Improving Computational Performance of ATLAS GNN Track Reconstruction Pipeline

Alina Lazar, Jared Burleson, Jackson Burzynski, Sylvain Caillou, Paolo Calafiura, Jay Chan, Christophe Collard, Xiangyang Ju, Daniel Murnane, Levi Condren, Ryan Liu, Mark Neubauer, Minh-Tuan Pham, Jan Stark, Heberth Torres and Alexis Vallier on behalf of the ATLAS Computing Activity





Track Reconstruction

- In a collision event, generated particles leave hits in the detector. Track reconstruction recreates particle trajectories from detector hits.
- An expensive process, especially at high pile-up (μ = 200). HEP community seeks to develop hardware-accelerated, ML-based tracking algorithms.
- We build a machine learning pipeline based on Graph Neural Network (GNN) for track finding under HL-LHC conditions for the ATLAS ITk detector.









4

The GNN4ITk Reconstruction Pipeline



CHEP 2024 Krakow

10/24/2024



GNN4ITk for Track Reconstruction Goals

- The main goal is to optimize the GNN4ITk pipeline. To increase **throughput**, decrease **latency**, and reduce **memory usage** in the context of Offline & Online track reconstruction for the High-Luminosity Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC).
- Acceleration: Implementing computing optimization techniques using GPUs (Graphics Processing Units) or FPGAs (Field-Programmable Gate Arrays) to accelerate the execution of the stages in the pipeline.
- **Memory Optimization:** Efficiently managing memory usage by optimizing data structures to minimize the memory footprint.
- Algorithmic Efficiency: Developing and refining algorithms that reduce computational complexity to maintain accuracy while lowering the number of computations.



Simulation Data - CTD 2023 Dataset

 Using ATLAS simulation event samples (10,000 events):
 pp collisions at √s = 14 TeV, *t̄t* process,
 ⟨μ⟩ = 200 *pp* interaction pileup



- Updated ITk layout 23-00-03 (reduced radius of innermost pixel layer, and distribution of passive material with greater detail and accuracy)
- Target particles (dominated by soft interactions):
 \$\$\varphi_T > 1 \text{ GeV}\$, with at least 3 space points, no electron, with production radius < 26 cm
 \$Only primary particles (including B hadron decays, without "secondary" Geant4 particles from material interactions)

Physics Performance





Efficiency = # of charged particles with at least one reconstructed track / # of generated charged particles. Tracks found by the GNN are required to satisfy the following criteria: at least 8 silicon hits, transverse impact parameter $|d_0| < 20$ mm, longitudinal impact parameter $|z_0| < 25$ cm and $p_T > 1$ GeV. The simulated charged particles matched to reconstructed tracks are required to satisfy



Track reconstruction efficiency as a function of the generator-level pseudorapidity η (a) and p_T (b)

 $p_T > 2$ GeV to avoid turn-on effects (link).

CFK is the current reconstruction method using combinatorial Kalman Filter algorithm

ATLAS Collaboration, IDTR-2023-06, October 2023 (link)

H. Torres of behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration, Proceeding of Connecting the Dots 2023 (link) CHEP 2024 Krakow

Computing Performance

CTD 2023 Dataset		
Steps	Module Map (ms)	Metric Learning (ms)
Graph Construction	69	505
GNN	323	108
Graph Segmentation	118	118
Total:	510	731

Per-event running times of each stage in the GNN4ITk pipeline, for both choices of graph construction technique. Stages 1 and 2 are evaluated on Nvidia A100 40GB GPUs. Stage 3 is evaluated on CPU (AMD EPYC 7763).

CHEP 2024 Krakow

Computing Optimizations of the GNN4ITk Reconstruction Pipeline

Graph Construction GNN Inference and Architecture Optimizations Graph Segmentation Inference As a Service (IaaS)



Graph Construction with Module Map^{*}



Current timing around 69 ms on an Nvidia A100 GPU (140x speedup)

Christophe Collard @CHEP2024



GNN for Edge Classification

- 1. Encode nodes and edge features.
- 2. Aggregate edge vectors, acting as messages between nodes.
- 3. Update node features with aggregated messages. Update edge features using updated node features.
- 4. Repeat n times steps 2 and 3.
- 5. Compute an edge score representing the probability of being a true edge.



Input graph (left) and classified graph (right). Fake = blue. True = orange



Computing Optimizations for the GNN of the Module Map Pipeline



- A variety of improvements have brought the GNN timing to around 322 ms
- Average running time over 1,000 events, evaluated on a Nvidia A100 40 GB GPU, CUDA 12.1, the stable release of PyTorch 2.3 and PyG 2.5.
- Observed further **2x speedup** running on a Nvidia A100 80 GB GPU, PyTorch 2.5.0.dev20240902+cu121 and PyG 2.6.

Computing Optimizations for the GNN Pipeline ~ Work in Progress



GNN Model Reduction

Knowledge Distillation







Track Building

- 2-step sequence: connected components (CC) and walkthrough:
 - 1. Use CC to isolate subgraphs with no branching.
 - 2. On subgraphs with branching, use a walkthrough to separate track candidates.
- Each track candidate is a list of hits → extract track parameters by a track fit and match to generator-level particles for physics performance evaluation.

Stages	Physics Efficiency	Running Time (ms)
CTD23 Walkthrough	0.750	42,000
FastWalkthrough	0.754	118
ConnectedComponents (CC)	0.740	6.0
CC + Junction Removal	0.757	40





Optimizing GPU Utilization with IaaS



Can run 3 NVIDIA Triton instances on a 80GB A100 before running out of memory

 \rightarrow AthenaTriton provides a **2.4 throughput increase**

Yuan-Tang Chou @CHEP2024



10/24/2024



Computing Optimizations Summary

- Sraph Construction with Module Map 140x speedup
- ✤ GNN:
 - Automatic Mixed Precision (AMP) \rightarrow 1.5x speedup
 - torch.compile() \rightarrow 1.3x speedup
 - AMP + torch.compile() \rightarrow 3.2x speedup
 - New version of torch.compile() from PyTorch 2.5
 - Nvidia A100 80 GB GPU
 - Total 5x speedup
- ✤ Track Building:
 - CC+Fast WalkThrough \rightarrow 350x speedup
 - CC+Junction Removal \rightarrow another 3x speedup
- AthenaTriton provides a 2.4x throughput increase



Conclusions

- We have demonstrated that GNN4ITk is a viable algorithm for HL-LHC tracking
- Physics performance comparable with CKF, run @ \geq 10 evts/s on A100
- Focus on **decreasing latency**, and reducing the memory usage
- Leveraged acceleration techniques for the GPU, such as **AMP and torch_compile**
- Reducing the size and computation complexity of the GNN models while preserving their physics performance
- Optimizing the other steps of our pipeline, including the Metric Learning models



Related Contributions to this Conference

- High Performance Graph Segmentation for ATLAS GNN Track Reconstruction by Daniel T. Murnane
- EggNet: An Evolving Graph-based Graph Attention Network for End-to-end Particle Track Recontruction by Jay Chan
- <u>Energy-efficient graph-based algorithm for tracking at the HL-LHC</u> by **Heberth Torres**
- New approaches for fast and efficient graph construction on CPU, GPU and heterogeneous architectures for the ATLAS
 event reconstruction by Christophe Collard (poster)
- AthenaTriton: A Tool for running Machine Learning Inference as a Service in Athena by Yuan-Tang Chou
- Machine Learning Inference in Athena with ONNXRuntime by Xiangyang Ju
- <u>Performance of the ATLAS GNN4ITk Particle Track Reconstruction GPU pipeline</u> by **Aleksandra Poreba** (poster)
- Online track reconstruction with graph neural networks on FPGAs for the ATLAS experiment by Sebastian Dittmeier





Thank you!











Back-up



GNN Architecture Optimizations

