

Finanziato dall'Unione europea NextGenerationEU









Anomaly detection for data quality monitoring of the Muon system at CMS

<u>Marco Buonsante</u>¹, Marco Cruciani², Federica Simone³, Rosamaria Venditti¹ on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

CHEP 2024, 19-25 October 2024, Krakow, Poland



¹ Università e INFN Bari ² Università di Bologna ³ Politecnico e INFN Bari









Data taking at Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS)











Data Quality Monitoring (DQM)



Online DQM: Promptly raise alarms during data taking **Offline DQM**: Provide inputs to experts for investigating issues **Offline Data Certification (DC):** Certify high-quality data for physics analysis

Online ME: Histograms are filled in real time during the run. Offline ME: Histograms are available for inspection with per-run granularity

Monitoring Elements (ME):











DQM challenges and limitations

- Online monitoring is a **highly time-sensitive** operational task
- Data Certification should **ensure high quality data** while **limiting false positive rate**





- Limited time granularity (run) may hide transient issues affecting only a few lumisections
- Drawback: per-LS approach increases the number of MEs by a factor *Q*(10³)
 - Human inspection not feasible









State of the art

- Ongoing effort within CMS in using ML to **automatise the monitoring** of different subsystems:
 - **ECAL** [Comput Softw Big Sci 8, 11 (2024)]: Online DQM,
 - Tracker [CERN-CMS-DP-2024-070]: DC,
 - HCAL [Sensors 2023, 23(24), 9679] Online & Offline DQM













Anomaly detection for the online monitoring of the CMS Muon System

- **Goal**: Develop a flexible ML anomaly detection tool
- Inputs: Occupancy plots from muon system sub-detectors (taking inspiration from ECAL [Comput Softw Big Sci 8, 11 (2024)])
- **Challenges**: Low rate of particles reaching the muon system implies in low occupancy
- **Tested with** Cathode Strip Chambers (**CSC**) occupancy plots
 - 150k LSs all certified as GOOD (from 2024 data taking)











CSC detector and expected anomalies



- CSCs are placed in the **endcaps** of the CMS muon system
- They are arranged in disks called **stations**
- Stations (from 2 to 4) have two rings: MEX/1 MEX/2
- MEX/1 (MEX/2) is divided in chambers of 20° (10°), each readout by 5 frontend boards
- Possible anomalies manifest as a deficit or excess of entries in a chamber or a readout sector.









Workflow

1 – Data preprocessing

- Sum LSs ME distributions
- Taking in account data taking conditions (instantaneous luminosity, LHC status)

2 – Training

- ResNet Autoencoder for Image Reconstruction
- General idea: the model should learn an abstract representation of good data



3 – Validation

- Measure performance on labelled data
- Set metrics and thresholds

4 – Flag BAD/GOOD

• Flag data with few LS granularity. Either reject anomalous data or further investigate











CSC data preprocessing (1)

- Data are filtered requiring **GOOD** LSs with ist. lumi > 2 nb⁻¹ s⁻¹
- Muon System is characterised by **low occupancies** (especially for MEX/2) → **Can't** use a single LS
 - \circ Sum over consecutive LSs up to int. lumi of 7 pb⁻¹





Distribution of the number of summed LSs









CSC data preprocessing (2)

After merging consecutive LS, the images still have **empty regions** at low η (MEX/2).

- The statistical fluctuations can affect the generalization capability of the autoencoder.
- The external part of each image is **rebinned in slices** along the φ coordinate (following the geometry of the detector readout)



Marco Buonsante — 22/10/2024 — CHEP 2024







x2

x2



Model: ResNet for unsupervised reconstruction of images

Input: ~8000, 100x100, preprocessed CSC occupancy images

Training loss: L1Loss

Strategy: Two independent trainings for MEX/1 and MEX/2



Training









Validation: MEX/1

- A set of good and problematic images is isolated from data by visual inspection.
- Compute the "Loss" as signed difference between input- and reco- image divided by mean input images



• Threshold \rightarrow optimizing **F1 score**



Marco Buonsante — 22/10/2024 — CHEP 2024

Confusion Matrix









- Anomaly found in data, clear **hotspot** visible data
- The algorithm provides a map showing the anomalous region

May 02, 2024 Run 380306 LS: 193 to 207











- Anomaly found in data, clear **empty region** visible in data
- The algorithm provides a map showing the anomalous region

May 11, 2024 Run 380624 LS: 350 to 366











Validation: MEX/2

- Low statistics \rightarrow Difficult to isolate anomalies by eye
- Generate artificially anomalies introducing over/under fluctuations in slices of the detector (based readout sectors geometry)
- Compute the "Loss" as difference between input- and reco- image divided by mean input images
- Threshold \rightarrow optimizing **F1 score**















• Simulated anomaly, **empty region** visible in input image + additional **hotspot** in ME-2/1

Simulated Anomaly

• The algorithm provides a map showing the anomalous region











- Anomaly found in data, **hotspot** visible in data for both ME-2/1 and ME-2/2
- The algorithm provides a map showing the anomalous













Conclusions

- To showcase the applicability of **ML-based** monitoring to the CMS Muon System an **anomaly detection tool** has been developed for the **online monitoring of the CSC** detector with time granularity of the order of **few lumisections**.
- Anomalies are identified in the **2D occupancy maps** of reconstructed hits in the CSC ME2-4 stations.
- The algorithm is trained on a set of images from certified data, each corresponding to about 15 LS, separately for ME-2/1 and ME-2/2 rings.
- After training, a strategy is defined for identifying anomalous images. The fraction of anomalous images correctly labeled by the algorithm is 99% for ME-2/1 and above 85% for ME-2/2 (optimization still in progress).

This work is partially supported by ICSC – Centro Nazionale di Ricerca in HPC, Big Data and Quantum Computing, funded by European Union – NextGenerationEU

Thanks for your attention!