**Antonio Delgado IAS Program on High Energy Physics Based on: 2212.02551 & 2305.01736 with A. Martin and R. Wang (who made most of the slides)**



# **EFT in Supersymmetry Hilbert series in susy**

# **Outline**

- Introduction: Why EFT?
- Hilbert Series
- Supersymmetry
- EOM & IBP redundancies
- Conclusions

# **Introduction**

- EFTs are everywhere:
	- Fermi Theory for β-decay
	- Nucleon-nucleon interactions
	- Phonons in CM
	- •<br>• ……
- They include the relevant degrees of freedom for a particular range of energies.

- In the case of the SM the process is as follows:
	- Include all operators consistent with the symmetries of the SM to a given mass dimensions (5,6,7,8….)
	- Remove those operators which are redundant under EOM and IBP
	- The task is gigantic since in principle there are a huge amounts of operators.
	- Is there a way to make sure how many there are?

$$
\begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\nu}g_{\mu}^{a}\partial_{\nu}g_{\mu}^{a} - g_{s}f^{abc}g_{\mu}^{a}g_{\nu}^{a} - \frac{1}{4}g_{s}^{2}f^{abc}f^{ade}g_{\mu}^{b}g_{\nu}^{c}g_{\mu}^{c} + \frac{1}{2}ig_{s}^{2}(q_{i}^{a}\gamma^{a}q_{j}^{a})g_{\mu}^{a} + G^{a}\partial^{2}G^{a} + g_{s}f^{abc}g_{\mu}^{a}G^{a}g_{\mu}^{a} = \partial_{\nu}W_{\mu}^{+}\partial_{\nu}W_{\mu}^{-} \\ 2\;M^{2}W_{\mu}^{+}W_{\mu}^{-} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\nu}Z_{\mu}^{0}\partial_{\nu}Z_{\mu}^{0} - \frac{1}{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}M^{2}Z_{\mu}^{0}Z_{\mu}^{0} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}H\partial_{\mu} \\ \frac{1}{2}m_{h}^{2}H^{2} - \partial_{\mu}\phi^{+}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{-} - M^{2}\phi^{+}\phi^{-} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{0}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{0} - \frac{1}{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}M\phi^{0}\phi^{0} - \beta_{h}^{2}[\frac{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}M\phi^{0}\phi^{0} - \beta_{h}^{2}[\frac{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}M\phi^{0}\phi^{0} - \beta_{h}^{2}[\frac{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}M\phi^{0}\phi^{0} - \beta_{h}^{2}[\frac{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}M\phi^{0}\phi^{0} + 2\phi^{2}[\frac{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}M\phi^{0}\phi^{0} - \beta_{h}^{2}[\frac{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}{2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}}M\phi^{0}\phi^{0} + 2\epsilon_{\mu}^{2}G_{\mu}W_{\mu}^{+} - W_{\mu}^{+}\partial_{
$$



# **Hilbert Series**

- Hilbert series provides with a way to count the number of operators for a given mass dimension.
- One just need to specify the field content, representation and quantum numbers. • It works for different number of space time dimensions and also for different
- spacetime symmetries.

$$
\mathcal{H} = \int d\mu \frac{1}{P} PE[\sum_{i} \phi_{i} \chi_{R,i}] + \Delta H
$$

- $dμ$ : project out Lorentz scalars 1
- $\bullet$   $\overline{p}$ : remove IBP
- $PE[\sum \phi_i \chi_{R,i}]$ : remove EOM *P* ∑ *i*  $\boldsymbol{\phi}_i \chi_{R,i}]$



$$
-\frac{1}{y}(z_1 + \frac{z_2}{z_1} + \frac{1}{z_2})u^{1/6} + 3L(x + \frac{1}{x})(y + \frac{1}{y})u^{-1/2}
$$

$$
1 + \boxed{57LQ^3} + 4818L^2Q^6 + \cdots
$$

EOM: 
$$
\partial^2 \phi \sim m^2 \phi
$$
,  $i\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi \sim m \psi^{\dagger}$ , ...  
\n**S**hort conformal characters:  
\n $\bar{\chi}_{(0,0)} = P(\alpha, \beta, D)(1 - D^2)$   $P(\alpha, \beta, D) = \left((1 - D\alpha\beta)(1 - \frac{D}{\alpha\beta})(1 - \frac{D\alpha}{\beta})(1 - \frac{D\beta}{\alpha})\right)$   
\n $\bar{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2},0)} = P(\alpha, \beta, D)((\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}) - D(\beta + \frac{1}{\beta}))$ ,  $\bar{\chi}_{(0,\frac{1}{2})} = P(\alpha, \beta, D)((\beta + \frac{1}{\beta}) - D(\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}))$   
\n $\mathcal{H} = \int d\mu \frac{1}{P} PE[\sum_{i} \phi_{i} \chi_{R,i}] + \Delta H$   
\n $\frac{1}{P}$ : project out Lorentz scalars  
\nOperating lines in the dimension 5.

−1

Operators less than dimension 5.

- 
- Short conformal characters: remove EOM

• One has to correct the counting by redundancies due to EOM and IBP.

Fields Scalar field  $\phi$ , fermion field *ψ* 

Derivatives Partial derivative  $\partial_{\mu}$ 

Which one to choose, D-term or F-term?

**every**

\nSupersymmetry

\n
$$
\psi
$$
, etc.

\nChiral superfield  $\Phi$ 

\n $\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi = 0, \ \partial_{\alpha}\Phi^{\dagger} = 0$ 

\nSuper derivatives  $\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}$ 

\n $D_{\alpha} \equiv \partial_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\alpha}} - i\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\overline{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu};$ 

\n $\overline{D_{\dot{\alpha}}} \equiv \partial_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\dot{\alpha}}} + i\theta^{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu}$ 

\nscalar let

\n $\mathscr{L} = \int d^4\theta K(\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}) + \int d^2\theta W(\Phi) + h.c.$ 

\nKahler potential Superpotential

$$
\{\partial_{\alpha},\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\}=2i\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu}
$$

Lagrangian  $S = \int d^4x \mathscr{L}(x)$ **Lorentz s** Single

$$
\int d^4x W(\Phi_i, \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 S_i)
$$
  
= 
$$
\int d^4x {\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 [S_k h(\Phi_i
$$

$$
P_i, \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 S_i)]\}_\mathcal{F} \sim \int d^4x [S_k h(\Phi_i, \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 S_i)]_\mathcal{D},
$$

## **Supersymmetry:**

### Mon-supersymmetric the

# **EOM in Supersymmetry**

 $\partial_{\alpha}^{2}\phi = m\phi^{*}, i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi^{\alpha} = m\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger}.$ *α* · *α* ∂*μψ<sup>α</sup>* = *mψ*† ·  $\dot{\alpha}$ 

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}\n\frac{\partial_{\alpha}\Phi}{\partial_{\beta}\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi} \\
\frac{\partial_{\gamma}\partial_{\dot{\beta}}\partial_{\beta}\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi}{\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi} \\
\frac{\partial_{\dot{\gamma}}\partial_{\gamma}\partial_{\dot{\beta}}\partial_{\beta}\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi}{\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi}\n\end{array}\right)
$$

+



For a chiral superfield  $\Phi$ , equation of motion is given by  $\partial^2_\alpha\Phi\sim m\Phi^\dagger$ . We can verify this relation by expanding  $\Phi$  in components, i.e.  $\Phi = \phi(y) + \sqrt{2\theta\psi(y)} + \theta\theta F(y)$ , where  $y^\mu = x^\mu + i\theta\sigma^m\overline{\theta}$ , and we get

$$
\bar{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2},0)} = P(\alpha,\beta,D)((\alpha+\frac{1}{\alpha}) - D(\beta+\frac{1}{\beta}))
$$

P: spurion of super derivative

Indices are chosen to be symmetric combinations

**SUSY** 

## **IBP in Supersymmetry —2 Independent IBP Relations**

*3* different derivatives  $\partial_{\mu},\ \partial_{\alpha},\ \partial_{\dot{\alpha}} \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad$  3 IBP relations

**Only 2 of them are independent!** 

## $K \sim K' + \partial_{\alpha} X^{\alpha}$  $K \sim K' + \partial_{\dot{\alpha}} X^{\dot{\alpha}}$  $\dot{\alpha}$  $K \sim K' + \partial_\mu X^\mu$ *αX<sup>α</sup>* · *<sup>α</sup>*) + ∂ · *α*(∂*αX<sup>α</sup>* · *α*)



Still, we have 2 relations and the previous 1/P factor doesn't work here. (P is not a rep here)

It is tempting to simply subtract the number of  $X^\alpha, X^{\dot\alpha}$  to get the number of independent operators, because it seems like that one operator with one fewer derivative provides one IBP relation, and if we get rid of all these operators, our result is free of IBP. However it's incorrect because these IBP relations can be linearly dependent!  $\dot{\alpha}$ 

## **—Correction Space**

Starting with a space  $\mathcal{O}$ , we define the zeroth order equivalence relations on  $\mathcal{O}$  as follows:

- 1 *j*
- .
- $\mathcal O$  if there exist **maps**:

$$
o_1 \sim o_2 + \sum \mathcal{F}_i s_i, \quad o_i \in \mathcal{O}, s_i \in S_i^0.
$$
  
\nWe call  $S_j^1$  the **first order correction space** if all elements in  $S_j^1$  satisfy the following conditions:  
\n
$$
\mathcal{T}_{ij}^1 s_j \neq 0, \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_i \mathcal{T}_{ij}^1 s_j = 0, \text{ (no sums over } i), \forall s_j \in S_j^1.
$$
  
\nA **space**  $S_j^n$  is called the **nth-order correction** to  $\mathcal{O}$  if there exist **maps**:  
\n
$$
\mathcal{T}_{ij}^n : S_j^n \to S_i^{(n-1)}, \text{ such that:} \qquad # of independent operators =
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{T}_{ij}^n s_j \neq 0, \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_{ki}^{n-1} \mathcal{T}_{ij}^n s_j = 0, \forall s_j \in S_j^n, \forall k, \qquad #\{\mathcal{O}\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^0\} + # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^1\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^1\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^2\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^2\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^2\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^3\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^4\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^5\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^6\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^7\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^8\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^9\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^9\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^9\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^1\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^2\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^1\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^2\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^3\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i^4\} - # \sum \{\mathcal{S}_i
$$

# of independent operators =  
#{
$$
\odot
$$
} - # $\sum$  { $\mathcal{S}_i^0$ } + # $\sum$  { $\mathcal{S}_i^1$ } - # $\sum$  { $\mathcal{S}_i^2$ } -

 $\binom{2}{i} + \cdots$ 

 $\mathscr{I}_1 \mathscr{T}_{11}^1 s = \partial_\mu \partial_\nu X^{[\mu\nu]} = 0$   $X^{[\mu\nu]}$  is the first order correction space! 1  $\frac{2}{11}X^{[\mu\nu\rho]} = \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}X^{[\mu\nu\rho]} = 0 \qquad X^{[\mu\nu\rho]}$  is the second order correction space! 11 2  $\frac{3}{11}X^{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}=\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}X^{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}=0$  *X*<sup>[ $\mu\nu\rho\sigma]$  is the third order correction space!</sup> 11  $\partial_\mu$   $\partial_\nu$   $\{X^\mu\}$   $\leftarrow$   $\{X^{[\mu\nu]}\}$ *Diagram:* 1 *D*<sup>2</sup> *D*<sup>3</sup> *D*<sup>4</sup> *Order:* 1 1  $\sum_{i}^{n} D^{n} \chi_{X^{[\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \cdots \mu_{n}]}} = 1 - D(\alpha +$  $)+D^{2}[(1+\alpha^{2}+$  $)(\beta +$ *α β*

e.g. 
$$
\mathcal{O}_i \sim \mathcal{O}_j + \sum_n \partial_\mu \mathcal{O}_n^\mu
$$
,  $\mathcal{O}_i, \mathcal{O}_j \in \{X\}, \mathcal{O}_n^\mu \in \{X^\mu\}$ .  
If we identify  $\mathcal{T}_{11}^1 \equiv \partial_\mu$  and  $\mathcal{F}_1 \equiv \partial_\nu$ .

## **—SMEFT Example Revisit**



Terminates with four total antisymmetric indices in four dimensions.

- 
- 
- 

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\n\mathcal{O}_{\mu} & \mathcal{O}_{\nu} & \mathcal{O}_{\rho} & \mathcal{O}_{\sigma} & \\
\{X\} & \longleftarrow & \{X^{\mu}\} & \longleftarrow & \{X^{[\mu\nu\beta\}} & \longleftarrow & \{X^{[\mu\nu\rho\beta\}} & \text{if } X^{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}\} \\
1 & D & D^2 & D^3 & D^4 & \\
\text{Equation 1: } & D & D^2 & D^3 & D^4 & \\
\text{Equation 2: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 3: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 4: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 5: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 5: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 6: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 7: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 8: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 8: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 9: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 1: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 1: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 1: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 2: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 3: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 4: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 5: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 5: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 6: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 7: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 8: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 9: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 1: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 1: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 2: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 3: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 4: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 5: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 5: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 6: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 7: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 8: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 9: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 1: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 1: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 2: } & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 3: } & & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 4: } & & & & & & \\
\text{Equation 5: } & & & & & & \\
\text
$$





## **—6 Infinite Branches**

⋅ $\bullet$ 

⋅ $\bullet$ ⋅

 $\bullet$  $\bullet$  $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$  $\bullet$ 



 $\bullet$ 



It doesn't terminate because one can form infinite numbers of indices to be fully symmetric, in contrast to the antisymmetric case.

$$
\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}X^{[\mu\nu\cdots]} = 0
$$
  
SMEFT Diagram  $\{X\}$   $\left\{\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\frac{\partial_{\mu}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial_{\rho}}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial_{\mu}}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial_{\mu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial_{\mu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial_{\nu}}{\partial z} & \$ 

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$  $\bullet$  $\bullet$ 

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

- $\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}X^{\{\alpha\beta\cdots\}} = 0 \leftrightarrow \{\partial_{\alpha},\partial_{\beta}\} = 0$
- $(\partial_{\alpha}l_0 + \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha}^2) = 0 \leftrightarrow [\{\partial_{\alpha}, \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\}, \partial_{\beta}] = 0$
- $l_n l_{n-1} = 0 \leftrightarrow [\{\partial_\alpha, \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\}, \{\partial_\beta, \partial_{\dot{\beta}}\}] = 0$

![](_page_16_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

- $p = q$
- All three composite maps must vanish

• Suppose  $p \geq 4$ , the following two conditions must be satisfied

### Any additional branches/corrections?

$$
\bullet\ p\neq q
$$

$$
l_0{X}^{2,4} = l_0 \mathcal{G}_1 {X}^{p,p}
$$
  
\n
$$
\bar{l}_0 {X}^{4,2} = \bar{l}_0 \mathcal{G}_2 {X}^{p,p}
$$
  
\n
$$
(\partial^2_{\alpha} {X}^{4,2} + \partial^2_{\dot{\alpha}} {X}^{2,4}) = (\partial^2_{\alpha} \mathcal{G}_1 + \partial^2_{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{G}_2){X}^{p,p}
$$

$$
\partial_{\alpha} \{X\}^{n,2} = \partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{G}_3 \{X\}^{p,q} = 0
$$

$$
l_{n-3} \{X\}^{n,2} = l_{n-3} \mathcal{G}_3 \{X\}^{p,q} = 0
$$

## **—Summation**

number =  
\n= 
$$
\#{X}^{0,0}
$$
 { $X\}^{0,0}$   
\n-  $\#({X}^{1,2} + {X}^{1,0})$  { $X\}^{0,0}$   
\n+  $\#({X}^{1,2} + {X}^{2,1} + {X}^{0,2} + {X}^{2,0})$   
\n-  $\#({X}^{1,3} + {X}^{3,1} + {X}^{0,3} + {X}^{3,0} + {X}^{2,2})$   
\n+  $\#({X}^{1,4} + {X}^{4,1} + {X}^{0,4} + {X}^{4,0} + {X}^{4,2} + {X}^{2,4})$   
\n...

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

This becomes the 1/P factor in supersymmetry, and when we put this into Hilbert series, it will automatically remove all IBP redundancies.

$$
P^2Q^2
$$
 
$$
\mathcal{H} = \int d\mu \frac{1}{P_{new}} PE[\sum_i \phi_i \chi_{R,i}] + \Delta H
$$

![](_page_17_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

In practical use, we will truncate this infinite series.

**—Examples**

 $\partial_{\alpha}[\Phi(\partial^{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})] \sim (\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial^{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger}) - 2\Phi(\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger}),$  $\partial_{\alpha}[\Phi^2(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})(\partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})] \sim 2\Phi(\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger}) + \Phi^2(\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})(\partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger}),$  $\partial_{\alpha}[\Phi(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial^{\alpha}\Phi)\Phi^{\dagger}(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})] \sim (\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial^{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)\Phi^{\dagger}(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger}) + \Phi(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial^{\alpha}\Phi)\Phi^{\dagger}(\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger}),$  $\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}[(\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial^{\alpha}\Phi)\Phi^{\dagger}(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})] \sim (\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial^{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger}) + 2(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial^{\alpha}\Phi)\Phi^{\dagger}(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger}),$  $\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}[(\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial^{\alpha}\Phi)\Phi^{\dagger 2}] \sim (\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial^{\alpha}\Phi)\Phi^{\dagger 2} - 2(\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial^{\alpha}\Phi)\Phi^{\dagger}(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger}),$  $\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}[\Phi(\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)\Phi^{\dagger}(\partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})] \sim \Phi(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)\Phi^{\dagger}(\partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger}) - \Phi(\partial_{\alpha}\Phi)(\partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger})(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi^{\dagger}).$ 

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

$$
\mathcal{O}(\partial_{\alpha}^{2} \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^{2} \Phi^{2} \Phi^{\dagger 2})
$$

Only 5 of these are independent! The independent number is therefore  $6-5=1$ , which is the same as  $6-3-3+1+1-1=1$ .

**1** We don't need to find all relations, not even the explicit form of operators in IBP spaces. What we do is using Hilbert series to count the number of operators in each correction space, and calculate the summation.

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $12-6-16+4+10+4-5-4+1=0$ 

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $24-15-15+1+1+7+7-2-2-6+1+1=2$ 

## **—More flavors and Derivatives**

Schouten identity makes it even more difficult

### **Vector superfields** is common both in non-supersymmetric case  $\blacksquare$  and supersymmetric case  $\blacksquare$ proved/argued in these papers, this term only contains operators with mass dimensions less than or equal to four  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A}$  is the operator in order in our goal is to determine the operator basis is the operator basis of  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A}$ familiar with  $f_{\rm eff}$  and  $f_{\rm eff}$  abelian case in the abelian case in the next section, we first discuss the next and then move to non-abelian case in section 2.4. Having reviewed (2.1), we are now prepared to include gauge interactions, where one needs to consider gauge invariance in addition to Lorentz symmetry and R-symmetry. To get familiar with how the procedure works, we first discuss the abelian case in the next section,

- So far we have just considered chiral superfields rest of this paper. We have just considered chiral superificius So far we have just considered chiral superfields *liquidation* and  $\alpha$  is the superfields **liquiding the set of the set of the set of the South American superfields and**  $\alpha$ 2.2 Abelian supersymmetric gauge theory In an *N* = 1 supersymmetric *U*(1) gauge theory, chiral superfields *<sup>l</sup>* transform as,
- The formalism can also be applied for vector interactions. needs to consider gauge invariance in addition to Lorentz symmetry and R-symmetry. To get f formalism can also be applied for vector interactions. also be applied for vector interactions.
- Lets remind how gauge abelian terms are included in supersymmetry: and then move to non-abelian case in section 2.4. where *t<sup>l</sup>* is a real number (identified as the gauge charge) and ⇤*,*⇤*†* are chiral and antichiral Lets ferfilled flow gauge abelian terms are included in s upersymmetry superfields; ⇤ and ⇤*†* must be superfields in order for the transformed 0 *<sup>l</sup>* ( *† <sup>l</sup>* ) to remain chiral (antichiral). To build a gauge invariant term out of these chiral and antichiral superfields, we

$$
\Phi_l \to \Phi'_l = e^{-it_l \Lambda} \Phi_l; \ \Phi_l^{\dagger} \to \Phi_l^{'\dagger} = e^{it_l \Lambda^{\dagger}} \Phi_l^{\dagger}
$$
\nV a real superfield

\n
$$
\Phi^{\dagger} e^{tV} \Phi \to \Phi^{'\dagger} e^{tV'} \Phi' = \Phi^{\dagger} e^{tV} \Phi
$$
\nV = V\*

$$
\rightarrow \Phi'_l = e^{-it_l \Lambda} \Phi_l; \ \Phi_l^{\dagger} \rightarrow \Phi_l^{'\dagger} = e^{it_l \Lambda^{\dagger}} \Phi_l^{\dagger}
$$
\n
$$
\Phi^{\dagger} e^{tV} \Phi \rightarrow \Phi^{'\dagger} e^{tV'} \Phi' = \Phi^{\dagger} e^{tV} \Phi
$$
\nV a real superfield

\n
$$
V = V^*
$$

$$
W_{\alpha} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} \overline{D}^2 D_{\alpha} V, \quad \overline{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} D^2 \overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} V
$$

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

$$
\mathcal{H}(P,Q,\Phi,\Phi^{\dagger},W_{\alpha},\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}},e^V)
$$
  
=  $\int d\mu_{Lorentz}d\mu_{gauge}d\mu_{U_R(1)}P^{-1}(P,Q,\alpha,\beta,z)PE[\mathcal{I}(\Phi,\Phi^{\dagger},W_{\alpha},\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}},e^V)]$ 

 $c_{\alpha}, \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}, e^V)_{\text{ferm}} = P(D\Phi)g_1^{-1}z^{-1}\tilde{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2},0)} + Q(\overline{D}\Phi^{\dagger})g_2z\tilde{\chi}_{(0,\frac{1}{2})} + W_{\alpha}z\tilde{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2},0)} + \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}z^{-1}\tilde{\chi}_{(0,\frac{1}{2})}$  is accompanied by the group parameter for one *U*(1), *†* is accompanied by the group  $(e^{V})_{\text{ferm}} = P(D\Phi)g_1^{-1}$  $\frac{-1}{1}z^{-1}\tilde{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2})}$  $\frac{1}{2},0) + Q(D\Phi^\intercal)$  $)g_2z\tilde{\chi}_{(0)}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) +  $W_{\alpha}z\tilde{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2})}$  $_{\frac{1}{2},0)}+\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ 

- One subtlety is that we have to include the Haar measure for the U(1)R. • One subtlety is that we have to example, *<sup>l</sup>* ⇠ (0*,* 0; *tl,* 0)*, † l*  $\overline{a}$ ,  $\overline{b}$ ,  $\overline{b}$ ,  $\overline{c}$ ,  $\overline{c$ need two *U*(1) group parameters, as well as two *U*(1) Haar measures. simplicity, we'll take the *U*(1) charge to be *t<sup>l</sup>* = 1 and *R*[] = 0. Here, the explicit form for  $th$ e $\alpha$
- The Hilbert series works in the same way as in SMEFT except we have to add the Haar measure for two U(1) groups. the Hilbert series is9: simplicity, we'll take the *U*(1) charge to be *t<sup>l</sup>* = 1 and *R*[] = 0. Here, the explicit form for *Ha dµLorentzdµgaugedµUR*(1)*<sup>P</sup>* 1(*P, Q,* ↵*, , z*)*P E*[*I*(*, †*  $\mathcal{H}(D \cap \mathcal{L})$

$$
\mathcal{I}(\Phi,\Phi^{\dagger},W_{\alpha},\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}},e^{V})_{\text{bos}}=\Phi g_{1}^{-1}\tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)}+\Phi^{\dagger}g_{2}\tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)}+
$$
\n
$$
d\mu_{Lorentz}=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{2}}\oint_{|\alpha|=1}\frac{d\alpha}{\alpha}(1-\alpha^{2})\oint_{|\beta|=1}\frac{d\beta}{\beta}(1-\beta^{2}),
$$
\n
$$
P(DW_{\alpha})\tilde{\chi}_{(1,0)}+Q(\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}})\tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)}+e^{V}g_{1}g_{2}^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
d\mu_{gauge}=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{2}}\oint_{|z|=1}\frac{dg_{1}}{g_{1}}\oint_{|z|=1}\frac{dg_{2}}{g_{2}},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{I}(\Phi,\Phi^{\dagger},W_{\alpha},\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}},e^{V})_{\text{ferm}}=P(D\Phi)g_{1}^{-1}z^{-1}\tilde{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}+Q(\overline{D}\Phi^{\dagger})g_{2}z\tilde{\chi}_{(0,\frac{1}{2})}+W_{\alpha}z\tilde{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}+\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}z^{-1}\tilde{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}+\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}z^{-1}\tilde{\chi}_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}.
$$

### abelian Same P factor as before! where the Haar measures are the Haar measures are the Haar measures are the Haar measures are the Haar measure

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

### • For a non-abelian case (e.g. SU(2) things work in the same way:<br>
Same P factor as before! as an example with matter in the fundamental representation, we have  $\alpha$ **For a non-abelian case (e.g. SU(2)** *random* case in the operator basis for our construction of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\$ non-abelian case follow the same steps used as in the abelian case.  $\mathsf{F}^{\mathsf{L}}$   $\mathsf{F}^{\mathsf{L}}$  and  $\mathsf{$ abelian case (e.g. ou(z) hings work in the same way:<br> **hings work in the same way:**<br> *Phings work in the same way:* matter content consisting of a single chiral superfield flavor *, †* in the fundamental repretations under the two copies of the non-abelian group (and *z* is the R-charge). Taking *SU*(2) as an example with matter in the fundamental representation of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are non-apellian case (e.g.  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C})$ nings work in the same way. non-abelian case follow the same steps used as in the abelian case.  $\overline{c}$  or a non-abelian case (e.g. SU(2) rk in the same way: *†* Same P fact sentation. The Hilbert series is the Hilbert series in this case looks in this case looks in the case of  $2.20$ tations under the two copies of the non-abelian group (and *z* is the R-charge). Taking *SU*(2) and a work in the same way. *†* ⇠ (0*,* 0; 0*,* 2; *<sup>r</sup>*1). With these building blocks, we can construct the operator basis for As an example, let's consider the simplest non-abelian case – gauge group *SU*(2) – with matter in the same way  $\mu$ sentation. The Hilbert series is the Hilbert series in this case in this case is called to the case of the case of  $\alpha$

$$
\mathcal{H}(P,Q,\Phi,\Phi^{\dagger},W_{\alpha},\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}},e^{V})=\int d\mu_{Lorentz}d\mu_{gauge}d\mu_{U_{R}(1)}P^{-1}(P,Q,\alpha,\beta,z)PE[\mathcal{I}(\Phi,\Phi^{\dagger},W_{\alpha},\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}},e^{V})]
$$

$$
d\mu_{gauge} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{|g_1|=1} \frac{dg_1}{g_1} (1 - g_1^2) \oint_{|g_2|=1} \frac{dg_2}{g_2} (1 - g_2^2)
$$

$$
\mathcal{I}(\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}, W_{\alpha}, \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}, e^{V})_{\text{bos}} = \Phi(g_1 + \frac{1}{g_1})\tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)} + \Phi^{\dagger}(g_2 + \frac{1}{g_2})\tilde{\chi}_{(0,0)} + P(DW_{\alpha})\tilde{\chi}_{(1,0)} + Q\overline{DW}^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\chi}_{(0,1)} + e^{V}(g_1 + \frac{1}{g_1})(g_2 + \frac{1}{g_2})
$$

$$
\mathcal{I}(\Phi,\Phi^\dagger,W_\alpha,\overline{W}^{\dot\alpha},e^V)_{\rm ferm}=P(D\Phi)(g_1+\frac{1}{g_1})z^{-1}\tilde\chi_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}+Q(\overline{D}\Phi^\dagger)(g_2+\frac{1}{g_2})z\tilde\chi_{(0,\frac{1}{2})}+\;\;W_\alpha z\tilde\chi_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}+\overline{W}^{\dot\alpha}z^{-1}\tilde\chi_{(0,\frac{1}{2})}
$$

# **Conclusions**

- Hilbert series are an useful tool to calculate the number of independent operators (IBP & EOM free) in an EFT.
- In this talk I have applied the formalism to an N=1 SUSY theory with chiral multiplets.
- EOMs redundancies can be treated similarly to non-supersymmetric theories.
- IBP generate a richer structure due to the existence of three derivatives and not just one.
- The techniques also works in super gauge theories.

- Future directions that we are exploring are the following:
	- Connection to the superconformal group
	- Identifying the structure of the operators using Young Tableaux techniques.
	- Studying the role of super amplitudes in this approach.

- Thank you!
	- 多謝
	- 谢谢