# First Constraints on the Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background from the LZ experiment.

Qing (Shilo) Xia Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory On behalf of the LZ Collaboration

> 35th Rencontres de Blois Oct. 23, 2024

#### Understanding core collapse supernovae through DSNB

- **Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background (DSNB):** a nearly isotropic flux of neutrinos cumulatively originating from **all past core-collapse supernovae** Prediction: Core collapse supernova releases  $\sim$ 2 x 10<sup>59</sup> MeV in neutrinos of all flavors in similar amounts
- $\triangleright$  Detecting DSNB is the only feasible way of probing average neutrino emission per core collapse



#### Understanding core collapse supernovae through DSNB

 $\triangleright$  DSNB flux for a single neutrino flavor:

$$
\begin{aligned} \Phi(E_\nu) = & \frac{c}{H_0} \int_{8M_\odot}^{125M_\odot} dM \int_0^{z_{\rm max}} dz \frac{R_{\rm SN}(z,M)}{\sqrt{\Omega_M(1+z)^3+\Omega_\Lambda}} \\ & \times [f_{\rm NS} F_{\rm NS}\left(E_\nu',M\right)+f_{\rm BH} F_{\rm BH}\left(E_\nu',M\right)] \\ & \text{Phys. Rev. D 105, 043008 (2022)} \end{aligned}
$$

## Understanding core collapse supernovae through DSNB

**supernova rate density**

DSNB flux for a single neutrino flavor:

$$
\begin{aligned} \Phi(E_\nu) = &\frac{c}{H_0} \int_{8M_\odot}^{125M_\odot} dM \int_0^{z_{\rm max}} dz \frac{R_{\rm SN}(z,M)}{\sqrt{\Omega_M(1+z)^3+\Omega_\Lambda}} \\ &\times [f_{\rm NS} F_{\rm NS}\left(E_\nu',M\right)+f_{\rm BH} F_{\rm BH}\left(E_\nu',M\right)] \\ &\qquad \qquad \text{Phys. Rev. D 105, 043008 (2022)} \end{aligned}
$$

#### What we can learn from DSNB:

- $\triangleright$   $f_{NS}$ ,  $f_{BH}$ : Fraction of neutron star (NS) and black hole (BH)- forming progenitors
- $\triangleright$  Nuclear equation of state
- $\triangleright$  Neutrino flavor evolution in the supernoval

 $\blacktriangleright$  . The set of th

 Non-standard physics: Neutrino decay; DSNB interacting with cosmic relic neutrinos and dark matter

4

# Existing limits on DSNB

- Understanding of core collapse depends on probing DSNB in all flavors Stringent limits have been set on  $\overline{v}_e$  (2.7 cm-2s-1 by Super-K) and  $v_e$  (19 cm-2s-1 by SNO). Super-K is close to a first detection of DSNB  $\overline{v}_e$ <sup>\*</sup>  $\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{i!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{$ 
	- $\triangleright$  Primary channel in Super-K:

 $\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow n + e^+$ 

 $\triangleright$  Primary channel in SNO: Expected nuclear-recoil specific spectrum and with the compact with  $\mathbb{Z}$ 

in an ideal 
$$
\times
$$
  $\nu_e\!+\!d\rightarrow e^- \!+\! p\!+\! p$ 

\*DOI: 10.1038/d41586-024-02221-y

# Existing limits on DSNB

- Understanding of core collapse depends on probing DSNB in all flavors Stringent limits have been set on  $\overline{v}_e$  (2.7 cm-2s-1 by Super-K) and  $v_e$  (19 cm-2s-1 by SNO). Super-K is close to a first detection of DSNB  $\overline{v}_e$ <sup>\*</sup>  $\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{i!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{$ 
	- $\triangleright$  Primary channel in Super-K:

 $\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow n + e^+$ 

 $\triangleright$  Primary channel in SNO: Expected nuclear-recoil specific spectrum and with the compact with  $\mathbb{Z}$ 

$$
\text{max}_{\text{mean}}\times \nu_e + d \rightarrow e^- + p + p
$$

Limits on  $v_x$  (each of  $v_\mu$ ,  $\overline{v}_\mu$ ,  $v_\tau$ ,  $\overline{v}_\tau$ ) are weak ~10<sup>3</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>

$$
\nu_x + e^- \rightarrow \nu_x + e^-
$$
  

$$
\bar{\nu}_x + e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_x + e^-
$$

6

\*DOI: 10.1038/d41586-024-02221-y

# Probing DSNB with Xenon Detectors

7

DSNB flux dominates other neutrino fluxes in a narrow energy range



# Probing DSNB with Xenon Detectors

 DSNB flux dominates other neutrino fluxes in a narrow energy range Detection in xenon-based detectors is challenging



# Probing DSNB with Xenon Detectors

- DSNB flux dominates other neutrino fluxes in a narrow energy range
- Detection in xenon-based detectors is challenging
- However, useful limits can be set on  $v_x$  through the CE $\overline{v}$ NS channel in Xe Sensitivity predictions by theorists (A. M. Suliga, J. Beacom & I. Tamborra):



## LZ @ Sanford Underground Research Facility



- $\triangleright$  SURF in Lead, South Dakota is the deepest underground lab in the U.S.
- LZ is located on the 4850 level ~1.5 km underground
- $\geq$  ~10<sup>6</sup> reduction in cosmic muon flux
- $\triangleright$  Primary goal is to detect WIMPs\*

*\*Refer to Albert's talk for the latest LZ WIMP results*



### LZ @ Sanford Underground Research Facility



Ross<sup>L</sup>

IRE in Lead, South Dakota is the deepest underground lab in the U.S.  $\triangleright$  Latin  $\blacksquare$  is a set of the 4850 level  $\mathcal{A}$  and the 4850 level  $\mathcal{A}$ 

Ray Davis in the Homestake mine, 1971 11 11

 $\left| \begin{array}{c} \text{non-1000cm}\end{array} \right|$ 

Primary goal is to detect with the second contract with the second contract with the second contract with the second contract of t

#### Dual Phase Xenon Time Projection Chamber (TPC)



- $\triangleright$  Signal vs. background discrimination
	- Charge (S2)/ light (S1) ratio is different between electron recoil (ER) and nuclear recoil (NR)



Electron Recoil

Nuclear Recoil

- $\triangleright$  Electrons and gammas interact with atomic electrons, produce ER
- WIMPs, neutrinos (and neutrons) interact with Xe nuclei, produce NR 12

# Calibration Data

- $\triangleright$  Dark blue points: Tritium beta data (ER)\* (continuum betas up to 18.6 keV)
- Orange points: DD neutron data (NR)\* (2.45 MeV neutrons produced through Deuterium-Deuterium fusion)
- **ER/NR discrimination: <0.5% ER leakage past the median of the NR population**



13 \*Details about calibration source deployment: LZ Collaboration, *JINST* **<sup>19</sup>** P08027 (2024)

# LZ Science Run I (SR1) Data (Dec. 2021 - May 2022)

Exposure: 60 day x 5.5 t = 0.9 tonne-yr **Black points: 335 events observed** 

- Shaded gray: best fit ER background<br>
model<br>
Purple curves: 1 $\sigma$  and 2 $\sigma$  contours of<br>
the DSNB signal model
	- Purple curves: 1σ and 2σ contours of the DSNB signal
	- Orange: Atmospheric neutrino NR Shaded green: 8B neutrino 3.00 3.00 M/0.9 keVes



# Limits on DSNB  $\overline{\nu_x}$  Flux

 $\triangleright$  LZ SR1 limit on DSNB  $v_x$  flux: 686 - 826 cm-2s-1 at 90% C.L. for neutrino energy  $E > 19.3$  MeV Green: DSNB model predicted flux

→ Blue: Projected sensitivity vs. livetime<br>
→ Green: DSNB model predicted flux<br>
\*Error bar in black and band widths in blue<br>
and green come from DSNB model uncertainties<br>
→ Comparable to SK limits\*\*.<br>  $\Phi_{\nu_{\mu}+\nu_{\tau}} < (1$ \*Error bar in black and band widths in blue and green come from DSNB model uncertainties Comparable to SK limits\*\*:

$$
\Phi_{\nu_{\mu}+\nu_{\tau}} < (1.0-1.4) \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}
$$
  

$$
\Phi_{\bar{\nu}_{\mu}+\bar{\nu}_{\tau}} < (1.3-1.8) \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1},
$$

\*\*Lunardini and Peres, JCAP 08 033 (2008)



# Limits on fundamental DSNB emission parameters

- $\triangleright$  Solid black: LZ SR1 limit on the total emitted<br>  $\triangleright$   $\frac{L_{Z_{1000\text{-day DSNB}}}}{L_{Z_{1000\text{-day DSNB}}}}$ energy per  $v_x$  flavor  $\varepsilon_{v_x}$  vs. average neutrino  $|v_x|$ energy  $\langle E_{v} \rangle$
- $\triangleright$  Green and yellow band: 1σ and 2σ sensitivity bands bands
- $\triangleright$  Three points indcate the average eimssion parameters in the fiducial, minimial and<br>maximal DOND models maximal DSNB models



# Summary and outlook

- $\triangleright$  LZ can set competitive limit on DSNB  $v_x$  through the CE $v$ NS process  $\triangleright$  LZ's limit with an exposure of 0.9 tonne-yr is of the same order of magnitude as Super-K's limit with 1496 days x 22.5 kton of exposure
- $\triangleright$  Future LZ data will improve the limit by more than a factor of 3
- $\triangleright$  The current limit do not restrict any existing DSNB model but can be useful in the future, e.g.,
	- $\triangleright$  New astrophysical models where a larger neutron star or a black hole is formed ==> larger DSNB flux
	- $\triangleright$  New-physics models where neutrinos can escape more readily from the core of the proto-neutron star ==> larger mean neutrino energy

#### **LZ (LUX-ZEPLIN) Collaboration, <sup>38</sup> Institutions**

- **Black Hills State University**
- **Brookhaven National Laboratory**
- **Brown University**
- 
- **Edinburgh University**
- **Fermi National Accelerator Lab.**
- **Imperial College London**
- **King's College London** ● **Lawrence Berkeley National Lab.**
- **Lawrence Livermore National Lab.**
- **LIP Coimbra**
- **Northwestern University**
- **Pennsylvania State University**
- **Royal Holloway University of London**
- **SLAC National Accelerator Lab.**
- **South Dakota School of Mines & Tech**
- **South Dakota Science & Technology Authority**
- **STFC Rutherford Appleton Lab.**
- **Texas A&M University**
- **University of Albany, SUNY**
- **University of Alabama**
- **University of Bristol**
- **University College London**
- **University of California Berkeley**
- **University of California Davis**
- **University of California Los Angeles**
- **University of California Santa Barbara**
- **University of Liverpool**
- **University of Maryland**
- **University of Massachusetts, Amherst**
- **University of Michigan**
- **University of Oxford**
- **University of Rochester**
- **University of Sheffield**
- **University of Sydney**
- **University of Texas at Austin**
- **University of Wisconsin, Madison**
- **University of Zürich**

**250 scientists, engineers, and technical staff**











Thanks to our sponsors and participating institutions!



https://lz.lbl.gov/

# Thank you!

#### Why not setting limits on the supernova rate density?

 The total supernova rate density is much better<br>
understood (to ~10%<br>
uncertainty) than the flux<br>
from individual supernova<br>
collapse (we know nearly<br>
nothing about)<br>  $\frac{2}{3}$  a<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$  a<br>  $\frac{2}{3}$  a<br>  $\frac{2}{3}$  a<br>  $\frac{2}{3}$  a<br>  $\frac{2}{3}$  a<br> understood (to ~10% uncertainty) than the flux from individual supernova collapse (we know nearly nothing about)



 $\frac{1}{20}$ O. Graur etal2014 ApJ **783** 28

# LZ Science Run I Fit Results

