# Electroweak measurements of multi-boson production with the ATLAS experiment



Speaker: Zhichen Wang On behalf of the ATLAS group



# Multi-boson measurements @ATLAS

Why measure multi-boson productions?

- Measurements of cross-section and polarization to validate the standard model (SM) at TeV scale
- Vector boson scattering/fusion (VBS/F) processes (with relative lower cross-section) to probe the mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking
- Triple/Quartic Gauge boson coupling (T/QGC) to search for anomalous couplings and probe new physics
- Effective field theory (EFT) interpretation:

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{SMEFT}} \approx \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}}^{(4)} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_{i}^{(6)}}{\Lambda^{2}} O_{i}^{(6)} + \sum_{j} \frac{c_{j}^{(8)}}{\Lambda^{4}} O_{j}^{(8)}$ 

• This presentation focuses on the diboson measurements with full ATLAS Run 2 data





## Overview

Measurements of diboson production

- EWK diboson (WW, WZ, ZZ) <u>Arxiv</u>
- EWK WZjj <u>Arxiv</u>
- ZZ <u>Arxiv</u>
- EWK Wγjj <u>EPJC</u>

Polarization study of diboson production

- WZ polarization Arxiv
- ZZ polarization <u>Arxiv</u>

Measurements of Triboson production:

- $WZ\gamma PRL$
- $W\gamma\gamma$  <u>inspire</u>



# Observation of the EWK diboson production

with a high-mass dijet system in semi-leptonic final states (Arxiv)

Main signal

Signal:  $(EWK) V_{lep}V_{had}jj$ Background: V+jets,  $t\bar{t}$ , single-t, (QCD) VV

One leptonic decayed V is reconstructed by 3 channels:

- 0-lepton
- 1-lepton
- 2-lepton

One hadronic decayed V is reconstructed by:

- 1 large-R jet (in merged selection)
- 2 small-R jets (in resolved selection)



V+jets CR (VCR): Same as SR except

inverting one single cut represented by the mass cut  $(m_J, m_{jj})$  $t\bar{t}$  CR (TCR): Same as 1-lepton channel SR except the b-jet requirement is inverted A data-driven reweighting is applied to W+jets and Z+jets samples to correct shape mis-modeling.



# Observation of the EWK diboson production

with a high-mass dijet system in semi-leptonic final states

EWK Measurements (7.4/6.0 observed/expected [ $\sigma$ ])							
	Combined	0-lepton	1-lepton	2-lepton	Resolved	Merged	
$\sigma_{EWK,V_{lep}V_{had}jj}^{fid,exp}$	22.9 fb	7.3 fb	12.0 fb	3.6 fb	12.4 fb	10.5 fb	
$\sigma^{fid,obs}_{EWK,V_{lep}V_{had}jj}$	$33.0 \pm 5.5 \text{ fb}$	$15.8 \pm 2.9 \text{ fb}$	$12.5 \pm 3.2 \text{ fb}$	4.1 ± 1.5 fb	$19.1 \pm 4.6 \text{ fb}$	$13.8 \pm 4.1 \text{ fb}$	



± 1.5 fb	19.1 ± 4.6 fb	$13.8 \pm 4.1 \text{ fb}$		
Uncertai	$\sigma_{\mu}$			
Total unc	certainty	0.218		
Statistica	ıl	0.093		
Systemat	tic	0.197		
Theoretic	cal and modeling	g uncertainties		
Floating	normalizations	0.038		
Z + jets		0.061		
W + jets		0.068		
tī		0.016		
Diboson		0.045		
single-to	р	0.009		
Signal M	lodelling	0.126		
MC stati	stics	0.073		
Ex	perimental unce	rtainties		
Jets and	$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	0.088		
Leptons	-	0.005		
<i>b</i> -tagging	g	0.004		
Luminos	ity	0.023		
$m_{jj}^{\text{tag}}$ rewe	eighting	0.063		

EWK QCD simultaneously fit

At the reconstructed level, the interference term results in a 5% (20%) uncertainty on the RNN distribution in the resolved (merged) signal regions.





# Observation of the EWK diboson production

with a high-mass dijet system in semi-leptonic final states

#### **EFT** interpretations

Eboli model is used, **19** of the 21 operators affect the semi-leptonic final states.

The total matrix element with the addition of new dimension-8 operators can be written as:

$$|A_{SM} + \frac{f_i}{\Lambda^4} A_i| = |A_{SM}^2| + \sum_i \frac{f_i^2}{\Lambda^8} |A_i^2| + \sum_i 2\frac{f_i}{\Lambda^4} \operatorname{Re}(A_{SM}^{\star} A_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{f_i}{\Lambda^4} \frac{f_j}{\Lambda^4} \operatorname{Re}(A_i^{\star} A_j)$$

The possible interference terms between EFT operators are not considered in this result.

Wilson Coefficient	Expected limit	Observed Limit
$f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$	[-0.20, 0.18]	[-0.25, 0.22]
$f_{T1}/\Lambda^4$	[-0.19, 0.19]	[-0.24, 0.24]
$f_{T2}/\Lambda^4$	[-0.44, 0.45]	[-0.56, 0.56]
$f_{T5}/\Lambda^4$	[-0.57, 0.53]	[-0.64, 0.59]
$f_{T6}/\Lambda^4$	[-0.76, 0.72]	[-0.74, 0.72]
$f_{T7}/\Lambda^4$	[-1.78, 1.57]	[-1.96, 1.72]
$f_{T8}/\Lambda^4$	[-0.59, 0.59]	[-0.48, 0.48]
$f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$	[-1.22, 1.22]	[-1.03, 1.04]
$f_{S02}/\Lambda^4$	[-3.22, 3.23]	[-3.92, 3.93]
$f_{S1}/\Lambda^4$	[-6.86, 6.88]	[-7.90, 7.87]
$f_{M0}/\Lambda^4$	[-1.13, 1.13]	[-1.27, 1.27]
$f_{M1}/\Lambda^4$	[-3.24, 3.24]	[-3.97, 3.98]
$f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$	[-1.66, 1.67]	[-1.86, 1.86]
$f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$	[-5.29, 5.29]	[-5.74, 5.75]
$f_{M4}/\Lambda^4$	[-2.62, 2.62]	[-2.99, 2.99]
$f_{M5}/\Lambda^4$	[-3.81, 3.84]	[-4.45, 4.48]
$f_{M7}/\Lambda^4$	[-5.33, 5.21]	[-6.65, 6.48]



in association with two jets (Arxiv)

Signal: EWK WZjj

Background:

- Irreducible: ZZ,  $t\bar{t}$ +V --> ZZ-CR, b-CR
- reducible: Z+j, Z $\gamma$ ,  $t\bar{t}$ , Wt, WW --> data-driven estimation

	SR, $N_{\text{jets}}$ =	= 2 SR,	$N_{\rm jets} \ge 3$	<i>b</i> -CI	R	ZZ	Z-CR
Data	169		477	666		2	210
Total pred.	231 ± 1	2 550	± 50	660 ±	40	205	± 11
WZjj-EW	65.0 ±	3.5 60	± 6	$4.82 \pm$	0.28	0.725	± 0.014
WZjj-QCD	125 ±	9 380	$\pm 50$	77 ±	18	6.2	± 0.7
<i>WZjj</i> -INT	1.3 ±	0.6 5.3	$3 \pm 2.6$	$0.58 \pm$	0.29	0.22	± 0.11
$t\bar{t} + V$	$0.66 \pm$	0.04 20.2	$2 \pm 0.7$	289 ±	10	9.89	± 0.28
tZj	$8.78 \pm$	0.34 19.3	$7 \pm 1.2$	134 ±	4	0.432	± 0.005
ZZ-QCD	9.6 ±	0.4 32.0	$0 \pm 2.5$	$10.1 \pm$	0.6	159	± 9
ZZ-EW	2.2 ±	0.6 4.4	$4 \pm 1.1$	$0.25 \pm$	0.06	23	± 6
VVV	0.41 ±	0.10 2.0	$0 \pm 0.5$	$0.39 \pm$	0.10	4.1	± 1.1
Misid. leptons	$18 \pm$	4 28	± 7	150 ±	40	1.7	± 0.5

Reconstruction -- 'resonant shape' algorithm

$$P = \left| \frac{1}{m_{(\ell^+,\ell^-)}^2 - (m_Z^{\text{PDG}})^2 + i \Gamma_Z^{\text{PDG}} m_Z^{\text{PDG}}} \right|^2 \times \left| \frac{1}{m_{(\ell',\nu_{\ell'})}^2 - (m_W^{\text{PDG}})^2 + i \Gamma_W^{\text{PDG}} m_W^{\text{PDG}}} \right|^2$$

The final choice of which leptons are assigned to the W or Z bosons corresponds to the configuration exhibiting the largest value of the estimator P.

- In this paper, both **integrated** and **differential** cross-sections are measured for EWK WZ and inclusive WZ both.
- A BDT is trained and optimized on simulated events from the SR to separate WZjj–EW events from all other processes.



in association with two jets

# Integrated cross-section measurement $\sigma_{WZjj-EW} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mu_{WZjj-EW}^{i} \cdot \sigma_{WZjj-EW}^{i, \text{th}. MC},$ $\sigma_{WZjj-Strong} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( \mu_{WZjj-QCD}^{i} \cdot \sigma_{WZjj-QCD}^{i, \text{th}. MC} + \mu_{WZjj-INT}^{i} \cdot \sigma_{WZjj-INT}^{i, \text{th}. MC} \right),$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( \mu_{WZjj-QCD}^{i} \cdot \sigma_{WZjj-QCD}^{i, \text{th}. MC} + \sqrt{\mu_{WZjj-EW}^{i}} \cdot \sqrt{\mu_{WZjj-QCD}^{i, \text{th}. MC}} \right)$

#### Results

$$\sigma_{WZjj-EW} = 0.368 \pm 0.037 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.059 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 0.003 \text{ (lumi.) fb}$$
  
= 0.37 ± 0.07 fb,  
$$\sigma_{WZjj-\text{strong}} = 1.093 \pm 0.066 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.131 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 0.009 \text{ (lumi.) fb}$$
  
= 1.09 ± 0.14 fb

### **Predictions** from MadGraph+PYTHIA8

$$\sigma_{WZjj-EW}^{\text{MadGraph+PyTHIA8}} = 0.370 \pm 0.001 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.006 \text{ (PDF)} ^{+0.030}_{-0.026} \text{ (scale) fb},$$
  
$$\sigma_{WZjj-\text{strong}}^{\text{MadGraph+PyTHIA8}} = 1.537 \pm 0.009 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.016 \text{ (PDF)} ^{+0.087}_{-0.149} \text{ (scale) fb},$$

## Systematic uncertainties

Source	$\frac{\Delta \sigma_{WZjj-EW}}{\sigma_{WZjj-EW}} \left[\%\right]$	$rac{\Delta \sigma_{WZjj-\text{strong}}}{\sigma_{WZjj-\text{strong}}}$ [%]
WZjj–EW theory modelling	7	1.8
WZjj–QCD theory modelling	2.8	8
<i>WZjj</i> -EW and <i>WZjj</i> -QCD interference	0.35	0.6
PDFs	1.0	0.06
Jets	2.3	5
Pile-up	1.1	0.6
Electrons	0.8	0.8
Muons	0.9	0.9
<i>b</i> -tagging	0.10	0.11
MC statistics	1.9	1.2
Misid. lepton background	2.3	2.3
Other backgrounds	0.9	0.23
Luminosity	0.7	0.9
All systematics	16	12
Statistics	10	6
Total	19	13



in association with two jets

Differential cross-section measurement

$$\sigma_{WZjj-\text{EW}}^{i} = \mu_{WZjj-\text{EW}}^{i} \cdot \sigma_{WZjj-\text{EW}}^{i,\text{ th. MC}} = \frac{N_{\text{fit}}^{i}}{\mathcal{L} \cdot C_{i}}, \quad C_{i} = \frac{N_{\text{MC, det.}}^{i}}{N_{\text{MC, part.}}^{i}}$$

 $C_i$  is a bin-by-bin correction factor for detector inefficiency, resolution and bin-to-bin migrations.





# Measurements of the ZZ production

in the four-lepton final state (Arxiv)

Signal:  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$ ,  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$ ,  $qq \rightarrow ZZ + 2j$ 



Background:  $t\bar{t}Z$ , VVV, other reducible backgrounds This analysis uses pp collision data recorded by the ATLAS detector in 2022, the first year of the Run 3 data taking period.

The Observed and predicted detector level yields in the SR

Process	$q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$	$gg \rightarrow ZZ$	EW $qq \rightarrow ZZ + 2j$	$t\bar{t}Z$	VVV	Reducible	Total	Data
Yield	$515 \pm 50$	$74 \pm 44$	$4.7 \pm 1.0$	$5.5\pm0.8$	$2.1\pm0.2$	$25.4\pm8.1$	$626 \pm 88$	625

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN Signal region kinematic distributions. Data are compared with the predictions with all uncertainties included.



# Measurements of the ZZ production

## in the four-lepton final state (Arxiv)

The inclusive cross-section in the fiducial region is calculated as:

 $\sigma_{\rm fid} = \frac{N_{\rm obs} - N_{\rm bkg}}{L \times C_{ZZ}}$ 

And it's measured to be:

 $\sigma_{\text{fid}} = 36.7 \pm 1.6(\text{stat}) \pm 1.5(\text{syst}) \pm 0.8(\text{lumi}) \text{ fb}$ 

Source	Relative uncertainty(%)
Data statistical uncertainty	4.2
MC statistical uncertainty	0.3
Luminosity	2.2
Pile-up	0.3
Lepton momentum	0.2
Lepton efficiency	3.7
Background	1.6
Theoretical uncertainty	1.0
Total	6.3

The measured differential cross-sections (filled points) are compared with the predictions in each bins.

- error bars give the total uncertainty
- the hatched band gives the systematic uncertainty MATRIX calculates  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  at NLO QCD accuracy. Only the QCD scale uncertainty is considered in the MATRIX predictions.





in association with two jets (EPJC)



Signal: EWK  $W\gamma jj$ Dominant Background: Strong  $W\gamma jj$ 

	$\mathrm{SR}^{\mathrm{fid}}\left(N_{\mathrm{jets}}^{\mathrm{gap}}=0\right)$	$\operatorname{CR}^{\operatorname{fid}}\left(N_{\operatorname{jets}}^{\operatorname{gap}}>0\right)$
EW Wyjj	$520 \pm 141$	$120 \pm 49$
Strong <i>Wγjj</i>	$1550\pm830$	$1970 \pm 950$
Non-prompt	$692 \pm 57$	$698 \pm 58$
Top quark processes	$109 \pm 18$	$183 \pm 37$
EW + strong $Z\gamma jj$	$128 \pm 34$	$163 \pm 77$
Total	$3000 \pm 830$	$3140 \pm 960$
Data	3341	3143

The differential cross-sections for EWK  $W\gamma jj$  are measured as functions of two types of variables:

- VBS observables
- charge conjugation and parity (CP) observables.

## The signal and control regions are defined as:

Fiducial cross-section	SR	fid	$CR^{fid}$		
	$N_{ m jets}^{ m gar}$	$r^{2} = 0$	$N_{\rm jets}^{\rm gap} > 0$		
Differential cross-section	SR CR <sub>A</sub>		CR <sub>B</sub>	CR <sub>C</sub>	
$m_{jj} > 1 \text{ TeV}$	$N_{\rm jets}^{\rm gap} = 0$ $\xi_{l\gamma} < 0.35$	$N_{ m jets}^{ m gap} > 0$ $\xi_{l\gamma} < 0.35$	$N_{\rm jets}^{\rm gap} > 0$ $0.35 < \xi_{l\gamma} < 1$	$N_{\rm jets}^{\rm gap} = 0$ $0.35 < \xi_{l\gamma} < 1$	

Where,

$$\xi_{l\gamma} = |(y_{l\gamma} - (y_{j_1} + y_{j_2})/2)/(y_{j_1} - y_{j_2})|$$

Measures The centrality of the lepton-photon system relative to the VBS tagged jets



in association with two jets (EPJC)



The measured EW  $W\gamma jj$  fiducial cross-section compared with the predictions of SHERPA and MADGRAPH5+PYTHIA8



The difference between the predicted cross-section between MadGraph5+Pythia8 and Sherpa arises due to the third parton included in the matrix element of Sherpa. <u>arxiv</u>



## Differential cross section and EFT

Expected and observed 95% CL limits for specified  $m_{W\gamma}$  cut-off values, where the expected limit for some operators intersects with the unitarity bounds derived from partial wave unitarity constraints. Note "-" is used in the column for those operators that do not cross the unitarity bound over the range of the clipping scan

Coefficients [TeV <sup>-4</sup> ]	Observable	$M_{W\gamma}$ cut-off [TeV]	Expected [TeV <sup>-4</sup> ]	Observed [TeV <sup>-4</sup> ]
$f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{jj}$	-	[-2.4,2.4]	[-1.7,1.8]
$f_{T1}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{jj}$	-	[-1.5,1.6]	[-1.1,1.2]
$f_{T2}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{jj}$	-	[-4.4,4.7]	[-3.1,3.5]
$f_{T3}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\hat{j}j}$	-	[-3.3,3.5]	[-2.4,2.6]
$f_{T4}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\hat{j}j}$	-	[-3.0,3.0]	[-2.2,2.2]
$f_{T5}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\hat{j}j}$	1.1	[-9.9,9.9]	[-7.5,7.5]
$f_{T6}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\hat{j}j}$	1.3	[-7.4,7.6]	[-5.2,5.4]
$f_{T7}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\hat{j}j}$	-	[-3.8,3.9]	[-2.7,2.8]
$f_{M0}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{l}$	-	[-38,37]	[-38,37]
$f_{M1}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{f}$	-	[-57,58]	[-41,42]
$f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{l}$	0.8	[-110,110]	[-88,82]
$f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{I}$	1.1	[-100,110]	[-73,77]
$f_{M4}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{l}$	1.0	[-118,111]	[-89,83]
$f_{M5}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{I}$	1.3	[-57,80]	[-32,77]
$f_{M7}/\Lambda^4$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{f}$	-	[-96,95]	[-69,68]





## WZ polarization and Radiation Amplitude Zero effect (Arxiv)

- **Radiation Amplitude Zero(RAZ) effect**: The dominant helicity amplitude with two transversely-polarized bosons is exactly zero when the scattering angle of the W boson in the WZ rest frame with respect to the incoming antiquark direction approaches **90 degrees**, which is from the gauge structure of SM.
- The RAZ effect will leads to a dip around 0 in the  $\Delta Y(WZ)$  and  $\Delta Y(\ell_W Z)$  distributions for TT (transverse transverse) component of WZ production process.
- The other components are subtracted from data, an iterative Bayesian unfolding method is used to correct the detector effects

Process	$100 < p_T^Z \le 200 \text{ GeV}$	$p_T^Z > 200 \text{ GeV}$
$W_0Z_0$	$222 \pm 5$	$47.6 \pm 1.5$
$W_0 Z_T + W_T Z_0$	$323 \pm 12$	$23.7\pm0.8$
$W_T Z_T$	$856 \pm 31$	$124 \pm 4$
Prompt background	$169 \pm 18$	$24.1 \pm 2.7$
Non-prompt background	$68 \pm 29$	$2.8 \pm 1.1$
Total Expected	$1640 \pm 60$	222 ± 8
Data	1740	236



# WZ polarization and Radiation Amplitude Zero effect

(<u>Arxiv</u>)

Diboson polarization fractions are measured in two regions enhanced in events with 00 polarization:

- $p_T^{WZ} < 70 \text{ GeV}$
- $100 < p_T^Z \le 200 \text{ GeV}$  or  $p_T^Z > 200 \text{ GeV}$

BDTs are trained to further separate the 00 component from other components and backgrounds



Diboson polarization fractions are measured in two signal regions with enhanced longitudinal polarization for both bosons

	Measurement			Predictio	n
	$100 < p_T^Z \le 200 \text{ GeV}$	$p_T^Z > 200 \text{ GeV}$		$100 < p_T^Z \le 200 \text{ GeV}$	$p_T^Z > 200 \text{ GeV}$
$f_{00}$	$0.19 \pm _{0.03}^{0.03} (\text{stat}) \pm _{0.02}^{0.02} (\text{syst})$	$0.13 \pm _{0.08}^{0.09} (\text{stat}) \pm _{0.02}^{0.02} (\text{syst})$	$f_{00}$	$0.152 \pm 0.006$	$0.234 \pm 0.007$
$f_{0T+T0}$	$0.18 \pm _{0.08}^{0.07} (\text{stat}) \pm _{0.06}^{0.05} (\text{syst})$	$0.23 \pm _{0.18}^{0.17} (\text{stat}) \pm _{0.10}^{0.06} (\text{syst})$	$f_{0T}$	$0.120 \pm 0.002$	$0.062 \pm 0.002$
ftt	$0.63 \pm _{0.05}^{0.05} (\text{stat}) \pm _{0.04}^{0.04} (\text{syst})$	$0.64 \pm _{0.12}^{0.12} (\text{stat}) \pm _{0.06}^{0.06} (\text{syst})$	$f_{T0}$	$0.109 \pm 0.001$	$0.058 \pm 0.001$
$f_{00}$ obs (exp) sig.	5.2 (4.3) <i>σ</i>	$1.6(2.5)\sigma$	$f_{TT}$	$0.619 \pm 0.007$	$0.646 \pm 0.008$



# Evidence of pair production of longitudinally polarized vector bosons of ZZ (<u>Arxiv</u>)

Pre- and post-fit expected and

مامم مرمط برنماط

## BDT train for LL component selection



		Pre-fit Post-fit				
ZZ	$Z_{\rm L}Z_{\rm L}$	189.3 ± 8.7 220 ± 54				
	$Z_{\rm T}Z_{\rm L}$	$710 \pm 29$ $711 \pm 29$				
	$Z_{\mathrm{T}}Z_{\mathrm{T}}$	$2170 \pm 120  2147 \pm 60$				
	Interference	$33.7 \pm 2.8  33.4 \pm 2.7$				
Non-prompt		$18.7 \pm 7.1  18.5 \pm 7.0$				
Others		$20.0 \pm 3.7$ 19.9 $\pm 3.7$				
Total		$3140 \pm 150  3149 \pm 57$				
Data		3149 3149				

### BDT post-fit



The measured LL component of ZZ is (4.3 $\sigma$ ):

$$\mu_{LL} = 1.15 \pm 0.27 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.11 (\text{syst.}) = 1.15 \pm 0.29$$

 $\sigma_{Z_L Z_L}^{\text{obs.}} = 2.45 \pm 0.56 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.21 (\text{syst.}) \text{ fb}$ 



# Study of CP property of ZZ

To improve the sensitivity to detect the presence of a CP-odd aNTGC (anomalous neutral triple gauge couplings), an angular observable is formed to maximize the asymmetry for each Z-boson system:

 $T_{yz,1(3)} = \sin \phi_{1(3)} \times \cos \theta_{1(3)}$ 



Particle level 2D differential cross-sections from SM prediction (left) and in the presence of the BSM aNTGC vertex (right).



After  $2D \rightarrow 1D$  mapping



# Study of CP property of ZZ

### Results



Contribution	Relative uncertainty [%]	
Total	24	
Data statistical uncertainty	23	
Total systematic uncertainty	8.8	
MC statistical uncertainty	1.7	
Theoretical systematic uncertainties		
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$ interference modelling	6.9	
NLO reweighting observable choice for $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$	3.7	
PDF, $\alpha_s$ and parton shower for $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$	2.2	
NLO reweighting non-closure	1.0	
QCD scale for $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$	0.2	
NLO EW corrections for $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$	0.2	
$gg \rightarrow ZZ$ modelling	1.4	
Experimental systematic uncertainties		
Luminosity	0.8	
Muons	0.6	
Electrons	0.4	
Non-prompt background	0.3	
Pile-up reweighting	0.3	
Triboson and $t\bar{t}Z$ normalisations	0.1	



## $WZ\gamma$ (<u>PRL</u>) and $W\gamma\gamma$ (<u>inspire</u>) observation

## $WZ\gamma$ observation

## Simultaneous fit with $\mu_{ZZ\gamma}$ , $\mu_{ZZ}$ ;

 $WZ\gamma$  observed with 6.3  $\sigma$  $\sigma_{WZ\gamma} = 2.01 \pm 0.30 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.16 \text{ (syst.) fb}$ 

Process	SR	$ZZ\gamma$ CR	$ZZ(e \rightarrow \gamma) \operatorname{CR}$
$WZ\gamma$	$92 \pm 15$	$0.21 \pm 0.07$	$0.56 \pm 0.14$
$ZZ\gamma$	$10.7 \pm 2.3$	$23 \pm 5$	$1.8 \pm 0.4$
$ZZ(e \rightarrow \gamma)$	$3.0 \pm 0.6$	$0.028 \pm 0.020$	$30 \pm 6$
$Z\gamma\gamma$	$1.05\pm0.32$	$0.15\pm0.06$	$0.29 \pm 0.10$
Fake background	$30 \pm 6$	-	-
Pile-up $\gamma$	$1.9 \pm 0.7$	-	-
Total predicted	$139 \pm 12$	$23 \pm 5$	$33 \pm 6$
Data	139	23	33
· ····		> 70	



## $W\gamma\gamma$ observation

#### data-driven Fake estimated in control regions

#### *WZ* $\gamma$ observed with 5.6 $\sigma$

$\sigma_{fid}$ =	$12.1^{+2.5}_{-2.2} \text{ fb}^{-1}$
------------------	--------------------------------------





## Summary

## New multi-boson cross-section (integrated and differential) measurements of:

- EWK WZjj production
- ZZ production (Run 3 data)
- EWK W $\gamma$ jj production

#### New evidence or observations @ATLAS:

- Observation of the EWK diboson production with a high-mass dijet system in semi-leptonic final states
- First Radiation Amplitude Zero effect in WZ production
- Evidence of pair production of longitudinally polarized vector bosons of ZZ
- Observation of  $WZ\gamma$  production
- Observation of  $W\gamma\gamma$  production

Each analysis includes EFT interpretations that can be included in the combinations in the future.

More challenges and opportunities in the future with more data and higher quality!







in association with two jets



The measured WZjj–EW and WZjj–strong integrated crosssections compared with predictions from MadGraph+PYTHIA8 and Sherpa 2.2.12



