CHEP Conference, October 2024, Krakow



# FREE-STREAMING ONLINE TRACKING IN CBM

#### SERGEY GORBUNOV 1

SERGEI ZHARKO<sup>1</sup> VALENTINA AKISHINA<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> GSI Helmholtzzentrum f
ür Schwerionenforschung
 <sup>2</sup> FIAS Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies







Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

## THE TRACKING CHALLENGES OF THE CBM EXPERIMENT (FAIR)



- 10<sup>7</sup> heavy ion collisions/sec
- up to 700 charged particles/collision
- free-streaming tracking
- online event selection
- operation begins in 2028, test setup is already running







Our approach to process the free-streaming data:

Cut data in small time bins and process them with existing event-by-event tracking.

- account for the hit time when matching the hits
- properly treat the time bin borders





3. Look for good tracks within the trees





Triplet construction:

- Estimate search windows with the Kalman Filter (== track following)
- to be replaced with polynomial approximation / NN

Search in combinatorial trees:

- Length and sum of triplet-match  $\chi^2\,$  for selection of the best track candidate
- Kalman Filter fit of the best candidate for the final quality check

## Time sliding window algorithm to perform tracking in time bins

Large timeslices are split into small time bins

Each time bin is processed as one super-event w/o data sorting in time

consider hit <u>"event time</u> range" for the overlaps



► hits with their event time range

#### TRACKING IN TIME SLICES



Algorithm for the time bin k:

- store only tracks that have at least one hit not overlapping with the next time bin
- remove hits that belong to the reconstructed tracks

## TRACKING IN TIME SLICES



## 

## Features:

- no extra track merging routine at the border
- hits that overlap with the border are processed twice
- easy multithreading
- robust to the extreme cases where some hits belong to several time bins
- hits need only be approximately time-ordered to identify their time bins

Algorithm to read slightly time-disordered data w/o sorting

Assumption: the data are more or less sorted in time on the large scale!







Now, to collect all the hits that overlap with time area [t, T]:

```
- start at the first hit a with ( t\,\,{<}{=}\,\,L_a )
```

- stop at the last hit b with (  $r_{\rm b}$  <= T )





## Features:

- calculating the values: 2 passes over the input data array
- no overhead when the input is perfectly sorted. The data is read continuously while the time-sliding window is moving.
- a slight disorder in time => maybe a few extra reads at the time bin edges
- no problem with the overlapping event time regions (when hits can not be perfectly sorted)



No more requirement for the input to be sorted in time!

To match hits in time one needs to include the <u>time</u> to the track model.

To propagate the time along the trajectory one needs to know the <u>velocity</u>:

- In the physics analysis:
   v can be calculated from the mass hypothesis and the measured momentum.
- In the combinatorial tracking: no mass and/or no momentum is known.
   One can not just use average values because velocity variations should be considered.

Our solution: Make the track velocity a free parameter of the track model.

# CBM track model for 4D fit

track = { x, y, tx, ty, q/p, time, 1/v } at z

Propagation:

 $\Delta time = (1/v) * \Delta L$ 

Options to set the velocity:

- 1. v = from a priori known range
- 2. v =from hits
- 3. v = from the measured q/p and the mass hypothesis
  - ( v and q/p can be bind together after the fit via cov. matrix )

Features:

- accurate estimation of the time error
- time propagation is (almost) linear in z
- one can use all three above options together

#### FREE-STREAMING TRACKING: PERFORMANCE

- stable efficiency up to 1MHz,
   degradation at 10 MHz due to the readout dead time
- comparable speed:
  - 3D: 8.2ms / event
  - 4D: 8.5ms / event

1000 AuAu UrQMD minimum bias events at 10 AGeV			STS channel dead time 800 ns	
Track type	E-by-E	0.1MHz	1MHz	10MHz
Long high-p primary	99.5	99.8	99.8	99.7
All tracks	91.4	92.7	92.1	85.3
Primary high-p	96.5	97.7	97.1	90.0
Primary low-p	91.6	93.2	92.6	86.9
Secondary low-p	63.8	66.5	66.2	59.7
Clone	1.3	0.7	0.7	1.38
Ghost	1.2	1.0	1.1	2.6
True hits per reco track	90.5	92.1	91.9	90.4
Hits per MC track	6.84	6.83	6.78	6.36

Reconstructable track — has at least 4 consecutive mc points, p > 0.1 GeV/c Clone — more than one track obtained for one simulated particle Reconstructed track — purity >= 70%, Ghost — purity < 70%

#### MINI-CBM: A RUNNING TEST SETUP



Beamtime 2024:

#### Test setup:

- designed to test the detectors
- real-life problems: acceptance gaps, noise, misalignment
- tracking with different PID detectors

- the tracker was running smoothly all the time with the required speed\*
- it finds many tracks also long tracks with no calibration or alignment
- it produces QA plots

# **TRACKING FEATURES**

- process time slices with no-merging sliding window
- algorithm to read the data without time sorting
- track model with the explicit velocity

# PLANS

- Speedup the triplet construction by replacing the Kalman Filter track following with polynomial search windows
- port the code to GPU (work in progress)

# THANK YOU!