





Direct I/O for RNTuple Columnar Data

<u>Jonas Hahnfeld</u>^{1,2} Jakob Blomer¹ Philippe Canal³ Thorsten Kollegger² jonas.hahnfeld@cern.ch

- ¹ CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
- ² Goethe University Frankfurt, Institute of Computer Science, Frankfurt, Germany
- ³ Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL, USA
- CHEP 2024 October 21, 2024

This work has been sponsored by the Wolfgang Gentner Programme of the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grant no. 13E18CHA).



- RNTuple: designated successor of TTree columnar format for HL-LHC
 - $\circ~$ Modern design, optimized for current hardware, with parallelism in mind
 - See many presentations this week, including a plenary on Wednesday



- RNTuple: designated successor of TTree columnar format for HL-LHC
 - $\circ~$ Modern design, optimized for current hardware, with parallelism in mind
 - $\circ~$ See many presentations this week, including a plenary on Wednesday
- Developed highly scalable parallel writing without merging / post-processing
 - $\circ\;$ Advantage: multi-threaded job produces one output file directly
 - Presented concepts and performance evaluation at Euro-Par 2024 (preprint on arXiv)



- RNTuple: designated successor of TTree columnar format for HL-LHC
 - $\circ~$ Modern design, optimized for current hardware, with parallelism in mind
 - $\circ~$ See many presentations this week, including a plenary on Wednesday
- Developed highly scalable parallel writing without merging / post-processing
 - $\circ~$ Advantage: multi-threaded job produces one output file directly
 - Presented concepts and performance evaluation at Euro-Par 2024 (preprint on arXiv)
- Synthetic benchmarks: up to storage bandwidth limit on SSDs
 - $\circ~$ Today: exploiting Direct I/O to increase that limit



- Under Linux, by default files are accessed via the page cache
 - Reads are cached in unused memory
 - $\circ~$ Writes are buffered and flushed in bulk at a later point





- Under Linux, by default files are accessed via the page cache
 - $\circ~$ Reads are cached in unused memory
 - $\circ~$ Writes are buffered and flushed in bulk at a later point
- Page cache is only one layer in the storage system
 - Buffers in user-space, caches in kernel and hardware...





0



- No clear documentation on requirements:
 - $\circ~$ "may impose alignment restrictions on $[\ldots]"$
 - $\circ~$ "vary by filesystem and kernel version and might be absent entirely"
 - $\circ~$ "handling of misaligned [Direct I/O] also varies"



- No clear documentation on requirements:
 - \circ "may impose alignment restrictions on $[\ldots]"$
 - $\circ~$ "vary by filesystem and kernel version and might be absent entirely"
 - $\circ~$ "handling of misaligned [Direct I/O] also varies"
- Alignment restrictions on...
 - $\circ \ \ldots$ file offset and byte count
 - $\circ \ \ldots$ user-space buffer addresses



- No clear documentation on requirements:
 - $\circ~$ "may impose alignment restrictions on $[\ldots]''$
 - $\circ~$ "vary by filesystem and kernel version and might be absent entirely"
 - $\circ~$ "handling of misaligned [Direct I/O] also varies"
- Alignment restrictions on...
 - ... file offset and byte count
 - $\circ \ \ldots$ user-space buffer addresses
- General advice: offsets, lengths, and addresses should be multiples of
 - $\circ\,$ "filesystem block size (typically 4096 bytes)", or
 - $\circ\,$ "logical block size of the block device (typically 512 bytes)"



- RNTuple data stored in *pages* of variable size
 - $\circ~$ Also transparently compressed with unknown ratio
 - $\circ~$ Generally not aligned appropriately

- RNTuple data stored in *pages* of variable size
 - $\circ~$ Also transparently compressed with unknown ratio
 - Generally not aligned appropriately
- Experiments showed significant gains for writing
 - $\circ~$ (will come back to Direct I/O for reading at the end)

 $\sqrt{}$

- RNTuple data stored in *pages* of variable size
 - $\circ~$ Also transparently compressed with unknown ratio
 - $\circ~$ Generally not aligned appropriately
- Experiments showed significant gains for writing
 (will come back to Direct I/O for reading at the end)
- Solution: implement aligned buffering in user-space • For writing now done when creating a new file



 $\sqrt{}$

- RNTuple data stored in *pages* of variable size
 - $\circ~$ Also transparently compressed with unknown ratio
 - $\circ~$ Generally not aligned appropriately
- Experiments showed significant gains for writing

 (will come back to Direct I/O for reading at the end)
- Direct I/O can be activated with write option: RNTupleWriteOptions options; options.SetUseDirectIO(true);



- Benchmarking server with AMD EPYC 7702P (64 cores / 128 threads)
 - $\circ~$ Running AlmaLinux 9.4, ROOT compiled with GCC 11.4.1 ~
 - $\circ~$ Samsung PM1733 NVMe SSD formatted with ext4 ~



- Benchmarking server with AMD EPYC 7702P (64 cores / 128 threads)
 - $\circ~$ Running AlmaLinux 9.4, ROOT compiled with GCC 11.4.1 ~
 - $\circ~$ Samsung PM1733 NVMe SSD formatted with ext4 ~
- Synthetic benchmark, writing randomly generated data¹
 - $\circ~$ Fixed number of 20 million entries per thread
 - $\circ~$ Two top-level fields: "event ID" and a vector of floats

- $\sqrt{}$
- Benchmarking server with AMD EPYC 7702P (64 cores / 128 threads)
 - Running AlmaLinux 9.4, ROOT compiled with GCC 11.4.1
 - $\circ~$ Samsung PM1733 NVMe SSD formatted with ext4 ~
- Synthetic benchmark, writing randomly generated data¹
 - $\circ~$ Fixed number of 20 million entries per thread
 - $\circ~$ Two top-level fields: "event ID" and a vector of floats
- 4 MiB buffer for writing (tradeoff between size and performance)
 Offsets, lengths, and addresses aligned to 4096 bytes (see also next slide)

- Benchmarking server with AMD EPYC 7702P (64 cores / 128 threads)
 - Running AlmaLinux 9.4, ROOT compiled with GCC 11.4.1
 - $\circ~$ Samsung PM1733 NVMe SSD formatted with ext4 ~
- Synthetic benchmark, writing randomly generated data¹
 - $\circ~$ Fixed number of 20 million entries per thread
 - $\circ~$ Two top-level fields: "event ID" and a vector of floats
- 4 MiB buffer for writing (tradeoff between size and performance)
 Offsets, lengths, and addresses aligned to 4096 bytes (see also next slide)
- Reduced maximum page size to 128 KiB
 - $\circ~$ Fits in L2 cache of benchmark system

RNTuple Writing with Direct I/O – No Compression

- $\sqrt{}$
- Bandwidth limit: 775 MB/s \rightarrow more than 3,600 MB/s with Direct I/O!
 - $\circ~$ Measured with Flexible I/O Tester (fio)
 - $\circ~$ Optimization with fallocate already presented at Euro-Par 2024



RNTuple Writing with Direct I/O – No Compression





RNTuple Writing with Direct I/O – No Compression





Direct I/O for RNTuple Columnar Data

RNTuple Writing with Direct I/O – Default Zstandard Compression

- $\sqrt{}$
- Storage bandwidth: based on compressed size, what is written to storage



RNTuple Writing with Direct I/O – Compression Algorithms



• Storage bandwidth: based on compressed size, what is written to storage



¹For zstd, ROOT maps level 5 to Zstandard compression level 10. J Hahnfeld | CERN EP-SFT | CHEP 2024 Direct I/O for RNTuple Columnar Data

RNTuple Writing with Direct I/O – Data Bandwidth

• Data bandwidth: based on *un*compressed size, what the user fills into RNTuple



¹For zstd, ROOT maps level 5 to Zstandard compression level 10. J Hahnfeld | CERN EP-SFT | CHEP 2024 Direct I/O for RNTuple Columnar Data

RNTuple Writing with Direct I/O – Maximize Data Bandwidth



- $\bullet\,$ Q: At 128 threads, which compression level gives the highest data bandwidth?
 - $\circ~$ Possible use cases: online data streaming, burst buffering

¹For zstd, ROOT scales the compression level by a factor 2. J Hahnfeld | CERN EP-SFT | CHEP 2024 Direct I/O for RNTuple Columnar Data

RNTuple Writing with Direct I/O – Maximize Data Bandwidth



• Q: At 128 threads, which compression level gives the highest data bandwidth?





J Hahnfeld | CERN EP-SFT | CHEP 2024

Direct I/O for RNTuple Columnar Data



- Similar alignment challenges as for writing
 - $\circ~$ Extend and align buffering for reading, add padding to read requests
 - $\circ~$ Note: need to disable optimized reading with io_uring

²https://github.com/jblomer/iotools

Direct I/O for Reading?



- Similar alignment challenges as for writing
 - $\circ~$ Extend and align buffering for reading, add padding to read requests
 - $\circ~$ Note: need to disable optimized reading with io_uring
- Can observe faster read times in sample analysis benchmarks² (up to factor 2x)

²https://github.com/jblomer/iotools

Direct I/O for Reading?



- Similar alignment challenges as for writing
 - $\circ~$ Extend and align buffering for reading, add padding to read requests
 - $\circ~$ Note: need to disable optimized reading with io_uring
- Can observe faster read times in sample analysis benchmarks² (up to factor 2x)
- However, much lower effect on overall run time
 - $\circ~$ Up to 12 % in LHCb sample analysis with a single thread and no compression
 - $\circ~$ No gain for ATLAS sample analysis with sparser reading pattern
 - $\circ\,$ Reasons: Asynchronous cluster prefetching and reads with io_uring

²https://github.com/jblomer/iotools

Direct I/O for Reading?



- Similar alignment challenges as for writing
 - $\circ~$ Extend and align buffering for reading, add padding to read requests
 - $\circ~$ Note: need to disable optimized reading with io_uring
- Can observe faster read times in sample analysis benchmarks² (up to factor 2x)
- However, much lower effect on overall run time
 - $\circ~$ Up to 12 % in LHCb sample analysis with a single thread and no compression
 - $\circ~$ No gain for ATLAS sample analysis with sparser reading pattern
 - $\circ~$ Reasons: Asynchronous cluster prefetching and reads with <code>io_uring</code>
- Also tested with Analysis Grand Challenge
 - $\circ~$ Dataset of 787 files converted to RNTuple
 - $\circ~$ No statistically significant change in performance

²https://github.com/jblomer/iotools



- \bullet Implemented option for using Direct I/O in RNTuple writing
 - · Demonstrated benefits together with scalable parallel writing
 - $\circ~$ Reaching up to 2.8 GB/s for uncompressed data (can be improved to 3.2 GB/s)
 - $\circ~$ Up to 5 GB/s data bandwidth with cheap compression level
- If you have use cases for high bandwidths with parallel writing, please talk to us!