# **Data-driven efficiencies of** the LHCb High-Level Trigger in Run 3





Johannes Albrecht, Jamie Gooding, Maxim Lysenko, Alessandro Scarabotto On behalf of the LHCb collaboration

Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków, 22<sup>nd</sup> October 2024







RTA and DPA dataflow diagrams for Run 1, Run 2, and the upgraded LHCb detector LHCb-FIGURE-2020-016

Jamie Gooding Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

REAL-TIME ANALYSIS FOR SCIENCE AND INDUSTRY







RTA and DPA dataflow diagrams for Run 1, Run 2, and the upgraded LHCb detector LHCb-FIGURE-2020-016

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków Jamie Gooding









RTA and DPA dataflow diagrams for Run 1, Run 2, and the upgraded LHCb detector LHCb-FIGURE-2020-016

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków Jamie Gooding









RTA and DPA dataflow diagrams for Run 1, Run 2, and the upgraded LHCb detector LHCb-FIGURE-2020-016

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków Jamie Gooding



### LHCb Run 3 Trigger Diagram





## The LHCb Trigger in Run 3



For more, see talks at CHEP 2024 on 21.10:

- Trigger: talk by Alessandro in Track 2
- Offline processing: talk by Nicole in Track 3

RTA and DPA dataflow diagrams for Run 1, Run 2, and the upgraded LHCb detector LHCb-FIGURE-2020-016

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków Jamie Gooding



SMA HEP

REAL-TIME ANALYSIS FOR SCIENCE AND INDUSTRY



• In principle, efficiencies should be as simple as  $\varepsilon_{\text{Trig.}}^{\text{True}} = N_{\text{Trig.}} / N_{\text{All}}$ 

Data driven trigger efficiency determination at LHCb <u>LHCb-PUB-2014-039</u>

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków









- In principle, efficiencies should be as simple as  $\varepsilon_{\rm Trig.}^{\rm True} = N_{\rm Trig.}/N_{\rm All}$
- In practice, our data has no "truth" information:
  → How can we calculate a trigger efficiency? We'll need some categories...



Data driven trigger efficiency determination at LHCb LHCb-PUB-2014-039

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków





![](_page_7_Picture_9.jpeg)

- In principle, efficiencies should be as simple as  $\varepsilon_{\rm Trig.}^{\rm True} = N_{\rm Trig.}/N_{\rm All}$
- In practice, our data has no "truth" information:
  → How can we calculate a trigger efficiency? We'll need some categories...

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

Data driven trigger efficiency determination at LHCb LHCb-PUB-2014-039

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

### "Triggered on signal" events

## 70% of all hits in common with hits of signal candidate

![](_page_8_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_11.jpeg)

- In principle, efficiencies should be as simple as  $\varepsilon_{\text{Trig.}}^{\text{True}} = N_{\text{Trig.}}/N_{\text{All}}$
- *In practice*, our data has no "truth" information:  $\rightarrow$  How can we calculate a trigger efficiency? We'll need some categories...

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

### "Triggered independent of signal" events "Triggered on signal" events Any candidate has < 1% of hits in common 70% of all hits in common with hits of with hits of signal candidate signal candidate

Jamie Gooding

![](_page_9_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Data driven trigger efficiency determination at LHCb <u>LHCb-PUB-2014-039</u>
- Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

![](_page_9_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_14.jpeg)

• From the TISTOS categories, define tag-and-probe efficiencies:

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

( $\varepsilon_{\text{TOS}}$  in the TIS subsample)

- But these only cover the tagged subsample...
- Assuming TIS efficiency ( $\varepsilon_{\text{TIS}|\text{TOS}}$ ) identical for any subsample ( $\varepsilon_{\text{TIS}} \equiv \varepsilon_{\text{TIS}|\text{TOS}}$ ), define a more general trigger efficiency (across all events):

But does the assumption above hold? Need to account for TIS-TOS correlation Data driven trigger efficiency determination at LHCb <u>LHCb-PUB-2014-039</u>

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

![](_page_10_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_12.jpeg)

- $\varepsilon_{\text{Trig.}} = \frac{N_{\text{Trig.}} N_{\text{TISTOS}}}{N_{\text{TIS}} N_{\text{TOS}}}$

![](_page_10_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_17.jpeg)

- Correlation between TIS and TOS from correlation of signal and "rest of event"
- In sufficiently small phase-space (signal  $p_T$ ,  $p_7$ , etc.), correlation negligible
- Integrate TIS/TOS/TISTOS terms over phase space (see right):

$$\varepsilon_{\text{Trig.}} = \frac{N_{\text{Trig.}}}{\sum_{i} \frac{N_{\text{TIS}}N_{\text{TOS}}}{N_{\text{TISTOS}}}}$$

where each *i* is a sufficiently small phase space bin

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

![](_page_11_Figure_9.jpeg)

### Data driven trigger efficiency determination at LHCb <u>LHCb-PUB-2014-039</u>

![](_page_11_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Trigger efficiencies in 2024

- Consider 4 different categories of decays:

  - Dimuon *b* decay:  $B^+ \to J/\psi \left(\mu^+ \mu^-\right) K^+$  and  $B^0 \to J/\psi \left(\mu^+ \mu^-\right) K^{*0} \left(K^+ \pi^-\right)$ • Dielectron *b* decay:  $B^+ \to J/\psi \left( e^+ e^- \right) K^+$  and  $B^0 \to J/\psi \left( e^+ e^- \right) K^{*0} \left( K^+ \pi^- \right)$ • Hadronic *b* decay:  $B^+ \to \overline{D}^0 (K^+ \pi^-) \pi^+$  and  $B^0 \to D^- (K^+ \pi^- \pi^-) \pi^+$ • Hadronic c decay:  $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$  and  $D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
- 2024 efficiencies calculated in bins of top-level composite  $p_T$

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków Jamie Gooding

Evaluate HLT1 efficiencies in LHCb 2024 data and compare to Run 2 L0×HLT1 efficiencies

 Run 2 efficiencies sourced from Run 2 trigger performance paper, <u>JINST 14 (2019) P04013</u> L0 trigger lines chosen based on category, e.g., L0Hadron for hadronic decays

![](_page_12_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_18.jpeg)

### Dimuon b decays

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Dielectron** *b* **decays**

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3

Jamie Gooding

Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Hadronic b decays

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

HLT1 trigger efficiencies in 2024 data LHCb-FIGURE-2024-030

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_11.jpeg)

### Hadronic c decays

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

HLT1 trigger efficiencies in 2024 data LHCb-FIGURE-2024-030

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków 22<sup>nd</sup> October 2024

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

ceptanc ac candidates 0.1 **U** 

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

11

## **TriggerCalib**

- Previously, calculations implemented in each analysis; developed tool to centralise this
- TriggerCalib calculates  $\varepsilon_{TOS|TIS}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{TIS|TOS}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{Trig}$ .
  - PyPI package: pip install triggercalib
  - Efficiencies calculated in 1D or 2D binning
  - Signal isolated by sideband subtraction/fitand-count (fit in each bin)/sWeights (see right)
  - Support for fitting with both RooFit and zFit
  - Intended for Run 3, applicable to Runs 1 & 2
- Tool is analysis ready, with first users already implementing into analysis workflows

Jamie Gooding Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

![](_page_17_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Conclusion

- TISTOS method provides data-driven approach to trigger efficiency calculations Successfully validated on Run 3 MC simulation
- HLT1 efficiencies in 2024 data demonstrate significant improvement against Run 2 through removal of L0 (LHCb-FIGURE-2024-030)
  - Notable gains at low  $p_T$  in hadronic and dielectron decays  $\rightarrow$  plenty of physics for Run 3
- TriggerCalib developed as a one-stop-shop for TISTOS calculations
  - Under development, though ready for analysis and already being adopted within LHCb

### Thank you for your attention

### Any questions?

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

Jamie Gooding

![](_page_18_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Backup

### The LHCb experiment in Run 3

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Framework TDR for the LHCb Upgrade LHCb-TDR-12

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

22<sup>nd</sup> October 2024

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

HEP

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

### The LHCb experiment in Run 3

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

22<sup>nd</sup> October 2024

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

AHEP

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

### The LHCb experiment in Run 3

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

22<sup>nd</sup> October 2024

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

A HEP

![](_page_22_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Why remove the L0 trigger?

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **TIS phase-space dependence**

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

The LHCb trigger and its performance in 2011 <u>JINST 8 (2013) P04022</u>

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

22<sup>nd</sup> October 2024

17

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

### The LHCb Turbo event model

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

A comprehensive real-time analysis model at the LHCb experiment <u>JINST 14 (2019) P04006</u>

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Offline data processing at LHCb SMARTHER CLAIM ALVESTOR AND INDUSTRY

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

15 PB / year

RTA and DPA dataflow diagrams for Run 1, Run 2, and the upgraded LHCb detector LHCb-FIGURE-2020-016

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków Jamie Gooding

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_9.jpeg)

### LHCb user analysis

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Jamie Gooding

Data-driven efficiencies of the LHCb High Level Trigger in Run 3 Track 2, CHEP 2024, Kraków

22<sup>nd</sup> October 2024

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

SMA HEP REAL-TIME ANALYSIS FOR

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)