Normalizing Flows for Physics Data Analyses

Jan Gavranovič (jan.gavranovic@ijs.si)^{1,2} Borut Paul Kerševan (borut.kersevan@ijs.si)^{1,2} ¹Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia ²Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

Introduction

- LHC produces **big data** \Rightarrow MC and analysis need to follow
- Can generative models be used to support physics modeling?
- **Problem**: do not know the true generating data distribution
- Objective: approximate $p_{data}(\boldsymbol{x})$ to enable infinite sampling
- Learn true $p_{data}(\boldsymbol{x})$ from $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{D}$ using approximate $p_{model,\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx p_{data}(\boldsymbol{x})$
- Focus on LHC *analysis-specific* distributions of *final* analysis variables

Higgs Benchmark Dataset

- Publicly available dataset with 11M events and 28 variables
- Binary classification problem: signal (BSM) vs. background $(t\bar{t})$

Performance Evaluation

- Comparison of ML generated and MC simulated distributions
- Best model was selected for the final analysis
- Performance was measured using statistical distances and classifier two sample testing



• Use as test for LHC *final* event simulation with normalizing flows



- 21 low-level and 7 high-level variables
- Data preprocessing (*feature scaling*) is a crucial step in training
- Task: train ML model to generate new background events

Normalizing Flows (Invertible Neural Networks)

- Two pieces:
- 1. base distribution $p_u(\boldsymbol{u})$, typically $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{I})$
- 2. differentiable transformation $\boldsymbol{x} = T(\boldsymbol{u})$ with an inverse $\boldsymbol{u} = T^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})$

Physics Analysis

• A simplified analysis was performed, involving preselection (baseline cuts) and a NN-based classifer final selection



- Construct a flow by composing together many transformations T:

 $T = T_K \circ \ldots \circ T_1$ and $T^{-1} = T_1^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ T_K^{-1}$

- Transformations T are (invertible) neural networks with parameters ϕ
- Generative process:

 $\boldsymbol{x} = T(\boldsymbol{u}) \approx p_x(\boldsymbol{x})$ with sampling $\boldsymbol{u} \sim p_u(\boldsymbol{u})$

Density evaluation using change of variables formula:

 $p_x(\boldsymbol{x}) = p_u(T^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})) \left| \det \frac{\partial T^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \right|$

Forward and Inverse Directions

• Forward direction: $\boldsymbol{z}_k = T_k(\boldsymbol{z}_{k-1})$ for $k = 1, \ldots, K$ with $\boldsymbol{z}_0 = \boldsymbol{u}$ (infer) • Inverse direction: $\boldsymbol{z}_{k-1} = T_k^{-1}(\boldsymbol{z}_k)$ for $k = K, \ldots, 1$ with $\boldsymbol{z}_K = \boldsymbol{x}$ (train)



• Upper limits on the signal strength μ for the likelihood fit to the classifier score distribution as a function of ML-generated events were calculated



Summary

- Similar to autoencoder: forward mode \Leftrightarrow decoder, backward mode \Leftrightarrow encoder
- Loss function has two terms (log-likelihood + log-determinant):

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\log p_u \left(T^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}_n; \boldsymbol{\phi}); \boldsymbol{\psi} \right) + \log \left| \det J_{T^{-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_n; \boldsymbol{\phi}) \right| \right]$$

• Use gradient descent to get the best parameters:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{ heta}} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{ heta}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{ heta}) \,, \quad \boldsymbol{ heta} \equiv \{ \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\psi} \}$$

- Generative modeling is a **promising new tool** for physics data analyses
- Needs careful performance evaluation and validation for physics use cases

References

- [1] J. Gavranovič and B. P. Kerševan.
 - Systematic evaluation of generative machine learning capability to simulate distributions of observables at the large hadron collider. Eur. Phys. J. C, 84(9):911, 2024.







Conference on Computing in High Energy and Nuclear Physics