Recent Dark Matter results from the LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) Experiment

Albert Baker
on behalf of the LZ collaboration

Blois 2024

23rd October 2024

LZ Collaboration

- Black Hills State University
- Brookhaven National Laboratory
- Brown University
- Center for Underground Physics
- Edinburgh University
- Fermi National Accelerator Lab.
- Imperial College London
- King's College London
- Lawrence Berkeley National Lab.
- Lawrence Livermore National Lab.
- LIP Coimbra
- Northwestern University
- Pennsylvania State University
- Royal Holloway University of London
- SLAC National Accelerator Lab.
- South Dakota School of Mines & Tech
- South Dakota Science & Technology Authority
- STFC Rutherford Appleton Lab.
- Texas A&M University
- University of Albany, SUNY
- University of Alabama
- University of Bristol
- University College London
- University of California Berkeley
- University of California Davis
- University of California Los Angeles
- University of California Santa Barbara
- University of Liverpool
- University of Maryland
- University of Massachusetts, Amherst
- University of Michigan
- University of Oxford
- University of Rochester
- University of Sheffield
- University of Sydney
- University of Texas at Austin
- University of Wisconsin, Madison
- University of Zürich

38 Institutions, 250 scientists, engineers, and technical staff

SANFORD UNDERGROUND RESEARCH FACILITY

UKRI Science and Technology Facilities Council

fct Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia

Swiss National Science Foundation

ibs Institute for Basic Science

Xenon detectors for dark matter searches

- Large nucleus
  - Significant coherent nuclear scaling ($\sigma \sim A^2$)
- Significant self shielding due to high liquid density (3 g/cm^3)
- Noble gasses are easy to purify
  - Dedicated processes for Rn and Kr removal





- Proven track record for ~2 decades
- Scalable technology
  - Kg to multi-tonne scale

Two-phase Time Projection Chambers (TPCs)

- Liquid target with thin vapour layer
- Time resolved scintillation and ionisation signals
  - Light = prompt scintillation (**S1**)
  - Charge = delayed electroluminescence of ionisation electrons (**S2**)
- 3D vertex reconstruction:
  - (X, Y) from S2 hit pattern
  - Z from the time electrons take to drift to surface
- Discriminate electron (ER) and nuclear (NR) recoils using S2/S1
  - 1 part in 10,000 in ZEPLIN-III [1,2]



[1] V. N. Lebedenko et al. Phys. Rev. D 80, 052010
[2] H. Araújo arXiv:2007.01683



Pulses
S1
MPE
SPE
S2
SE
Other
S2
S1
←Δt = 470 μs→
Amplitude [phd/ns]
Time [μs]
S1
77 phd
S2
11373 phd
Amplitude [phd/ns]
Time [μs]
Time [μs]
TPC Top array
TPC Bottom array
Active
Fiducial
Drift Time Δt [μs]
Radius R [cm]

Veto detectors

- Outer detector (OD):
  - Gd-loaded liquid scintillator
  - Detects neutrons via γ-rays from neutron capture
- Skin xenon veto:
  - Instrumented xenon outside the TPC
  - Detects primary γ-rays from components/target
- Vital to measure and constrain neutron backgrounds
  - **92 ± 4 %** neutron veto efficiency from simulations
  - 89 ± 3 % neutron veto efficiency derived from AmLi calibrations





TPC Underground 2019

Installation complete 2020

Science data from 2021

World leading WIMP limits 2022

KING'S College LONDON



TPC Underground 2019

Installation complete 2020

Science data from 2021

World leading WIMP limits 2022



2024 Science Run

- Acquired data for ~370 days
  - 95.2% detector up-time
  - **220 live-day exposure**
- Performed intermittent calibrations
- High target purity throughout
  - Minimal suppression of charge (S2) signals



KING'S College LONDON

Calibrations

- Electronic recoils (background)
  - Tritium radiolabelled methane & ^{14}C
  - Mono-energetic ^{83m}Kr
- Nuclear recoils (signal)
  - DD neutron generator (2.45 MeV neutrons)
  - An AmLi source [1], which emits low energy (<1.5 MeV) neutrons, can be positioned at nine different depths
- NEST model:
  - Light gain (g_1): **0.112 ± 0.002 phd/photon**
  - Charge gain (g_2): **34.0 ± 0.9 phd/electron**
  - Single electron amplification: 44.5 phd
  - **99.9% discrimination** of β below 40 GeV WIMP median



Calibrations paper: J. Aalbers et al 2024 JINST 19 P08027
[1]: A.B.M.R. Sazzad et al 2023 JINST 18 P05006

Background Model

- Understand backgrounds from in-situ measurement of sidebands and assays
- Expect 1207 ER background events in WS2024:
  - ^{214}Pb β-decay is dominant at 60%
  - Double electron capture
  - Solar neutrinos
- Expect 0.18 NR CEνNS events
  - Excluded by region of interest for dedicated search
- Neutrons from spontaneous fission in detector components and (α,n) reactions
- Accidental backgrounds from isolated S1 and S2 pulses



Radon tagging to remove dominant ^{214}Pb background

- Circulation/cooling systems control Xe flow
- High mixing state
  - Uniform injected calibration sources
- Low mixing state
  - Confinement of central volume
  - **Rn backgrounds can't reach the centre**
- Map Xe flow with Rn and Po decays
- Predict location of future ^{214}Pb decays
  - Observe ^{218}Po α-decay
  - Tag interactions around Xe streamlines
  - Track for 81 minutes (~3 × ^{214}Pb $\tau_{1/2}$)
  - Tag incorporated into statistical analysis
  - **~60% tagging efficiency**







- Tagging reduces ^{214}Pb background by a factor 2.1
  - 3.9 ± 0.6 μBq/Kg (total) → 1.8 ± 0.3 μBq/Kg (untagged)
- N.B.: plots are above the WIMP region-of-interest (ROI)
- ^{133}Xe restricted above 81 keV (and ROI) by γ-ray emission
- ^{133}Xe a short-lived activation product from preceding neutron calibration

Electron Captures (EC)

- Background in LZ (5.2 keV L-shell):
  - Single EC: $^{125/127}Xe$ - from NR activation
  - Double EC: ^{124}Xe - **$T_{1/2} \sim 10^{22}$ years!** [1,2]
- EC suffers from E-field suppressed charge yield [3]
  - **Looks more NR like than normal**
- Prelim. WS2024 measurement: **Q_L/Q_β = 0.86±0.01** [4]





[1] XENON1T Nature 568, 532-535 (2019)
[2] LZ arXiv:2408.17391
[3] Previously measured by XELDA (Temples et al, Phys. Rev. D 104, 112001 (2021))
[4] dedicated publication in progress

Accidental background

- Pile-up of unrelated S1-like and S2-like pulses
  - Looks like a single scatter and can mimic a WIMP
- Fraction of these have an unphysical drift time
  - Population to calculate rate with physical drift-time
- Model as product of isolated S1-like and S2-like pulses
- Distribution peaks at the low NR energy region
- Analysis cuts specifically tested on and tuned for this background
  - 99.5% rejection efficiency
  - Expect 2.8 ± 0.6 events in WS2024





Signal Acceptance

- Region of interest (ROI):
  - $S1_c$ = (3, 80) phd
  - $S2_c$ = (645, $10^{4.5}$) phd
    - Excludes ^{8}B for dedicated analysis
- Multiple event and pulse level cuts
  - FV, ROI, single scatter
  - Veto anti-coincidence
    - Delayed neutron capture
    - Prompt γ-ray interactions
  - S1 & S2 based cuts
- Cuts developed using data outside ROI
- 50% efficiency at 5.4 keV



Bias Mitigation (Salting)

- Inject fake events (salt) into the data stream
- Generated by pairing S1 and S2 pulses from calibration
  - Embed measured waveforms back into the data stream
- Events sampled as follows:
  - Unknown rate below LZ's WS2022 result
  - Recoil spectra of a WIMP of unknown mass
  - Additional contribution for high mass WIMP searches with flat NR spectrum
- Allows us to understand the ROI whilst minimising bias





Event positions, fiducial volume (FV), and vetos

- Majority of backgrounds are peripheral
  - Self-shielding prevents infiltration
- Reject the majority of backgrounds with a fiducial cut
  - Azimuthal dependence added for WS2024
  - Defined to admit **<0.01 wall background events**
- Fiducial mass of **5.5 ± 0.2 tonnes**





KING'S College LONDON

LZ Preliminary

Expected result 2024

- Statistical analysis in $Log_{10}(S2_c)$-$S1_c$ space
  - $S1_c$ = (3, 80) phd
  - $S2_c$ = (645, $10^{4.5}$) phd
    - Excludes ^{8}B for dedicated analysis
- Generate templates of each fit component in this using out simulation framework
  - In-situ measurements & assays provide rate priors
  - Find the best fit of each component for several WIMP masses
- WIMP template (PDF) has a longer tail for larger masses
  - They all peak at low energies



Expected result 2024

- Statistical analysis in $\mathrm{Log_{10}(S2_c)}$-$\mathrm{S1_c}$ space
  - $\mathrm{S1_c}$ = (3, 80) phd
  - $\mathrm{S2_c}$ = (645, $10^{4.5}$) phd
    - Excludes ^{8}B for dedicated analysis
- Generate templates of each fit component in this using out simulation framework
  - In-situ measurements & assays provide rate priors
  - Find the best fit of each component for several WIMP masses
- WIMP template (PDF) has a longer tail for larger masses
  - They all peak at low energies



Result 2024

- Statistical analysis in $Log_{10}(S2_c)$-$S1_c$ space
  - $S1_c$ = (3, 80) phd
  - $S2_c$ = (645, $10^{4.5}$) phd
    - Excludes ^8B for dedicated analysis
- Exposure of 220 live-days × 5.5 tonnes:
  - 3.3 tonne years



KING'S College LONDON

Result 2024

- Statistical analysis in $\text{Log}_{10}(S2_c)$-$S1_c$ space
  - $S1_c$ = (3, 80) phd
  - $S2_c$ = (645, $10^{4.5}$) phd
    - Excludes ^8B for dedicated analysis
- Exposure of 220 live-days × 5.5 tonnes:
  - 3.3 tonne years
- 8 salt events injected
  - 1 was removed by cuts
  - This is consistent with the signal efficiency
- 1220 events remain after un-salting
- **No changes post un-salting**



Fit results

- Best fit of zero WIMPs at all masses (9 GeV → 100 TeV)
- Good agreement with background in all studied spaces

Component	Prior	Best fit
^{214}Pb β-decays	743 ± 88	733 ± 34
^{85}Kr & ^{39}Ar & detector γ-rays	162 ± 22	161 ± 21
Solar ν ERs	102 ± 6	102 ± 6
^{212}Pb + ^{218}Po β-decays	62.7 ± 7.5	63.7 ± 7.4
^{3}H + ^{14}C β-decays	58.3 ± 3.3	59.7 ± 3.3
^{136}Xe 2νββ decays	55.6 ± 8.3	55.8 ± 8.2
^{124}Xe DEC	19.4 ± 3.9	21.4 ± 3.6
^{127}Xe + ^{125}Xe EC	3.2 ± 0.6	2.7 ± 0.6
Atm. ν CEνNS	0.12 ± 0.02	0.12 ± 0.02
^{8}B + hep ν CEνNS	0.06 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.01
Det. Neutrons		$0.0^{+0.2}$
Accidentals	2.8 ± 0.6	2.6 ± 0.6
Total	**1210 ± 91**	**1203 ± 42**



Combined 2024 & 2022 Spin-independant Result

- Total exposure of 4.2 ± 0.1 tonne-years
- Included the 2022 result at an additional likelihood in the PLR
- No changes were performed to the 2022 analysis or dataset
- Peak sensitivity: **2.1×10^{-48} cm^2 @ 36 GeV/c^2**
- Factor of 4 improvement in sensitivity into new parameter space
- The 2022 background under fluctuation still drives the unconstrained limit at low masses



Combined 2024 & 2022 Spin-dependant Results

Neutron



Proton



Conclusions

- World leading limit to WIMP dark matter
- Radon tag reduces main ER background by 60%
- First observation of charge suppression in DEC of ^{124}Xe
- LZ continuing onwards towards 1000 live-days (2028)
    - Multiple other areas of interest (^{8}B CEνNS, 0ν2β, etc.)

Further information

- WS2024: arXiv:2410.17036 [this work]
- WS2022: Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 041002
- WS2022 backgrounds: Phys. Rev. D 108, 012010
- ER searches in WS2022: Phys. Rev. D 108, 072006
- Talk by Qing Xia on diffuse supernova neutrino background
    - 18:50 PM today (this session)