# The era of quantum utility with IBM Quantum

Voica Radescu, PhD | IBM Quantum Innovation Centers | EMEA Lead

- Why Quantum?
- Path to useful Quantum Computing
- IBM Quantum Development Roadmap
- Utility scale examples
- What's next?



## Why do we use computers?

The determinant A sub-routine for linear-systems solutions, and used

extensively in vector calculus

## Scientist:





```
la.det(np.array([[1, 23, 16, 4, 21],
      [15, 14, 7, 18, 11],
      [24, 17, 13, 9, 2],
      [20, 8, 19, 12, 6],
     [5, 3, 10, 22, 25]]
```
Answer:-623999.9999999994 Solution time: 2.17 us (2 billion times faster) Why do we use computers?

# Accuracy Speed Scalability Versatility

## We have learned to trust that classical computers can be accurate at scale.

@ 2024 IBM Corporation

Quantum computers are the only novel hardware that changes the game

Quantum computing is not just a faster or better version of classical systems. It is an entirely new branch of computing:

- Applies principles of quantum mechanics
- Qubits can be in more states than 0 and 1

Quantum computing follows the laws of nature to represent data in ways that mimic the randomness and unpredictability of the natural world.

Ultimately, GPUs and classical hardware are not built for this.



### **Classical computer** Well suited for many problems.

### **Quantum computer** Unlock classically intractable problems

Quantum Computing's basic properties:

N qubits  $\rightarrow$  2<sup>N</sup> bits 127 qubits  $\rightarrow$  2<sup>127</sup> bits = 1.7×10<sup>38</sup> bits



### **Superposition**

A quantum system existing in multiple states simultaneously until it is measured



**Entanglement** Information shared jointly between entangled pairs or groups



**Interference** Interaction that affects likelihood of solutions

**Moore's law:** the number of transistors in a classical integrated circuit doubles about every two years … but we are approaching the end due to physical limitations

[Approaching the physical limit:](https://research.ibm.com/blog/2-nm-chip)  [IBM created the world's first 2 nm](https://research.ibm.com/blog/2-nm-chip)  [node chip in 2021, with transistors](https://research.ibm.com/blog/2-nm-chip) [as small as 10 silicon atoms](https://research.ibm.com/blog/2-nm-chip)

Our IBM mission

# Bring useful quantum computing to the world

6

## Since 2016, we've made quantum computers available through the cloud

Running quantum workloads requires infrastructure that coordinates quantum resources with near-time and realtime classical resources.



## The IBM Quantum platform unlocks research with quantum <https://quantum.ibm.com/>



and Qiskit Functions)

## The path to useful quantum computing

Run quantum circuits faster on quantum hardware

Chart a path to develop quantum technology (hardware + software) that runs noisefree estimators of quantum circuits faster than can be done using classical hardware alone.



### Development Roadmap [https://www.ibm.com/quantum/assets/IBM\\_Quantum\\_Development\\_&\\_Innovation\\_Roadmap.pdf](https://www.ibm.com/quantum/assets/IBM_Quantum_Development_&_Innovation_Roadmap.pdf)

**IBM** Quantum



## Innovation Roadmap



### Hardware

### **IBM Quantum**



### Middleware and Software, Execution and orchestration

#### Running quantum workloads  $2016 - 2019$  $2020$ 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029  $2033+$ requires infrastructure that coordinates quantum resources with near-time and real-time classical resources. Since 2016, we have worked to Platform create Qiskit and a variety of application libraries. Specific libraries Code  $\mathcal{L}$ Functions Mapping General purpose collections assistant **OC** libraries In 2024 we redefined Qiskit to Researchers Middleware represent the full-stack software **Duantum** Transpiler Resource Circuit Intelligent Circuit for quantum at IBM, extending Serverless knitting x p orchestration libraries service management the Qiskit SDK with middleware software and services Quantum physicists **Qiskit Runtime** Useful quantum computing requires Qiskit S performant software. <https://www.ibm.com/quantum/qiskit> In 2025, we will introduce quantum functions so users can create and share reusable blocks  $Q^+$  $\chi^2$ 局  $\sim$ Map problem to quantum Optimize for target Execute on target hardware Post-process results circuits & operators hardware 2026 will bring mapping Circuit library Transpiler Runtime primitives Quantum info library collections so users can start Quantum info library AI-enhanced transpiler Execution modes Visualization module automating the process of mapping their specific use cases And more... And more... And more... And more... to quantum circuits. By 2033, we expect to see general-purpose quantum computing libraries that

Qiskit Transpiler Service □ Qiskit SDK Qiskit Runtime Service

users can incorporate into a wide variety

of quantum applications.

@ 2024 IBM Corporation

of Qiskit code.

**IBM Quantum** 

## IBM Quantum Network

The largest quantum ecosystem in the industry

The **IBM Quantum Network** is the core of our global user community and ecosystem — driving innovation and shaping the future of quantum computing.

Universities and research laboratories

Business partners

**Startups** 

Industry leaders



## The path to useful quantum computing

Run quantum circuits faster on quantum hardware

Chart a path to develop quantum technology (hardware + software) that runs noisefree estimators of quantum circuits faster than can be done using classical hardware alone.



## Quantum state of play

Since we put the first quantum computer on the cloud in 2016, quantum computing has largely been in an exploratory phase.

Experiments validate the tenets of quantum computation, but do not push the field beyond the reach of classical compute.

To move beyond simple experiments to demonstrate the utility of quantum computing in multiple domains,

All OPU vendors 120 **External Eagle users** Utility scale 100 Number of qubits Number of qubits 80 60 ORNL Summit (all storage) Nvidia DGX GH200 40 Nvidia DGX H100 20 IBM PC (1981) 00000000  $\Omega$ 2021 2026 2031 2036 2016 2041 Year

Data for all vendors taken from: arXiv:2307.16130

## We need a disruptive change!

Estimated mean number of qubits used on hardware



## We are already seeing a disruptive change in how researchers are doing quantum

With quantum systems composed of 100+ qubits, researchers are beginning to explore algorithms and applications at scales beyond brute-force classical computation using IBM Quantum systems.

> Evidence for the utility of quantum computing before fault tolerance

127 qubits / 2880 CX gates Nature, 618, 500 (2023)



- Comparison of various approaches to simulating the kicked transverse-field Ising model on the 127-qubit Eagle processor with new classical heuristic methods
- Results vary by ~20%, and no one knows which method is the most correct!



*Flip the script*: using quantum computers to verify the accuracy of classical simulations

### Random Stabilizers FIG. 2. Decoded fidelity estimation by randomly sampling GHZ Examples of utility-scale problems \* (>70qubits, >150 CX /200 ECR gates)



Characterizing quantum processors using discrete time crystals arXiv:2301.07625 80 qubits / 7900 CX gates based protocol (dashed gray line) were based on an inferred noise

#### tersgiving risetoa25th-75th percentileconfidenceinterval in shaded agray instants of the materials of the experimental evaluation of the experimental evaluation of the experimental  $\mathbf{u}$  measurement- to unitary-based fidelities increasing for system size  $\mathbf{u}$



Evidence for the utility of quantum computing before fault tolerance Nature, 618, 500 (2023) 127 qubits / 2880 CX gates

#### as a joint action of *both* coherent and incoherent errors that drives the phase transitions across the blue line in Fig. 1c. spin models In particular, this implies that every point in the extended



(a) (b)

Simulating large-size quantum spin chains on cloud-based superconducting quantum computers in  $\mathcal{L}$ Nishimori criticality in the quantum case.  $w = \frac{a_{\text{ref}}}{a_{\text{ref}}}$  and with  $m = a_{\text{ref}}$ thefit by *p<sup>s</sup>* = 5*.*6%, and *p<sup>σ</sup>* = 2*.*3%, which areapproximately con- $\overline{a}$  in absing

Phys. Rev. Research 5, 013183 (2023) 102 qubits / 3186 CX gates 102 qubits / 3186 CX gate sistent with the known errors on the device [35]. The experimental data exhibits an absence of a singularity in these observables, con-sistent with expectations for both local shallow quantum circuit, and FIG. 4. Simplifications of quantum circuits for the Trotterized unitaries corresponding to (a) *<sup>O</sup>*<sup>ˆ</sup> *Vm h* (1), (b) *<sup>O</sup>*<sup>ˆ</sup> *Vm h* (3), and (c) *<sup>O</sup>*<sup>ˆ</sup> *Vm h* (5) for*<sup>L</sup>* = 6, as explained inthe main text. Cancellations between *<sup>R</sup>*<sup>+</sup> (*<sup>±</sup>* on *ibm sherbrooke* where each bond (*hZX Z i*) and plaquette (*hW i* ) for the outermost gates to cancel (using the identity in the upper left of Fig. 4). Also, for *d ≥* 5, the next layer

#### ment. To achieve our accurate results, we have spin models. surements of the system qubits  $\mathcal{S}$  . For the specific case  $\mathcal{S}$



Best practices for quantum error mitigation with digital zero-noise simple–yet substantially improved–quantum vari extrapolation arXiv:2307.05203 where *i* is the exact vacuum wavefunction on a lattice with  $\Delta$  lattice with  $\Delta$ 

### 104 qubits / 3605 ECR gates





Uncovering Local Integrability in Quantum Many-Body Dynamics arXiv:2307.07552

124 qubits / 2641 CX gates



Quantum reservoir computing with repeated Measurements on superconducting devices arXiv:2310.06706 120 qubits / 49470 gates + meas.



Realizing the Nishimori transition across the error threshold for constant-depth quantum circuits

arXiv:2309.02863 125 qubits / 429 gates  $+$  meas.



In this section, ADAPT-VQE is used to prepare approximations to the vacuum of the lattice Schwinger model on

<sup>1</sup> *<sup>−</sup> |h* ansat z*<sup>|</sup>* exact *<sup>i</sup> <sup>|</sup>*<sup>2</sup>

)]. The *<sup>R</sup><sup>±</sup>* (✓) gate is used to implement

Scalable Circuits for Preparing Ground States on Digital Quantum Computers: The Schwinger Model Vacuum on 100 Qubits **PRX Quantum 5, 020315 (2024)** 100 qubits / 788 CX gates the " X" . Further optimizations are possible by noting that distinct order that distinct order Trotter errors,

### High energy physics



127 qubits / 420 CX gates Scaling Whole-Chip QAOA for Higher-Order **Canadian Control** Ising Spin Glass Models on Heavy-Hex Graphs arXiv:2312.00997

#### for preparation of theentangled GHZ state. To efficiently veroptimization non-zero expectation values, as implemented in Ref. [27] and described in detail in Appendix C1. As the *n*-qubit GHZ



Efficient Long-Range Entanglement using 101 qubits / 504 gates + meas tation results in an average classical feedforward time that  $\mathbf{5}$ ∆*<sup>E</sup>* ⇥



**Quantum Simulations of Hadron Dynamics in the Schwinger Model using 112 Qubits** arXiv:2401.08044 112 qubits / 13,858 gates

High energy physics



**Unveiling clean two-dimensional discrete time quasicrystals on a digital quantum computer** arXiv:2403.16718 133 qubits / 15,000 CZ gates



**Benchmarking digital quantum simulations and optimization above hundreds of qubits using quantum critical dynamics** arXiv:2404.08053 133 qubits / 1440 CX gates



**Chemistry Beyond Exact Solutions on a Quantum-Centric Supercomputer** arXiv:2405.05068 77 qubits / 3590 CZ gates chemistry



**Towards a universal QAOA protocol: Evidence of quantum advantage in solving combinatorial optimization problems** arXiv:2405.09169 109 qubits / 21,200 gates



## A landscape of single circuits:





*"This variant of my algorithm was undiscovered for 30 years and came out of the blue. There's still probably lots of other quantum algorithms to be found."* Peter Shor

## Quantum Working Groups

IBM Quantum working groups bring together the best scientists in our field to accelerate our path to achieving Quantum Advantage with near term devices, across domain areas:

## High-Energy **Physics**

Quantum Computing for High-Energy Physics: State of the Art and Challenges. Summary of the QC4HEP Working Group

PRX Quantum 5, 037001

### **Partners**

CERN QTI, DESY CQTA, Oak Ridge National Lab, U. of Washington, U. of Tokyo, and more



## **Optimization**

Quantum Optimization: Potential, Challenges, and the Path Forward

arXiv:2312.02279

### Partners STFC Hartree Centre, E.ON., Fraunhofer, Los Alamos National Lab, University of Amsterdam/QAL, and more



### Quantum-centric Supercomputing for Materials Science: A Perspective on Challenges and Future Directions

Materials & HPC

arXiv:2312.09733

### Partners Oak Ridge National Lab, University of Chicago, Argonne National Lab, RIKEN, BasQ, and more



## Healthcare & Life Sciences

Towards quantum-enabled cell-centric therapeutics

### arXiv:2307.05734

Partners Cleveland Clinic, U. of Chicago, QuantumBasel, Virginia Tech, Algorithmiq, and more



## **Sustainability**

Collaborative projects in the fields of Materials and Energy leveraging quantum computers.

Partners PINQ<sup>2</sup> , Hydro-Quebec, University of Sherbrooke, E.ON., DTU, and more

-



## The QC4HEP community paper

[https://journals.aps.org/prxquantum/abstract/](https://journals.aps.org/prxquantum/abstract/10.1103/PRXQuantum.5.037001) [10.1103/PRXQuantum.5.037001](https://journals.aps.org/prxquantum/abstract/10.1103/PRXQuantum.5.037001)

A study initiated by workshop on high-energy physics (HEP) held in November 2022 at CERN in Geneva to define a Roadmap to outline the current state of quantum computing in HEP that can be pursued with near-term quantum computers

PRX QUANTUM 5, 037001 (2024)

#### Quantum Computing for High-Energy Physics: State of the Art and Challenges

Alberto Di Meglio<sup>®</sup>,<sup>1,\*</sup> Karl Jansen,<sup>2,3,†</sup> Ivano Tavernelli,<sup>4,‡</sup> Constantia Alexandrou<sup>®</sup>,<sup>3,5</sup> Srinivasan Arunachalam, <sup>6</sup> Christian W. Bauer, <sup>7</sup> Kerstin Borras<sup>®</sup>, <sup>8,9</sup> Stefano Carrazza<sup>®</sup>, <sup>1,10</sup> Arianna Crippa  $\Phi^{2,11}$  Vincent Croft  $\Phi^{12}$  Roland de Putter.<sup>6</sup> Andrea Delgado  $\Phi^{13}$  Vedran Duniko  $\Phi^{12}$ ma Cipper ( $\frac{1}{2}$ , Vatela Germandez-Combarro ( $\frac{1}{2}$ , Native d'Elias Fernández-Combarro ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) Elias Fernández-Combarro ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) Elias Fernández-Combarro ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) Elias Fernández-Combarro ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) Had Stefan Kühn $\odot$ ,<sup>2</sup> Denis Lacroix $\odot$ ,<sup>23</sup> Randy Lewis $\odot$ ,<sup>24</sup> Donatella Lucchesi $\odot$ ,<sup>1,25</sup> Miriam Lucio Martinez, 26,27 Federico Meloni<sup>o</sup>, 8 Antonio Mezzacapo, <sup>6</sup> Simone Montangero<sup>o</sup>, 1,25 Lento Nagano<sup>®</sup>,<sup>28</sup> Vincent R. Pascuzzi<sup>®</sup>, <sup>6</sup> Voica Radescu,<sup>29</sup> Enrique Rico Ortega<sup>®</sup>, Alessandro Roggero<sup>®</sup>,<sup>34,35</sup> Julian Schuhmacher<sup>®</sup>,<sup>4</sup> Joao Seixas,<sup>36,37,38</sup> Pietro Silvi®,<sup>1,25</sup> Panagiotis Spentzouris<sup>o</sup>,<sup>39</sup> Francesco Tacchino<sup>o</sup>,<sup>4</sup> Kristan Temme,<sup>6</sup> Koji Terashi<sup>o</sup>,<sup>28</sup> Jordi Tura<sup>o</sup>,<sup>12,40</sup> Cenk Tüysüz<sup>O</sup>,<sup>2,11</sup> Sofia Vallecorsa<sup>O</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Uwe-Jens Wiese,<sup>41</sup> Shinjae Yoo<sup>O</sup>,<sup>42</sup> and Jinglei Zhang<sup>O43,44</sup> European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), 1211 Geneva, Switzerland <sup>2</sup>CQTA, Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Platanenallee 6, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany <sup>3</sup> Computation-based Science and Technology Research Center, The Cyprus Institute, 20 Konstantinou Kavafi Street, CY-2121 Nicosia, Cyprus <sup>4</sup>IBM Research Europe - Zurich, 8803 Rüschlikon, Switzerland <sup>5</sup> Department of Physics, University of Cyprus, PO Box 20537, CY-1678 Nicosia, Cyprus <sup>6</sup> IBM Quantum, IBM T.J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598, USA Physics Division Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Mailstop 50A5104, Berkeley California, USA <sup>8</sup> Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), Notkestraße 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany <sup>9</sup> RWTH Aachen University, Templergraben 55, 52062 Aachen, Germany <sup>10</sup>TIF Lab, Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano and INFN Sezione di Milano, Milan, Italy 1<br>Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Newtonstraße 15, 12489 Berlin, Germany  $\mu^2$ (aQa<sup>L</sup>) Applied Quantum Algorithms - Leiden, Leiden, Netherlands <sup>13</sup> Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA <sup>14</sup> Department of Computer Science, Facultad de Ciencias, University of Oviedo, 33007 Asturias, Spain Institute of Theoretical Physics, Leibniz University Hannover, 30167 Hanover, Germany <sup>16</sup> Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany <sup>17</sup> Transdisciplinary Research Area "Building Blocks of Matter and Fundamental Interactions" (TRA Matter) and Helmholtz Institute for Radiation and Nuclear Physics (HISKP), University of Bonn, Nußallee 14-16, 53115 Bonn, Germany <sup>18</sup> Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria <sup>19</sup> Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria <sup>20</sup>Department of Physics and Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany <sup>21</sup> Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology, Munich, Germany <sup>22</sup> Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland <sup>23</sup> CNRS/IN2P3, LJCLab, Paris-Saclay University, 91405 Orsay, France <sup>24</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto, Ontario M3J 1P3, Canada <sup>25</sup>INFN-Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padua, Italy <sup>26</sup> Nikhef-National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Science Park 105, 1098 XG Amsterdam, Netherlands

\*Contact author: alberto.di.meglio@cern.ch <sup>†</sup>Contact author: karl.jansen@desy.de <sup>‡</sup>Contact author: ita@zurich.ibm.com

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.



QCD is the theory of the strong force that binds the nuclei of atoms together, and it presents enormous challenges when it comes to observing, modelling, and predicting the behaviour of fundamental particles.

The Schwinger model is quantum electrodynamics in  $(1 + 1)$ space-time dimensions. This model shares many properties with QCD, including confinement (in the massive case) and chiral symmetry breaking.

[https://www.ibm.com/quantum/blog/](https://www.ibm.com/quantum/blog/hadron-dynamics-simulations) [hadron-dynamics-simulations](https://www.ibm.com/quantum/blog/hadron-dynamics-simulations)

@ 2024 IBM Corporation

Schwinger model used to demonstrate the first essential step in building future simulations of high-energy collisions of matter: preparing a simulation of the quantum vacuum state in which particle collisions would occur:

**Scalable Circuits for Preparing Ground States on Digital Quantum Computers: The Schwinger Model Vacuum on 100 Qubits** PRX Quantum 5, 020315 (2024) 100 qubits / 788 CX gates

**Quantum Simulations of Hadron Dynamics in the Schwinger Model using 112** 

112 qubits / 13,858 gates

arXiv:2401.08044

**Qubits**



FIG. 1. Pictorial description of the SC-ADAPT-VOE algorithm. Once a pool of scalable operators  $\{\hat{O}_i\}$  has been identified, ADAPT-VOE is performed with use of *classical* computers to determine a quantum circuit (parameterized by  $\{\theta_i\}$ ) that prepares the vacuum up to a desired tolerance. ADAPT-VQE is repeated for multiple lattice sizes,  $\{L_1, L_2, \ldots\}$ , and the circuit parameters are extrapolated to the desired L, which can be arbitrarily large. The extrapolated circuits are executed on a quantum computer to prepare the vacuum on a system of size L.

## A follow-up to that paper shows techniques preparing a beam of particles time evolving in the quantum vacuum:



FIG. 10. The time evolution of the vacuum subtracted chiral condensate  $\mathcal{X}_i(t)$ , defined in Eq. (18), for a  $L = 56$  (112) qubits) spatial-site lattice. The initial state is prepared using the 2-step SC-ADAPT-VQE vacuum and wavepacket preparation circuits. Time evolution is implemented using a second-order Trotterization of the Hamiltonian with the  $\overline{\lambda} = 1$  truncated electric interaction. The left side shows the results of error-free classical simulations from the cuQuantum MPS simulator, while the right side shows the CP-averaged results obtained using IBM's superconducting-qubit digital quantum computer ibm\_torino (both sides show the MPS result for  $t = 0$ ). Due to CP symmetry, the right and left halves would be mirror images of each other in the absence of device errors. A more detailed view for each time slice is given in Fig. 11, and discussions of the error-mitigation techniques are presented in the main text and App. G.



Our formula for bringing useful quantum computing to the world includes:

Maintaining the industry's largest fleet of utility-scale quantum systems on the cloud for our clients and the quantum community to experiment with.

Building and updating a development and innovation roadmap that will help us scale quantum computing, from the hardware to the software necessary for quantum advantage.

Nurturing a community of clients and partners that includes 250+ Fortune 500 companies, academic institutions, national labs and startups—all working to solve real scientific and business problems with quantum computing.

Developing Qiskit, an open source toolkit and world-class user experience that makes quantum computing easy to learn and use by bringing resources together in one place.

Making the world quantum safe with technologies that will secure enterprises in the quantum future.

## Development Roadmap Quantum-centric

 $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  v  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  on scaling  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ execution speed by 100x with Qiskit Runtime circuits to unlock more computations *supercomputing*

01 Modularity for quantum

02 Communication for quantum

03 Middleware for qu modules nuu Quantum 03 Middleware for quantum



**IBM Quantum** 

### Innovation Roadmap

Development Roadmap



Quantum is a component in the future of advanced computing

AI infrastructure

In the future, quantum will integrate with other components, including AI, to enhance the overall capability of our computational tools.

Each tool is best suited for certain types of tasks, and all will work together to solve the hardest problems that face society today.



 $\mathcal{C}^2$  is a 2022 in the corporation 26  $\mathcal{C}^2$  is a 2022 in the corporation 26  $\mathcal{C}^2$  is a 2022 in the corporation 26  $\mathcal{C}^2$ 

### What we have accomplished: Hardware

From 2020 to 2023, we focused on solving single-chip scaling with the IBM Quantum Falcon, Hummingbird, Eagle, Osprey, and Condor chips.

In 2023, we debuted the IBM Quantum Heron chip, which uses tunable couplers to achieve our lowest error rates yet. Heron will serve as the basis for modular scaling of quantum processors.

In 2024, Heron is capable of running 5,000 gates.



### Looking forward: Hardware

### Now, we use error mitigation and interconnects to run larger circuits

so users can look for quantum advantages in their domains. Through classical and quantum modularity, we plan to achieve an IBM Quantum Flamingo system capable of running 15,000 gates with the help of error mitigation by 2028.

2029: We foresee advances in quantum error correction allowing us to debut IBM Quantum Starling, a system capable of running circuits with 100 million gates on 200 logical qubits

In 2033, we will debut IBM Quantum Blue Jay, a system capable of running circuits with a billion gates on 2,000 logical qubits.



Executed by IBM On target

> As we roll out error correction, developers need not change how they write quantum programs. They will simply notice that they can run longer workloads.

### Looking forward: Execution and orchestration

Running quantum workloads requires infrastructure that coordinates quantum resources with near -time and real -time classical resources.

Since 2016, we have worked to create Qiskit and a variety of application libraries which has now evolved into Qiskit SDK (Open Source), Qiskit Runtime Services and Qiskit Transpiler



### Looking forward: Execution and orchestration

#### 2016-2019  $2020$ 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029  $2033+$ In 2021, we released Qiskit Runtime, a service allowing users Quantum Multi-node Serverless Pass Manager to orchestrate their programs Leverage Qiskit Decompose Transpiler Patterns to describe workflow workflow into circuits; **Service Plugin** across IBM Quantum processors Layout execute them on quantum hardware and the cloud. Routing AI Routing Translation In 2023, we introduced Optimization middleware for quantum tools to Scheduling automate and optimize heterogeneous compute tasks. Middleware That included quantum serverless **Quantum** Transpiler Resource Circuit Intelligent Circuit In 2024, our AI-powered transpiler Serverless service management knitting x p orchestration libraries service will optimize circuits with **Qiskit Runtime** fewer gates. Qiskit addons **Qiskit Runtime** A collection of research capabilities developed as modular tools that can plug into a workflow to scale or design new algorithms at the utility scale-starting with multiproduct formulas In 2025, we will introduce The engine for performant execution of primitives powered by runtime (MPF), operator backpropagation (OBP), and sampling-based quantum diagonalization (SOD). compilation, error suppression, and error mitigation. resource management tools to  $\tilde{p}(\hat{x})$ facilitate system partitioning and  $\bigoplus$ Ouasi-probability Circuit  $(\vec{\theta})$ enable parallel execution. distribution Qiskit Circuit Libra Transpiler Primitives Quantum Info  $\bigoplus$  $\langle \tilde{O} \rangle$ Input:<br>Domain inputs Input:<br>ISA circuit, observable Input:<br>Circuits, observable Input: Expectation Circuit  $(\vec{\theta})$ ectation value/sample 2026 will bring us circuit value Output:<br>Circuits, observable Output:<br>ISA circuit, observable Output:<br>Expectation value/sampl Output: Error suppression Runtime compilation **Error mitigation** data objects/visualizations Observable knitting across parallel quantum Ex. Dynamical decoupling. Ex. Efficient parameter Ex. Zero-noise extrapolation Optimize Execute Post-process Map  $\rightarrow$ (ZNE), probabilistic error hardware-aware gate updating, time-scheduling and processors optimization, Pauli twirling gate/operation parallelization, cancellation (PEC), readout controller code generation error mitigation From 2027 we will focus on

Executed by IBM 沙 On target

optimizing workflows to combine classical and quantum efficiently, thus improving performance.

intelligent orchestration:

**IBM Quantum** 

### What we have accomplished: Software

Useful quantum computing requires performant software.

In 2024 we redefined Qiskit to represent the full-stack software for quantum at IBM, extending the Qiskit SDK with middleware software and services to write, optimize, and execute programs on IBM Quantum systems.

In 2025, we will introduce quantum functions so users can create and share reusable blocks of Qiskit code.

2026 will bring mapping collections so users can start automating the process of mapping their specific use cases to quantum circuits.

From 2027 onward, we will work alongside clients to build usecase-specific libraries as quantum advantages emerge for a variety of use cases.









**Qiskit SDK Oiskit Runtime Service** 

**Qiskit Transpiler Service** 

## Quantum state of play



Computational Complexity

## Qiskit addons

A collection of research capabilities developed as modular tools that can plug into a workflow to scale or design new algorithms at the utility scale—starting with multiproduct formulas (MPF), operator backpropagation (OBP), and sampling-based quantum diagonalization (SQD).



## Qiskit Functions are abstracted services, designed to accelerate development

In late September, we're previewing a catalog of managed, utility-scale services to unlock new users:

- Circuit Functions: Enabling quantum computational scientists to discover new algorithms and applications, without needing to manage transpilation, error suppression, or error mitigation
- Application Functions: Enabling data scientists and enterprise developers to integrate quantum into industry workflows, while leveraging familiar domain abstractions





rocessing

domain-specific inputs

# Qiskit Code Assistant Preview

Transforming the Quantum developer experience

## Intelligent Code Completion

- Context-aware suggestions for Qiskit SDK
- Auto-completion for complex quantum gates and algorithms

## Quantum Specific Features

- Helps identify and resolve common quantum programming pitfalls
- Assists in implementing quantum algorithms (e*.g., VQE)*

## Integration and Workflow

- Seamless integration with popular IDEs and Jupyter Lab
- Version-aware: adapts to your Qiskit SDK version



<https://docs.quantum.ibm.com/guides/qiskit-code-assistant>

## Qiskit Serverless

With Qiskit Serverless users can build, deploy, and run workloads remotely using the compute resources of the IBM Quantum platform.

Qiskit Serverless is available as a hosted service for IBM Premium clients or as an open -source tool that you can deploy on your own infrastructure.

[docs.quantum.ibm.com/guides/serverless](https://docs.quantum.ibm.com/guides/serverless) [github.com/Qiskit/qiskit](https://github.com/Qiskit/qiskit-serverless) -serverless

Compute resources Node Node Node Program Entrypoint -Program Task Task Task Task Task Qiskit Runtime Service Controller Controller Controller QPU QPU QPU  $\cdots$ 

## Organization of the QC4HEP WG



Researchers working together on a speficic topic defined in the groups

Teams **include students**



Quantum data learning for quantum simulations in high-energy physics Phys. Rev. Research 5, 043250

Explores quantum machine learning (QML) applications for quantum data, extending the Quantum Data Learning (QDL) framework to high-energy physics. In particular, by applying quantum convolutional neural networks to quantum data sets generated from quantum simulations of LGT and QFT models. Multiparticle State Analysis with QCNN effectively extracted fermion flavors and coupling constants from simulated parton showers, outperforming conventional methods in certain scenarios.



Quantum anomaly detection in the latent space of proton collision events at the LHC Commun Phys 7, 334 (2024)

Study presents a realistic study of QML models for anomaly detection in proton collisions at the LHC. A proposed combination of an autoencoder that compresses raw HEP jet features to a tractable size, with quantum anomaly detection models proved to be a viable strategy for data-driven searches for new physics at the LHC.





Symmetry Breaking in Geometric Quantum Machine Learning in the Presence of Noise PRX Quantum **5**, 030314

Geometric QML incorporates inductive biases to ansatz design. This can lead to: Less parameters / shorter circuits (no BPs). This work enhances understanding of how hardware noise interacts with GQML models and proposes strategies to improve resilience. The findings pave the way for future research aimed at developing robust and scalable GQML applications on quantum hardware.

## Preliminary Resource Estimation for Lattice QED and for neutrino oscilations

### TABLE I.

For  $(2+1)D$  QED, we consider a minimum linear lattice size L of 4 and a maximum of 8; this leads to 8-16 qubits to describe the fermionic degrees of freedom and 10/15 gauge links, which leads -with truncation of the gauge fields  $l = 2, 3 -$  to 20/100 and 30/150 qubits, respectively. The final number of resources is reported in the table. For  $(1+1)D$  QED dynamics, we give a suitable number of lattice points that allows us to study the time evolution of scattering particles. For two flavor neutrinos we consider a direct mapping to qubits, where the cost is based on a first-order PF. For the largest system, with 40 neutrinos, the CNOT gate count would still be 2340 with depth 120 (for more details, see Ref. [148]). VQITE, variational quantum imaginary time evolution; VQTE, variational quantum time evolution.



## Tested QML applications

### TABLE II.

Tested QML applications. The last column shows the size of the problem that could be implemented with 100 qubits, assuming linear circuit depth scaling for the encoding circuit (as in the case of angle or dense angle encoding). QAG, quantum angle generator; QCBM, quantum circuit Born machine: QGNN, quantum-classical hybrid graph neural network.



## Quantum-centric supercomputing

Delivering impactful quantum computing requires the interplay of quantum and classical resources at scales; quantum-centric supercomputing is the path toward industrial scale applications



### IBM offers all the needed components

- 1. Hybrid cloud (i.e., classical compute)
- 2. watsonx (artificial intelligence)
- 3. Quantum
- 4. IT consulting

Integrations are still evolving between quantum and classical compute.

IBM is already partnering with other institutions to help define the future of quantum-centric supercomputing (QCSC).





Median entangling gate error rate

Utility vs. Advantage

Theory Utility Advantage

# Quantum Utility (2023)



Demonstration that a quantum computer can run quantum circuits beyond the ability of a classical computer simulating a quantum computer

Confirmation via research, papers, & theory



IBM's 2023 research paper ("Evidence for the utility of quantum computing before fault tolerance") provided evidence and methods to move the industry into the Utility era

<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-023-06096-3>



Demonstration that a quantum computer can run quantum circuits beyond the ability of all known classical methods

Confirmation via real-world usage



Advantage will come at different times in different domains and depends on the continued advancement of quantum algorithm implementations across industries



# Upskilling your teams in quantum computing

IBM Quantum



IBM Quantum Learning Mission:

Empower people around the world to use and advance quantum

## Documentation

**IBM Quantum Documentation** Start API reference v Overview **Build** Transpile Verify Run

Getting started with Qiskit is easy.

The Documentation can help walk you through the following steps:

Start: Setup and Install Build: Quantum Circuits Transpile: Optimize Circuits Verify: Evaluate your circuits Run: Execute on hardware

## Documentation

Whether you are ready to code your first circuit or execute a large research workload, you can find documentation for using Qiskit and IBM Quantum hardware at the links below.

 $\bigoplus$  This is the new home for all Qiskit and IBM Quantum service documentation. Learn more



m

잔

 $\Omega$ 

## IBM Quantum Learning

Learn the basics of quantum computing and how to solve real -world problems with IBM Quantum services and systems

- Access courses, tutorials, and other learning resources created by leading quantum experts like John Watrous
- Log in to track course progress
- Earn badges for selected courses

Get started today

↓

Home Catalog Network Composer Lab  $\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\n\mathbb{Q} & & \mathbb{Q} & & \mathbb{H}\n\end{array}$ **IBM Quantum Learning IBM Quantum Learning** Learn the basics of quantum computing, and how to use IBM Quantum services and systems to solve real-world problems. Explore the **Fundamentals of quantum algorithms** latest course lse quantum computers to solve problems m efficiently, including problems with real-world elevance such as searching and factoring. 0%  $\overline{4}$ Fo Courses **Basics of quantum information** Variational algorithm design Practical introduction to quantum-safe cryptography Learn about key concepts Badge algorithms, and their New Badge applications View all A detailed course covering mathematical Today's hardware is delicate and error-prone An introduction to quantum-safe aspects of quantum computing, comparable to .<br>This course covers variational algorithms, cryptography, and how quantum computing an advanced undergraduate or introductory. which play to the strengths of these machines poses a risk to existing cryptography. Start course  $\rightarrow$ Start course  $\rightarrow$ Start course  $\rightarrow$ Lessons<br> $\overline{7}$ Quantum Business Foundations New Network Badge For business and some technical audiences this course builds a foundational understanding of quantum computing, Start course  $\rightarrow$ 6 Tutorials Workflow example @ 28 mins Workflow example  $(1 + \text{miss})$ Workflow example  $(1.20 \text{ min})$ Variational quantum eigensolver Grover's algorithm Quantum approximate optimization algorithm Explore utility-grade algorithms and applications with Qiskit Runtime Scheduling Scheduling Scheduling View all Workflow example  $@4 mins$ CHSH inequality  ${\sf Scheduling}$ 

## Composer

Prototype visually with the Quantum Composer

Interactively build circuits with the the Composer drag and drop interface. You can also visualize amplitude and probabilities within the tool.

