGAs, signals propagation times are important

Mathusla

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1216822/contributions/5449253/attachments/2671677/4631782/Mathusla 13LHCLLP June2023.pdf Backgrounds:

- QCD (punch-through jets) killed by rock
- Space-time resolution: reject 1.7 MHz cosmics
- Tracking: reject 10 Hz muons from CMS
- More challenging: neutrino scattering (no signal on walls) from LHC and atmosphere \rightarrow non pointing vertices, similar to a LLP decay Complementary to FASER (similar masses but

```
H \rightarrow SS \rightarrow hadrons: factor 3 in cau and sensitivity
<u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.07396</u>
```



Complementary to FASER (similar masses but shorter lifetimes)



78

MATHUSLA

- P5: does not recommend full $100mx100m \rightarrow$ rescope at smaller size
- Updated design: 40 m x 40 m x 17 m, no excavation, 1/10 signal acceptance
- Test stand above ATLAS, 2 layers of scintillator
- RPC for tracking
- Took cosmic data and LHC-on
- Upward tracks: LHC + inelastic backscattering
- Some early demonstrators underground for muon tomography
- 2 new test stands currently assembled: 4 layers, 1mx1m
- Proposal for Mathusla-10: 10mx10m, to be placed above CMS, needed to understand beam-associated backgrounds
- Mathusla FastSim implemented and GEANT4 in progress
- Reconstruction: Kalman filter based track and vertex under development

ANUBIS

- ATLAS cavern: large solid angle with minimal SM backgrounds, ATLAS can veto collision products
- Transverse position: higher-mass LLP (>1 GeV), EW-scale+ mediators.
- RPC: large instrumented area at low cost: 3 chambers separated by air gaps
- BIS78 RPC technology for HL-ATLAS MS: reduces cost/effort
- proANUBIS prototype: single 3-RPC module, constructed in 2022, installed March 2023
- Goals: validate detector performance, synchronize timing with ATLAS, combine particle reconstruction with ATLAS, measure punch-through + hadronic interactions in air
- Re-commissioning in February 2024 to upgrade trigger system (issues with signal polarity)
- Early data: correlation of proANUBIS with ATLAS luminosity (~1 hz with beam off and O(~few kHz) during collisions)
- Data analysis ongoing: RPC track reconstruction, timing, cosmic runs show data/MC agreement
- To do: LHC clock synchronization \rightarrow punch-through events
- 2026: ANUBIS engineering and commissioning
- Run4 + Run5: ATLAS+ANUBIS data taking

ATLAS

- Tracking inner detector ID, $|\eta| < 2.5$
- Solenoid magnet 2 T
- **ECAL**: liquid argon (**LAr**) with lead absorbers. 1.5 < *r* < 2.0 m; 3.6 < |*z*| < 4.25 m
- HCAL: steel/scintillator-tile, segmented in 3 barrel structures $|\eta| < 1.7 + 2$ copper/LAr endcaps (1.5 < $|\eta| < 3.2$) 2.25 < r < 4.25 m; 4.3 < |z| < 6.05
- Muon system (MS): 3 stations trigger + tracking
 - Trigger: RPC + TGC, $|\eta| < 2.4$
 - Tracking: monitored drift tube (MDT) cathode strip chambers (CSC) in endcaps, $|\eta| < 2.7$
 - Each MDT: 2 ML with 3/4 DT
- 3 toroidal magnets, each 8 coils
- L1 hardware trigger, HLT software trigger



CMS

- Solenoid magnet 3.8 T
- **Tracking**: silicon pixel and strip detectors, $|\eta| < 2.5, r < 1.16 \text{ m}$
- ECAL: PbWO4 scintillating crystals 1.29 < r < 1.52 m, time resolution 100 ps per hit
- HCAL: brass+scintillator sampling calorimeter,

1.77 < *r* < 2.95 m

- Muon system (MS): 3 gas detectors
 - **Drift Tubes** in barrel, $|\eta| < 1.4, 4 < r < 7.5 \text{ m}$
 - Cathode Strip Chambers in endcaps, $0.9 < |\eta| < 2.4, 6 < |z| < 10.5 \text{ m}$
 - Resistive Plate Chambers, good timing assignment (trigger), $|\eta| < 1.6$

