Optimizing the ATLAS Geant4 detector simulation

CHEP 2024, 21st October 2024

Ben Morgan (The University of Warwick) on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration





Simulation of the ATLAS Experiment



- Simulation of interactions within the ATLAS Experiment at the LHC is performed using **Geant4** integrated into the ATLAS Offline Software framework (**Athena**).
- In Run 2 ATLAS used
 Geant4 10.1 (mc20).
- In Run 3 ATLAS uses
 Geant4 10.6 (mc23).



Increasing technical performance in MC21-23



- A: Avoid simulating of uninteresting particles:
 - Neutron/Photon Russian Roulette (Speed-up: ~10%)
 - Increase secondary production threshold (range cut) for Gamma processes (Speed-up: 6-10%)
- **B:** Simulate interesting particles more efficiently:
 - Use of <u>VecGeom</u> Tube/Cone/Polycone (Speed-up: 2-7%)
 - EM Endcap Calorimeter (EMEC) Geometry Hierarchy Optimisation (Speed-up: 5-6%)
 - Tailored magnetic field switch-off in LAr Calorimeters (Speed-up: 3%)
 - <u>G4GammaGeneralProcess</u> to reduce number of MFP evaluations (Speed-up: 3%)
 - Big/Static Library for Simulation to reduce PLT/GOT instructions (Speed-up: 5-7%)
 - This talk covers "Full" Simulation workflow, but ATLAS also has a "Fast" Simulation workflow
 - Advancements in the ATLAS Fast Chain for HL-LHC: Towards Efficient MC Production
 - <u>AtlFast3: Fast Simulation in ATLAS for LHC Run 3 and beyond</u>
- In this latter category, highlight two topics today:
 - Woodcock Tracking in the EMEC
 - Link Time Optimization of the Big Library

Woodcock Tracking in the EMEC



- <u>Woodcock Tracking</u> is a technique for highly segmented detectors where geometric boundaries rather than physical interaction lengths limit the simulation steps.
 - Is the case for photons in ATLAS EMEC region: transportation process dominates
- Photons don't interact *along* a step (no continuous energy loss), thus safe to perform tracking of photons in a simplified EMEC geometry (i.e. without boundaries) made of the densest material from the standard EMEC geometry (Pb).
 - Interaction then occurs with probability equal to ratio of cross-sections of the true material and Pb.
 - Only statistical changes in output.
- In ATLAS, <u>Woodcock Tracking</u> is applied on top of the G4GammaGeneralProcess.
 - Added as an ATLAS patch on top of Geant4 10.6.patch03

• Speed-up: 17.5%

• Via 50% reduction in number of steps for photons in EMEC.

Link-Time (Interprocedural) Optimisation



- Set of methods to optimize **across**, rather than **within**, translation units
 - GCC/Clang: extra data attached to object files to assist linker view "whole program"
- AtlasGeant4 "Big Library" is a shared library, but links in static Geant4 libraries and does not export their symbols
 - LTO not generally applicable to shared libraries given reuse/runtime loading
 - Here, non-export of symbols means linker can treat these like a "program"
- Only requires appropriate compiler/linker flags, enabled in Athena via use of CMake CMAKE_INTERPROCEDURAL_OPTIMIZATION option
- Speed-up: ~5%
 - Simulation output bitwise identical after this technical change, as expected.

Simulation runtimes on the WLCG





https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PLOTS/SIMU-2023-06/

Future optimizations under development



- Several areas building on existing optimizations under development:
 - Switching off EM Energy Loss fluctuations
 - Physics output-changing. Postponed until next major MC campaign.
 - Parameter Tuning of In-Field Tracking
 - Customize G4 tracking parameters based on particle type, properties and location region to optimize CPU performance without compromising precision.
 - G4NeutronGeneralProcess
 - Super-process for neutron physics (c.f. G4GammaGeneralProcess)
 - Advanced Compiler Methods PGO / AutoFDO
 - Next step after LTO use profile driven feedback to further optimize the big library.
- Today, we'll highlight five topics:
 - High-η particle rejection
 - Re-implementation of EMEC geometry
 - Use of AF3 for low energy particles in the EMEC
 - Streamlining adoption of new Geant4 versions
 - Specialized transport per particle type with G4HepEM/AdePT/Celeritas

High-η particle rejection

- Idea: Kill primary particles generating secondaries close to the beam-pipe at ~5-6 m from the IP.
- Many particles in the collision are at high |η| (no Inner Detector hits) with little energy compared to the calorimeter noise.
- Check if we can kill some particles early on which will have no or little effect on the simulated energy in the calorimeters to save CPU.
- Cutting $\eta > 5.0$ and $E_{T} < 0.5$ GeV looks promising.



Ben Morgan (The University of Warwick)

https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PLOTS/SIMU-2024-05/

Re-implementation of the EMEC geometry



• Background:

- The as-built EMEC has a complicated "Spanish Fan" geometry.
- Efficient description using the G4Solids available in early versions of Geant4 was not possible
- Custom solids used to implement the geometry
- New implementation using data from the technical drawings
 - EMEC mother volume
 - Inner and Outer Wheel envelopes
 - Inner and Outer Wheel Absorbers and Electrodes defined as G4GenericTrap solids
 - Option to subdivide the geometry into slices to aid voxelization.
- Code now available in Athena
 - Preliminary benchmarks indicate ~19% speedup
 - Work underway to validate physics output



Using AtlFast3 for low energy e/γ in the EMEC



- Even after MC23 optimizations, e/γ in EMEC one of the biggest contributors to the total steps-per-event.
- Idea: use AtlFast3 to handle low energy e/γ in the EMEC for the Full Simulation workflow
 - Similar to <u>Frozen Showers in ATLAS FCAL</u> for low energy e/γ/neutrons
 - Find E/η region where outputs do not differ w.r.t. full Geant4
- Preliminary studies show promise for particles with *E<8GeV*, *1.5<η<2.5*, with ~10% speed-up in this region compared to full Geant4.



Streamlining adoption of new Geant4 versions



- Using a new Geant4 version is a physics modelling update
 - These are expensive in people/compute resource, typically once/twice per LHC Run
- Nevertheless, we want to test new versions in Athena development:
 - Be ready to take advantage of latest improvements to physics and performance
 - Quicker reporting of ATLAS-specific issues to Geant4
 - Minimize diff(s) between latest/production versions to ease understanding of physics modelling differences if observed
- Work underway to automate more of this process
 - Use Athena CI to regularly build against given Geant4 version(s), as done for Gaudi/ACTS
 - Run regular high level validations of these builds as early warning of discrepancies to help understanding before committing to a full physics modelling validation
 - Determination of sampling fractions, Birks corrections

Specialized transport: G4HepEM/AdePT/Celeritas



Geant4 11 added the capability to <u>customize transport per particle type</u>

- Choose what to do (stepping, parameterization) based on particle energy, location
- Increased flexibility, coherence, and potentially performance, compared to other methods/hooks

• <u>G4HepEM</u>

• A compact Geant4 EM library with memory layout/algorithms optimized for the HEP EM shower development and e-/e+/ γ transport use case, validated against the more general Geant4 EM models.

AdePT, <u>Celeritas</u>

- Implement Action-based full stepping transport loop for e-/e+/γ on NVIDIA(AMD) GPUs, targeting HEP use case for geometry and physics processes
 - See presentations in next parallel session in this track
- Integration in Athena underway using specialized transport to offload of e-/e+/ γ in, say, calorimeters, to the GPU asynchronously whilst retaining host-side event boundaries
- AdePT/Celeritas allow use of host-side Sensitive Detectors for scoring, so output hits can be compared directly in downstream reconstruction/validation pipelines
- Runtime performance to be evaluated in range of Host-Device systems and realistic production workflows

Summary



- The ATLAS Simulation group aims to:
 - provide Monte Carlo samples with consistent physics for entire LHC runs for analysers
 - include new optimizations without changing physics modelling between yearly sub-campaigns.
 - include physics modelling improvements between campaigns.
- Multiple optimizations were introduced between the Run 2 (mc20) campaign and the latest Run 3 campaign (mc23e - matching 2024 data)
 - Throughput increasing by a factor of 1.84!
- Healthy programme of on-going development of further optimizations for the future both from adopting new Geant4 features, improving Athena code, and exploring use of GPUs for stepping loops
 - Close collaboration with the Geant4 Collaboration continues to be key here
- Further performance improvements expected for the sub-campaign for 2025 data.

Additional Information





Neutron/Photon Russian Roulette



Neutron Russian Roulette

- Low energy neutrons take quite some CPU time in simulation, usually with many steps that are not really correlated with the point of their creation.
- Randomly kill neutrons below some threshold energy with a probability 1/w and apply a corresponding weight (w) to the remaining neutrons. The remaining neutrons would then deposit w-times the energy.
 - Based on an idea already used in CMS Simulations.
- Parameters used in production: Threshold = 2.0 MeV, w = 10.
- Photon Russian Roulette:
 - Applied to photons produced in the LAr EM Calorimeters in ATLAS
 - Avoids unwanted effects in the Inner Detector.
 - Parameters used in production: Threshold = 0.5 MeV, w = 10.
- Both Implemented in Athena in a configurable G4UserStackingAction.
- Speed-up: ~10% overall



EM Range Cuts

- Range cuts are a built-in way of increasing Geant4 performance
 - Secondary particles that are expected to travel less than the range cut are not created and their energy is immediately deposited by the parent particle.
 - For each material-volume pair, range cuts can be specified in distance units (mm).
- By default Geant4 does not apply range cuts for the conversion, photo-electric or Compton-scattering gamma processes.
 - Option provided by Geant4 to activate range cuts for these processes:
 - G4 UI command: '/process/em/applyCuts true'
- Range cut of 0.1 mm used, same as for electron processes.
- Speed-up: 6-10%
 - Due to far fewer being particles created.



https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PLOTS/SIM-2019-001/

Big/Static Library for simulation



 Function calls in a shared library go through the Procedure Linkage Table (PLT), leading to extra instructions per call (so called "trampolines"):



- Remove some calls by **statically** linking Geant4 into a **shared** Athena library
 - Compile Geant4 external in static mode with PIC (e.g. create .a archives).
 - Create a single "big" shared library in Athena linked together from all packages linking to Geant4 (single point of linkage) plus the Geant4 static libraries
 - Use --exclude-libs, ALL linker flag to not export symbols from statically linked libraries, removing trampolines as these are now internal only to the "big" library
- Speed-up: 5-7%
 - Simulation output bitwise identical after this technical change, as expected.

CPU Time: Steps per event per subdetector





- Number of Geant4 steps per event for various ATLAS detector volumes. The left column in each section represents the Run 2 (mc20) setup and the right column represents the setup during Run 3 (mc23 = mc23c).
- 'FCal1' includes the first (electromagnetic) module of the forward calorimeter and 'FCal2/3' includes the subsequent two hadronic modules.
- 'ID services' includes ID services and the beam pipe.
- 'LAr services' includes LAr services and LAr cryostats.
- 'Other' includes all other particles and all other volumes that are simulated.

CPU Time: Subdetector fractions per event





- Time spent per event simulating 100 tt events, normalized to the total time spent to simulate events in mc20, for each of the major subdetectors.
- The different coloured bars represent different simulation configurations: the left most bar, for each subdetector, shows the simulation time for the Run 2 (mc20) configuration; the middle bar displays the time for an optimization used for the first Run 3 simulated samples (mc21); while the right bar shows the time spent on simulating events with the latest Run 3 configuration (mc23 = mc23c).

Ben Morgan (The University of Warwick)

CPU Time: Particle type fractions per event





- Time spent per event simulating 100 tt events, normalized to the total time spent to simulate events in mc20, for each particle type.
- The different coloured bars represent different simulation configurations: the left most bar, for each subdetector, shows the simulation time for the Run 2 (mc20) configuration; the middle bar displays the time for an optimization used for the first Run 3 simulated samples (mc21); while the right bar shows the time spent on simulating events with the latest Run 3 configuration (mc23 = mc23c).

Ben Morgan (The University of Warwick)