EFT in Supersymmetry Hilbert series in susy

Antonio Delgado **IAS Program on High Energy Physics** Based on: 2212.02551 & 2305.01736 with A. Martin and R. Wang (who made most of the slides)



Outline

- Introduction: Why EFT?
- Hilbert Series
- Supersymmetry
- EOM & IBP redundancies
- Conclusions

Introduction

- EFTs are everywhere:
 - Fermi Theory for β-decay
 - Nucleon-nucleon interactions
 - Phonons in CM
 -
- They include the relevant degrees of freedom for a particular range of energies.

- In the case of the SM the process is as follows:
 - Include all operators consistent with the symmetries of the SM to a given mass dimensions (5,6,7,8....)
 - Remove those operators which are redundant under EOM and IBP
 - The task is gigantic since in principle there are a huge amounts of operators.
 - Is there a way to make sure how many there are?



Hilbert Series

- Hilbert series provides with a way to count the number of operators for a given mass dimension.
- One just need to specify the field content, representation and quantum numbers.
 It works for different number of space time dimensions and also for different
- It works for different number of space spacetime symmetries.

$$\mathscr{H} = \int \frac{d\mu}{P} \frac{1}{P} PE\left[\sum_{i} \phi_{i} \chi_{R,i}\right] + \Delta H$$

- $d\mu$: project out Lorentz scalars 1
- $\frac{1}{P}$: remove IBP
- $PE[\sum_{i} \phi_{i} \chi_{R,i}]$: remove EOM



$$(\frac{1}{y})(z_1 + \frac{z_2}{z_1} + \frac{1}{z_2})u^{1/6} + 3L(x + \frac{1}{x})(y + \frac{1}{y})u^{-1/2}$$

$$1 + 57LQ^3 + 4818L^2Q^6 + \cdots$$

 One has to correct the counting by redundancies due to EOM and IBP.

EOM:
$$\partial^2 \phi \sim m^2 \phi$$
, $i\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi \sim m\psi^{\dagger}$, ...
Short conformal characters:
 $\bar{\chi}_{(0,0)} = P(\alpha, \beta, D)(1 - D^2)$
 $P(\alpha, \beta, D) = \left((1 - D\alpha\beta)(1 - \frac{D}{\alpha\beta})(1 - \frac{D\alpha}{\beta})(1 - \frac{D\beta}{\alpha})\right)$
 $\bar{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2},0)} = P(\alpha, \beta, D)((\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}) - D(\beta + \frac{1}{\beta})), \quad \bar{\chi}_{(0,\frac{1}{2})} = P(\alpha, \beta, D)((\beta + \frac{1}{\beta}) - D(\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}))$
 $\mathcal{H} = \int d\mu \frac{1}{P} PE[\sum_{i} \phi_{i} \chi_{R,i}] + \Delta H$
Operators less than dimension 5
 $d\mu$: project out Lorentz scalars
 $\frac{1}{P}$: remove IBP

Operators less than dimension 5.

- Short conformal characters: remove EOM

-1

Supersymmetry:

Non-supersymmetric the

Fields Scalar field ϕ , fermion field γ

Derivatives

Partial derivative ∂_{μ}

$$\{\partial_{\alpha},\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\}=2i\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu}$$

Lagrangian $S = \int d^4 x \mathscr{L}(x)$ Lorentz s Single

Which one to choose, D-term or F-term?

$$\int d^4 x W(\Phi_i, \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 S_i)$$
$$= \int d^4 x \{\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 [S_k h(\Phi$$

eory
$$\psi$$
, etc.Supersymmetry
Chiral superfield Φ
 $\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi = 0, \ \partial_{\alpha} \Phi^{\dagger} = 0$ ψ_{α} , etc. $\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi = 0, \ \partial_{\alpha} \Phi^{\dagger} = 0$ Super derivatives $\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}$ $D_{\alpha} \equiv \partial_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\alpha}} - i\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\overline{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu};$ $\overline{D_{\dot{\alpha}}} \equiv \partial_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\dot{\alpha}}} + i\theta^{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu}$ scalar
et $\mathcal{L} = \int d^4 \theta K(\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}) + \int d^2 \theta W(\Phi) + h.c$ ψ_{α} ψ_{α}

$$\{\boldsymbol{P}_i, \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 S_i\}\}_{\mathcal{F}} \sim \int d^4 x [S_k h(\Phi_i, \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 S_i)]_{\mathcal{D}},$$

C .

EOM in Supersymmetry

 $\partial_{\alpha}^2 \phi = m \phi^*, \ i \sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\mu} \psi^{\alpha} = m \psi^{\dagger}_{\dot{\alpha}}.$



For a chiral superfield Φ , equation of motion is given by $\partial_{\alpha}^2 \Phi \sim m \Phi^{\dagger}$. We can verify this relation by expanding Φ in components, i.e. $\Phi = \phi(y) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi(y) + \theta\theta F(y)$, where $y^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + i\theta\sigma^{m}\overline{\theta}$, and we get

$$\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{\alpha}\Phi \\
\partial_{\beta}\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi \\
\partial_{\gamma}\partial_{\dot{\beta}}\partial_{\beta}\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi \\
\partial_{\dot{\tau}}\partial_{\gamma}\partial_{\dot{\beta}}\partial_{\beta}\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi \\
\dots
\end{array}$$

Indices are chosen to be symmetric combinations

SUSY

Fermionic Part

$$\bar{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2},0)} = P(\alpha,\beta,D)((\alpha+\frac{1}{\alpha}) - D(\beta+\frac{1}{\beta}))$$

P: spurion of super derivative

IBP in Supersymmetry —2 Independent IBP Relations

3 different derivatives ∂_{μ} , ∂_{α} , $\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}$

Only 2 of them are independent!

Still, we have 2 relations and the previous 1/P factor doesn't work here. (P is not a rep here)

It is tempting to simply subtract the number of $X^{\alpha}, X^{\dot{\alpha}}$ to get the number of independent operators, because it seems like that one operator with one fewer derivative provides one IBP relation, and if we get rid of all these operators, our result is free of IBP. However it's incorrect because these IBP relations can be linearly dependent!

$K \sim K' + \partial_{\alpha} X^{\alpha}$ $K \sim K' + \partial_{\dot{\alpha}} X^{\dot{\alpha}}$ **3 IBP relations** $K \sim K' + \partial_{\mu} X^{\mu}$ $K \sim K' + \partial_{\alpha} (\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} X^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}) + \partial_{\dot{\alpha}} (\partial_{\alpha} X^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}) \quad \longleftarrow$



-Correction Space

Starting with a space \mathcal{O} , we define the **zeroth order equivalence relations** on \mathcal{O} as follows:

$$o_1 \sim o_2 + \sum \mathcal{F}_i s_i, \quad o_i \in \mathcal{O}, s_i \in S_i^0.$$

We call \mathcal{S}_j^1 the first order correction space if all e
 $\mathcal{T}_{ij}^1 s_j \neq 0, \quad and \quad \mathcal{F}_i \mathcal{T}_{ij}^1 s_j = 0, \quad (no \ sums \ over \ i)$
A space \mathcal{S}_j^n is called the **nth-order correction** to \mathcal{O}
 $\mathcal{T}_{ij}^n : S_j^n \to S_i^{(n-1)}, \text{ such that:}$
 $\mathcal{T}_{ij}^n s_j \neq 0, \quad and \quad \mathcal{T}_{ki}^{n-1} \mathcal{T}_{ij}^n s_j = 0, \forall s_j \in S_j^n, \forall k,$
and is denoted as $\mathcal{S}_j^n(\{\mathcal{S}_i^{n-1}\} \to \{\mathcal{S}_i^{n-2}\}), n \geq 2.$

- elements in S_j^1 satisfy the following conditions:
- i), $\forall s_j \in \mathcal{S}_j^1$.
- \mathcal{O} if there exist **maps**:

of independent operators =
#
$$\{\mathcal{O}\} - \# \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^0\} + \# \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^1\} - \# \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^2\} -$$

–SMEFT Example Revisit

e.g.
$$\mathcal{O}_i \sim \mathcal{O}_j + \sum_n \partial_\mu \mathcal{O}_n^\mu$$
, $\mathcal{O}_i, \mathcal{O}_j \in \{X\}, \mathcal{O}_n^\mu$
Operator Space
If we identify $\mathcal{T}_{11}^1 \equiv \partial_\mu$ and $\mathcal{F}_1 \equiv \partial_\nu$.

 $\mathscr{I}_1 \mathscr{T}_{11}^1 s = \partial_\mu \partial_\nu X^{[\mu\nu]} = 0$ $X^{[\mu\nu]}$ is the first order correction space! $\mathscr{T}_{11}^1 \mathscr{T}_{11}^2 X^{[\mu\nu\rho]} = \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}X^{[\mu\nu\rho]} = 0$ $X^{[\mu\nu\rho]}$ is the second order correction space! $\mathcal{T}_{11}^2 \mathcal{T}_{11}^3 X^{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]} = \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}X^{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]} = 0$ $X^{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}$ is the third order correction space! $\{X\} \quad \longleftarrow \quad \{X^{\mu}\} \quad \longleftarrow \quad \{X^{[\mu\nu]}\}$ **Diagram:** 1 D^2 \boldsymbol{D} **Order:** $\sum D^n \chi_{X^{[\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n]}} = 1 - D(\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha})(\beta + \frac{1}{\beta}) + D^2[(1 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^2)(\beta + \frac{1}{\beta})] + D^2[(1 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^2)(\beta + \frac{1}{\beta})] + D^2[(1 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^2)(\beta + \frac{1}{\beta})] + D^2[(1 + \alpha$ Operator Space – IBP Space + First order



Terminates with four total antisymmetric indices in four dimensions.

$$\begin{array}{c} & \overbrace{\partial_{\rho}} \\ & \overbrace{X^{[\mu\nu\rho]}} \\ & \overbrace{D^{3}} \\ & D^{3} \\ & D^{4} \\ & +\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}) + (1+\beta^{2}+\frac{1}{\beta^{2}})] - D^{3}(\alpha+\frac{1}{\alpha})(\beta+\frac{1}{\beta}) + D^{4} = \frac{1}{P} \\ & \overbrace{\text{correction space}} \\ & - \end{array}$$





—6 Infinite Branches





It doesn't terminate because one can form infinite numbers of indices to be fully symmetric, in contrast to the antisymmetric case.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}X^{[\mu\nu\cdots]} = 0\\ \end{array}\\ & \overbrace{\begin{subarray}{c} \partial_{\mu} & \partial_{\nu} & \partial_{\rho} & \partial_{\sigma} \\ \hline \\ \end{subarray} \\ \ends{subarr$$





- $\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}X^{\{\alpha\beta\cdots\}} = 0 \leftrightarrow \{\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\beta}\} = 0$
- $(\partial_{\alpha} l_0 + \partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha}^2) = 0 \leftrightarrow [\{\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\}, \partial_{\beta}] = 0$
- $l_n l_{n-1} = 0 \leftrightarrow [\{\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\}, \{\partial_{\beta}, \partial_{\dot{\beta}}\}] = 0$

Any additional branches/corrections?

- *p* = *q*
- All three composite maps must vanish

$$\begin{split} l_0 \{X\}^{2,4} &= l_0 \mathscr{G}_1 \{X\}^{p,p} \\ \bar{l}_0 \{X\}^{4,2} &= \bar{l}_0 \mathscr{G}_2 \{X\}^{p,p} \\ (\partial_{\alpha}^2 \{X\}^{4,2} + \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 \{X\}^{2,4}) &= (\partial_{\alpha}^2 \mathscr{G}_1 + \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 \mathscr{G}_2) \{X\}^{p,p} \end{split}$$

•
$$p \neq q$$

- Suppose $p \ge 4$, the following two conditions must be satisfied

$$\partial_{\alpha} \{X\}^{n,2} = \partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{G}_{3} \{X\}^{p,q} = 0$$
$$l_{n-3} \{X\}^{n,2} = l_{n-3} \mathcal{G}_{3} \{X\}^{p,q} = 0$$





-Summation

$$\begin{split} number &= \\ &= \#\{X\}^{0,0} \\ &- \#(\{X\}^{0,1} + \{X\}^{1,0}) \\ &+ \#(\{X\}^{1,2} + \{X\}^{2,1} + \{X\}^{0,2} + \{X\}^{2,0}) \\ &- \#(\{X\}^{1,3} + \{X\}^{3,1} + \{X\}^{0,3} + \{X\}^{3,0} + \{X\}^{2,2}) \\ &+ \#(\{X\}^{1,4} + \{X\}^{4,1} + \{X\}^{0,4} + \{X\}^{4,0} + \{X\}^{4,2} + \{\dots,\dots\}^{1,4}) \end{split}$$



In practical use, we will truncate this infinite series.



This becomes the 1/P factor in supersymmetry, and when we put this into Hilbert series, it will automatically remove all IBP redundancies.

$$P^{2}Q^{2}) \qquad \mathcal{H} = \int d\mu \frac{1}{P_{new}} PE[\sum_{i} \phi_{i} \chi_{R,i}] + \Delta H$$





 $\mathcal{O}(\partial_{\alpha}^{2}\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^{2}\Phi^{2}\Phi^{\dagger 2})$

–Examples

 $\partial_{\alpha} [\Phi(\partial^{\alpha} \Phi)(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger})(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger})] \sim (\partial_{\alpha} \Phi)(\partial^{\alpha} \Phi)(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger})(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}) - 2\Phi(\partial_{\alpha} \Phi)(\partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger})(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}),$ $\partial_{\alpha} [\Phi^2 (\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}) (\partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger})] \sim 2 \Phi (\partial_{\alpha} \Phi) (\partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}) (\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}) + \Phi^2 (\partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}) (\partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}),$ $\partial_{\alpha} [\Phi(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial^{\alpha} \Phi) \Phi^{\dagger}(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger})] \sim (\partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial^{\alpha} \Phi) (\partial_{\alpha} \Phi) \Phi^{\dagger}(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}) + \Phi(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial^{\alpha} \Phi) \Phi^{\dagger}(\partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}),$ $\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} [(\partial_{\alpha} \Phi)(\partial^{\alpha} \Phi) \Phi^{\dagger}(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger})] \sim (\partial_{\alpha} \Phi)(\partial^{\alpha} \Phi)(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger})(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}) + 2(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha} \Phi)(\partial^{\alpha} \Phi)\Phi^{\dagger}(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}),$ $\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} [(\partial_{\alpha} \Phi)(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial^{\alpha} \Phi) \Phi^{\dagger 2}] \sim (\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha} \Phi)(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial^{\alpha} \Phi) \Phi^{\dagger 2} - 2(\partial_{\alpha} \Phi)(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial^{\alpha} \Phi) \Phi^{\dagger}(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}),$ $\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} [\Phi(\partial_{\alpha} \Phi) \Phi^{\dagger}(\partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger})] \sim \Phi(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha} \Phi) \Phi^{\dagger}(\partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}) - \Phi(\partial_{\alpha} \Phi)(\partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger})(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger}).$

> Only 5 of these are independent! The independent number is therefore 6-5=1, which is the same as 6-3-3+1+1-1=1.

We don't need to find all relations, not even the explicit form of operators in IBP spaces. What we do is using Hilbert series to count the number of operators in each correction space, and calculate the summation.









-More flavors and Derivatives



12-6-16+4+10+4-5-4+1=0



24-15-15+1+1+7+7-2-2-6+1+1=2

Schouten identity makes it even more difficult

Vector superfields

- So far we have just considered chiral superfields
- The formalism can also be applied for vector interactions.
- Lets remind how gauge abelian terms are included in supersymmetry:

$$\begin{split} \Phi_l \to \Phi'_l &= e^{-it_l \Lambda} \Phi_l; \ \Phi_l^{\dagger} \to \Phi_l^{\prime \dagger} = e^{it_l \Lambda^{\dagger}} \Phi_l^{\dagger} \\ \Phi^{\dagger} e^{tV} \Phi \to \Phi^{\prime \dagger} e^{tV'} \Phi' &= \Phi^{\dagger} e^{tV} \Phi \end{split} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{V} \text{ a real superfield} \\ \mathsf{V} = \mathsf{V}^{\star} \end{split}$$

$$W_{\alpha} \equiv -\frac{1}{4}\overline{D}^2 D_{\alpha} V, \quad \overline{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} \equiv -\frac{1}{4}D^2 \overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} V.$$

- One subtlety is that we have to include the Haar measure for the U(1)_R.
- The Hilbert series works in the same way as in SMEFT except we have to add the Haar measure for two U(1) groups.

$$\mathcal{I}(\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}, W_{\alpha}, \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}, e^{V})_{\text{bos}} = \Phi g_{1}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)} + \Phi^{\dagger} g_{2} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)} + P(DW_{\alpha}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V} g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)} + Q(DW_{\alpha}) \tilde{\chi}_{(1,0)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V} g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V} g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V} g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V} g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V} g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V} g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V} g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V} g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V} g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V} g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot$$

Same P factor as before!

$$\mathcal{H}(P,Q,\Phi,\Phi^{\dagger},W_{\alpha},\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}},e^{V})$$

= $\int d\mu_{Lorentz} d\mu_{gauge} d\mu_{U_{R}(1)} P^{-1}(P,Q,\alpha,\beta,z) PE[\mathcal{I}(\Phi,\Phi^{\dagger},W_{\alpha},\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}})]$







• For a non-abelian case (e.g. SU(2) things work in the same way:

$$\mathcal{H}(P,Q,\Phi,\Phi^{\dagger},W_{\alpha},\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}},e^{V}) = \int d\mu_{Lorentz} d\mu_{gauge} d\mu_{U_{R}(1)} P^{-1}(P,Q,\alpha,\beta,z) PE[\mathcal{I}(\Phi,\Phi^{\dagger},W_{\alpha},\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}},e^{V})]$$

$$d\mu_{gauge} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{|g_1|=1} \frac{dg_1}{g_1} (1 - g_1^2) \oint_{|g_2|=1} \frac{dg_2}{g_2} (1 - g_2^2)$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}, W_{\alpha}, \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}, e^{V})_{\text{bos}} = \Phi(g_{1} + \frac{1}{g_{1}})\tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)} + \Phi^{\dagger}(g_{2} + \frac{1}{g_{2}})\tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)} + P(DW_{\alpha})\tilde{\chi}_{(1,0)} + Q\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V}(g_{1} + \frac{1}{g_{1}})(g_{2} + \frac{1}{g_{2}})\tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)} + Q\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V}(g_{1} + \frac{1}{g_{1}})(g_{2} + \frac{1}{g_{2}})\tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)} + Q\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V}(g_{1} + \frac{1}{g_{1}})(g_{2} + \frac{1}{g_{2}})\tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)} + Q\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V}(g_{1} + \frac{1}{g_{1}})(g_{2} + \frac{1}{g_{2}})\tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)} + Q\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + Q\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\chi}$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}, W_{\alpha}, \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}, e^{V})_{\text{ferm}} = P(D\Phi)(g_{1} + \frac{1}{g_{1}})z^{-1}\tilde{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2}, 0)} + Q(\overline{D}\Phi^{\dagger})(g_{2} + \frac{1}{g_{2}})z\tilde{\chi}_{(0, \frac{1}{2})} + W_{\alpha}z\tilde{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2}, 0)} + \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}z^{-1}\tilde{\chi}_{(0, \frac{1}{2})}$$

Same P factor as before!

Conclusions

- Hilbert series are an useful tool to calculate the number of independent operators (IBP & EOM free) in an EFT.
- In this talk I have applied the formalism to an N=1 SUSY theory with chiral multiplets.
- EOMs redundancies can be treated similarly to non-supersymmetric theories.
- IBP generate a richer structure due to the existence of three derivatives and not just one.
- The techniques also works in super gauge theories.

- Future directions that we are exploring are the following:
 - Connection to the superconformal group
 - Identifying the structure of the operators using Young Tableaux techniques.
 - Studying the role of super amplitudes in this approach.

- Thank you!
 - 多謝
 - 谢谢