Allocating Carbon Costs to Computing Payloads across Heterogeneous Infrastructures.



Speaker: Dr Alex Owen

r.a.owen@qmul.ac.uk

CHEP 2024-10







Allocating Carbon Costs to Payloads



Alex Owen (QMUL) Jon Hays (QMUL)

Daohai Li (QMUL) Alex DIbbo (STFC)

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10966001

KAN





Science and Technology Facilities Council

Scientific Computing



Allocating Carbon Costs to Payloads



https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10966001









iris Carbon Mapping Project

| | Scope 2 – Energy | Scope 3 – Carbon |
|---------|--|---|
| Payload | $E_p = E_f^t \cdot \frac{R_p}{R_f} \cdot \frac{t_p}{t}$ | $C_{ep} = \frac{R_p}{R_f} \cdot t_p \cdot Q_{ef}$ Where: $Q_{ef} = \sum_{x=1}^{items} \frac{C_{ex}}{T_x}$ |
| Idle | $E_{idle}^{t} = E_{f}^{t} - \sum_{p=1}^{payloads} E_{p}$ | $C_{e\ idle}^{t} = t \cdot Q_{ef} - \left(\sum_{p=1}^{payloads} \right)$ |

Table 1: Summary of the Simple Payload Model showing allocations of Scope 2 energy and Scope 3 carbon to user payloads and the remaining idle allocation to the provider.

Simple Payload Model

Apportion by Real Time



| Input | Description |
|----------|---|
| E_f^t | Facility Energy usage over an accounting period |
| , | (including cooling) could be estimated from PDU readings |
| | multiplied by PUE |
| t | Duration of accounting period |
| t_p | Elapsed time of a payload (Wall clock) |
| R_p | Resource slots allocated to job (eg CPU's) |
| R_f | Total slots available at facility |
| C_{ex} | Inventory Entry: Embedded carbon of each item x in facility |
| T_{x} | Inventory Entry: expected lifetime of each item x in facility |
| | |

Table 2: Summary of the inputs needed to evaluate the Simple Payload Model.





iris Carbon Mapping Project

| | Scope 2 - Energy | Scope 3 - Carbon |
|---------|--|---|
| Payload | $E_{p} = P_{f}^{idle} \cdot \frac{R_{p}}{R_{f}} \cdot t_{p} + P_{slot}^{CPU} \cdot t_{p}^{CPU}$ Where: $P_{slot}^{CPU} = \frac{E_{f}^{t} - P_{f}^{idle} \cdot t}{t_{f}^{CPU}}$ | $C_{ep} = \frac{R_p}{R_f} \cdot t_p \cdot Q_{ef}$ Where: $Q_{ef} = \sum_{x=1}^{items} \frac{C_{ex}}{T_x}$ |
| Idle | $E_{idle}^{t} = E_{f}^{t} - \sum_{p=1}^{payloads} E_{p}$ | $C_{eidle}^{t} = t \cdot Q_{ef} - \left(\sum_{p=1}^{payloads} C_{ep}\right)$ |

Table 3: Summary of the Enhanced Payload Model showing allocations of Scope 2 energy and Scope 3 carbon to user payloads and the remaining idle allocation to the provider

Enhanced Payload Model





iris Carbon Mapping Project **Testing the Payload Models for Batch**

Idle Power



-intercept gives the idle power of a node $P_{idle} \approx 137.1W$.

| User | Simple Payload Model | Enhanced Payload Model |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | kWh | kWh |
| prdatl | 1204.79 | 1191.95 |
| pillhcb | 159.08 | 242.24 |
| pilcms | 76.83 | 71.28 |
| pilatl | 48.86 | 51.58 |
| Pilmoe | 10.75 | 16.86 |
| Pildune | 2.46 | 0.61 |
| Others | 0.08 | 0.04 |
| Sub total | 1502.86 | 1574.57 |
| Idle(provider) | 94.14 | 22.43 |
| Total | 1597 | 1597 |

Table 10: Results of evaluating the Simple and Enhanced Payload models on QMUL batch payloads the 24 hour period of 2024-03-07.

| Input | Value | Slurm name | Description |
|--------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| E_f^t | 1597 kWh | - | Facility Energy usage. |
| , | | | In this four rack example the PDU |
| | | | cumulative energy readings were |
| | | | to calculate this. |
| P_f^{idle} | 16.45 kW | - | Idle power draw of the facility. |
| | | | In this example the 137.1W per r |
| | | | was multiplied by 120 nodes. |
| t | 86400 s | - | Duration of accounting period. In |
| | | | case 24 hours. |
| t_f^{CPU} | - | $\sum Total CPII$ | Total CPUtime delivered by the f |
| , | | | during the accounting period. Sur |
| | | | the TotalCPU figures for all payle |
| t_p | - | Elapsed | Elapsed time of a payload (Wall of |
| t_p^{CPU} | - | TotalCPU | CPUtime of a payload |
| $Slots_p$ | - | AllocCPUS | Resource slots allocated to job (eg |
| | | | CPU's) |
| Slots _f | 11520 | - | Total slots available at facility. |
| , | | | In this case 120 nodes with 96 co |
| | | | each. |

Table 9: Measured and derived constants and Slurm accounting data names used to evaluate the payload models for QMUL batch payloads.

Works for Batch!





iris Carbon Mapping Project Testing the Payload Models for Cloud

Works for Cloud too!

| User | Simple Payload Model | |
|----------------|----------------------|--|
| | kWh | |
| Project 1 | 51.51 | |
| Project 2 | 31.52 | |
| Project 3 | 25.07 | |
| Project 4 | 18.22 | |
| Project 5 | 17.61 | |
| Project 6 | 12.89 | |
| Others | 94.00 | |
| Sub total | 250.82 | |
| Idle(provider) | 173.44 | |
| Total | 424.26 | |

| Input | Value | Prometheus name | Description |
|---------|---------|--------------------------------|---|
| E_f^t | 424.26 | - | Facility Energy usage, derived from |
| | kWh | | "node_hwmon_power_average_watt" |
| | | | and our accounting period t on all |
| | | | nodes. |
| t | 72000 | - | Duration of accounting period. In this |
| | seconds | | case 20 hours. |
| t_n | - | - | Elapsed time of a VM (Wall clock) |
| | | | during our accounting period, as |
| | | | inferred by the VM's "launched_at" and |
| | | | "terminated_at" time from OpenStack. |
| R_{p} | - | openstack_nova_vcpus_used | Resource slots allocated to VM (eg |
| F | | | CPU's) |
| R_f | ? | openstack_nova_vcpus_available | Total slots available at facility. |
| | | | In this case number of all vcpus on all |
| | | | the nodes. |

Table 13: Measured and derived constants and Prometheus accounting data names used to evaluate the simple payload model for STFC Cloud payloads.

Simple does...

Enhanced should too...







Table 5: Summary of the Simple Storage Model showing allocations of Scope 2 energy and Scope 3 carbon to user storage use and the remaining allocation to the provider.

Simple Storage Model

iris Carbon Mapping Project

Apportion by quota

| Input | Description |
|--------------------|---|
| E_s^t | Storage Energy usage over an accounting period |
| | (including cooling) could be estimated from PDU readings |
| | multiplied by PUE |
| S _{user} | Storage capacity allocated to a user |
| S _{total} | Total storage capacity of the storage subsystem |
| t | Duration of accounting period |
| C _{ex} | Inventory Entry: Embedded carbon of each storage item x |
| T_x | Inventory Entry: expected lifetime of each storage item x |
| | |

Table 6: Summary of the inputs needed to evaluate the Simple Storage Model.



iris Carbon Mapping Project



Table 7: Summary of the Enhanced Storage Model showing allocations of Scope 2 ener storage use and the remaining allocation to the provider.

Enhanced Storage Model

| le.+) | B_{user} | |
|-------|--|-------|
| - () | $\overline{\Sigma_{u=1}^{all_users}}$ | B_u |

Apportion idle by quota

Apportion active by use fraction

Know your idle power?

Know your bytes?

| <i>x</i> | | |
|--------------|--------------------|---|
| | Input | Description |
| | E_s^t | Storage Energy usage over an accounting period |
| rov and Scor | | (including cooling) could be estimated from PDU readings multiplied by PUE |
| igy and ooop | P ^{idle} | Idle power draw of the storage cluster (including cooling) coul estimated from PDU readings during an idle period multiplied PUE. |
| | Suser | Storage capacity allocated to a user |
| | S _{total} | Total Storage capacity of the storage subsystem |
| | t | Duration of accounting period |
| | B_{user} | Bytes read from, or written to, a users storage area |
| | C_{ex} | Inventory Entry: Embedded carbon of each storage item x |
| | T_x | Inventory Entry: expected lifetime of each storage item x |
| | | |

Table 8: Summary of the inputs needed to evaluate the Enhanced Storage Model.







| User/group | Quota | kWh |
|-------------|-------|-------|
| atlas | 11500 | 588.8 |
| dune | 1100 | 56.3 |
| belle | 1000 | 51.2 |
| lhcb | 300 | 15.4 |
| t2k.org | 250 | 12.8 |
| fermilab | 200 | 10.2 |
| other | 200 | 10.2 |
| Unallocated | 450 | 23.0 |
| Total | 15000 | 768.0 |

Table 12: Results of evaluating the Simple Storage Model on / QMUL data for the 24 hour period of 2024-03-27

| Input | Value | Description | |
|--------------------|---------|---|--|
| E_s^t | 768 kWh | Storage Energy usage over an accounting period | |
| | | In this example 5 racks of storage drawing | |
| | | 6.4kW/rack for 24 hours. | |
| Suser | - | Storage capacity allocated to a user | |
| S _{Total} | 15 PB | Total Storage capacity of the storage subsystem | |
| t | 86400 s | Duration of accounting period | |

Ran the numbers of simple model on QMUL Batch Farm

Should also work for Cloud

Need to extract per user usage figures for Enhanced model

iris Carbon Mapping Project **Testing the Storage Models**

Table 11: Measurements, constants and settings used to evaluate the Simple Storage model.





Which Payload Model is Best?



Figure 3: Behaviour of the Simple and Enhanced payload models for a fixed amount of work (constant CPUtime) varying with Job Efficiency. Plotted on a log scale.

Which Storage Mode is Best? -> Can we get bytes read/written?

iris Carbon Mapping Project

Not much to choose between them.

Both encourage more efficient code

Enhanced reduces Small Delta Allocation to Providers









Speaker: Dr Alex Owen

r.a.owen@qmul.ac.uk

CHEP 2024-10

Allocating Carbon Costs to Computing Payloads across Heterogeneous Infrastructures: Final Thoughts...







Allocating Carbon Costs to Computing Payloads across Heterogeneous Infrastructures.



Speaker: Dr Alex Owen

r.a.owen@qmul.ac.uk

CHEP 2024-10

Backup Slides











Planning now for ~£3M over **3** years starting ~Jan 2025



UKRI Delivery Project

https://eng.ox.ac.uk/netdrive



Alex Owen (QMUL) Jon Hays (QMUL)

Motivation: How should IRIS work towards NetZero DRI?

Allocate Carbon Costs to User Payloads

Reporting Requirements





iris Carbon Mapping Project

Daohai Li (QMUL) Alex DIbbo (STFC)

Outline Delivery Roadmap





Science and Technology **Facilities Council**

Scientific Computing





iris Carbon Mapping Project **Reporting Requirements / Concerns**

Federation

Carbon costs of IRIS activity/providers broken down into scope 2 and scope 3.

Carbon costs of IRIS supported projects broken down into scope 2 and scope 3.

Carbon saved by being a federation

Reporting upwards:

Benefit realisation, infrastructure efficiency Demonstrate right mix of platforms/tech Value of heterogeneity in the federation

Present success while continuing research Power used per hepspec Fossil power used per hepspc

Try to lead the narrative

Carbon costs of a provider's service broken down by scope.

Allocate service carbon cost to users and idle/provider

Ease of implementation

Allocate maximum to users (minimum to idle/provider)

Providers

Users

Energy per job

Average IRIS Carbon Intensity

Average Embedded carbon factor

Try to avoid motivating behaviour that increase federation carbon costs.









Outline Roadmap

| ID | Action |
|----|---|
| 1 | Include energy efficiency and scope 3 carbon considerations into procurements with low weighting |
| 2 | Request LCA and scope 3 data from suppliers at procurement |
| 3 | Increase weighting of energy efficiency and scope 3 carbon considera into procurements |
| 4 | Require LCA and scope 3 data from suppliers at procurement |
| 5 | Agree a minimum Carbon Inventory schema |
| 6 | Create and maintain the Carbon Inventory |
| 7 | Decide carbon accounting policy for scope 3 write-off/credit if equipred disposed of early or sold as working |
| 8 | Prepare guidelines on how to optimise lifetime of kit for carbon emiss |
| 9 | Collect Grid Carbon Intensity for: provider sites, federation average a average. |
| 10 | Publish average federation carbon intensity |
| 11 | Share good practice on how real vs apparent AC power measurements the processing of different energy use measurements. |
| 12 | Decide on initial carbon model for payload allocation |

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10966001

iris Carbon Mapping Project

| | By whom | Timeframe | |
|--------|------------|-----------|--|
| | Provider | Now | |
| | Provider | Now | |
| ions | Provider | Soon | |
| | Provider | Later | |
| | Federation | Now | |
| | Provider | Now | |
| ent | Federation | Now | |
| ons | Federation | Soon | |
| d UK | Fed/Prov | Now | |
| | Federation | Now | |
| effect | Federation | Now | |
| | Federation | Now | |

| ID | Action | By whom | Timeframe |
|----|--|------------|-----------|
| 13 | Commission an IRIS Carbon Accounting Data Repository: planning and implementation, including data model and data transfer. | | Now |
| 14 | Evaluate selected model on payloads daily to give user energy feedback | Provider | Now |
| 15 | Evaluate selected model on payloads monthly to report sum of payload energies and idle energy and apportioned embedded carbon costs | Prov/Fed | Now |
| 16 | Collect monthly returns of data from providers to IRIS Carbon Accounting Data Repository | Federation | Now |
| 17 | Commission reporting portal to provide the identified reports to federation, providers, and users. | Federation | Now |
| 18 | Commission reporting to users of payload energy usage and average federation carbon intensity. | Federation | Now |
| 19 | Additional tools for user code optimisation such as energy benchmark tools and the addition of profiling queues to services run by providers. | Fed/Prov | Soon |
| 20 | Find or commission an energy benchmark for providers to run on compute nodes and keep results in inventory | Federation | Soon |
| 21 | Survey GPU energy monitoring frameworks and plan how to add accelerators into carbon monitoring models. | Federation | Soon |
| 22 | Review evidence from under-clocking of accelerators and the effect on carbon emissions. | Federation | Soon |
| 23 | Collect additional user carbon reporting needs. | Users | Soon |
| 24 | Plan how to record and report the impact of Green RSE's. | Federation | Now |
| 25 | Regular review of developments in 'Green Scheduling'. | Federation | Now |
| 26 | Regular review of UKRU DRI NetZero projects and policy | Federation | Now |
| 27 | Bid for UKRI DRI NetZero funds | ALL | Now |
| 28 | Prepare IRIS Carbon Costing Framework for grant proposals | Federation | Now |







ECO-Grid Model