

Whole-node scheduling in the ALICE Grid: Initial experiences and evolution opportunities

Marta Bertran Ferrer marta.bertran.ferrer@cern.ch

CHEP 2024 October 21st-25th, 2024 On behalf of the ALICE Collaboration

1

ALICE Grid workload overview

- ALICE jobs with different resource requirements
 - Including CPU cores, memory, disk and accelerators
- All Grid sites are running multicore queues
 - 12 whole-node queues
 - All others 8+ CPU core queues
- JAliEn adapts to the different allocations
 - Automatically discover and scale to whole node resources where available
 - If fixed-size slot, limits inferred from cgroups v2 or batch queue settings
 - Bounding CPU and memory usage
 - Further partition slot resources within the job mix







8-core vs whole-node queues

- 8-core queues are current WLCG standard
 - Uniform setting to support the multiple VOs sharing sites
 - Site-specific policies to partition and isolate jobs to their allocated slots
 - Jobs running on parallel slots are not aware of the impact among them
- Whole-node as our preferred strategy to accommodate varying computing and memory needs from job mixes
 - All ALICE-only sites are operating in whole-node submission
 - Flexibility and freedom to adapt to the running job mix
 - Optimising job scheduling within a node based on workflow type (CPU, IO or memory intensive)



Limitations of current scenario

- In the traditional accounting model, utilisation efficiency considers only CPU
 - Users are encouraged to fine-tune the CPU requests
 - While memory and disk are granted proportionally to cores
- On fixed-size slots, going over the default memory and disk requirements may lead to **job terminations**
 - Users should be aware of the limits and adapt their workflow
 - \circ If more memory is needed, more cores have to be requested \rightarrow lowering CPU efficiency
- Many Grid nodes with more memory than the default 2 GB/core
 - As workflows are confined to the tight bounds, nodes may be left with unused memory



Limitations of current scenario



Free resources on the 8-core slot hosts when we start a Job Runner (pilot)



Proposal for job brokering in whole-node

- On whole-node slot, **common pool** for resource management
 - Keeping track of allocated and free CPU cores, memory and disk space
 - Advertising available resources to late-binding job matching requests
 - Subtracted from the pool on job start and refilled upon completion
- JDL tags for each resource type
 - CPUCores (default 1)
 - RequiredMemory (default 2 GB * CPUCores)
 - WorkDirectorySize (default 10 GB * CPUCores)
- Allowing users to provide accurate estimates



Proposal for job brokering in whole-node

Example requests of main physics workloads

Simulation

CPUCores=8 RequiredMemory=15GB WorkDirectorySize=50GB Analysis

CPUCores=1 RequiredMemory=4GB WorkDirectorySize=1GB Reconstruction

CPUCores=32 RequiredMemory**=220 GB** WorkDirectorySize=50GB

- Balance workloads with complementary requirements
- The resources in the pool will vary with job mix



Optimising job placement

- Explicitly **bind jobs to CPU cores** to constrain executions
 - Going one level further on resource allocations
- Core selection based on node NUMA architecture
 - Optimal job to core mapping re-assessed at every job start and end
- Batch slots are **internally partitioned** to run optimal job mix
 - Mixing jobs with regards to CPU, memory and I/O requirements



Optimising job placement

- Job-to-core mapping algorithm starts by jobs with larger allocations
 - Simulation jobs (8-core) are placed first and analysis (1,2,4-core) as backfill
 - Transparently balancing resource loads
- NUMA-aware pinning leads to improved execution efficiency¹
- Predictable execution time fostering better scheduling decisions



^L CPU-level resource allocation for optimal execution of multi-process physics code



Whole-node slot TTL extension

- Slots started with a **pre-defined TTL** (Time To Live) of the Job Agent
 - TTL included in job matching requests
 - Less jobs will match as TTL decreases
- Slot might be **partially used** when TTL is not enough to match any job
 - Low slot utilisation efficiency during draining period
- If whole node is dedicated to ALICE → Extending the slot TTL would minimise draining effects
 - Tokens need to remain valid during the whole slot duration
- Have experimented with 48 and 72h whole node slots
 - Our middleware adapts to the slot length and is ready to exploit extended TTL



CPU oversubscription in whole-node sites

- CPU oversubscription **already running** on some whole-node sites
 - Using idle CPU cycles for running additional jobs
 - Preempting jobs if CPU gets heavily overloaded
 - Additional pressure in CPU limited in time
- Enabled when enough [idle CPU && free memory && free disk]
- On most sites, **disk** is the main limiting factor
 - 10 GB of disk are reserved per CPU core (default reservation)
 - Can be larger if explicitly requested by jobs
 - VMs in particular seem created with this default value in mind
 - Limiting how many jobs can be executed in parallel
- Consider provisioning >>10 GB * CPU cores for new machines



CPU oversubscription a whole-node site - UiB

- In peak Grid utilisation, **11%** of executed jobs are started by the oversubscription workflow
 - 5200 allocated CPU cores in 16-core whole-node slots
 - Over a 10-day study period : 12500 oversubscribed jobs out of 118000 total executions
- 13 minutes spent in oversubscription regime on average, then moved to regular resource pool
- **94.5%** of the oversubscribed jobs succeed
 - The remainder are preempted due to excessive pressure on the CPU usage

Conclusions



- Whole-node scheduling is our **preferred option** for resource allocation
 - Flexibility to accommodate heterogeneous resource demands from jobs
 - Converting sites across the Grid, 12 sites already moved to whole-node
- Brokering decisions now also consider **memory and disk**
 - With new syntax to express job requirements
- Improved job placement and orchestration
 - Exploiting complementary job resource usage patterns
- Extended TTL with robust resource management
 - Minimising the inefficiencies derived from slot draining
- CPU oversubscription on whole-node sites proving to have a significant impact
 - Worker-node disk space as a limiting factor