#### **Cooling Demonstrator Target Study**

#### P. B. Jurj<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Particle Physics Department STFC (RAL)

<sup>2</sup>Blackett Laboratory Imperial College London

October 19, 2023

.∋...>

Short term

- Study the pion yield from a 14 GeV proton beam (PS) and compare with alternative options (26 GeV PS and 100 GeV SPS)
  - Start from an existing target and capture design
  - Look at pion yield in the momentum range of interest for the demonstrator

Medium-long term

- Optimise the target and capture system
  - Target material, geometry
  - Horn vs Solenoid comparison

Study motivated by the different siting options

- Intersection Storage Rings (ISR) complex
  - In the TT7 extraction line
  - Proton beam from the PS
  - At surface level, radiation concerns motivate a lower proton beam energy, 14 GeV (10 kW beam power)
- TT10
  - Proton beam from the PS (26 GeV)
  - Underground, beam power up to 80 kW
- nuSTORM facility
  - Proton beam from the SPS (100 GeV)

#### Baseline Target and Capture System

From the Fermilab nuSTORM optimization study (A. Liu, A. Bross, D. Neuffer, *Optimization of the magnetic horn for the nuSTORM non-conventional neutrino beam using the genetic algorithm*, NIM A, **794**, p. 200-205, 2015)

- Target: Inconel, cylindrical, L = 46 cm (3 interaction lengths), r = 0.63 cm
- Capture: Horn, optimised to deliver 5 GeV pions (!) from a 120 GeV proton beam impinging on the target



- Simulated 10<sup>6</sup> POT for three proton beam energies (14, 26 and 100 GeV, all with  $\sigma_{x,y} = 2.67$  mm)
- Horn current scaled linearly as  $I(p_{\pi}) = \frac{219}{5}p_{\pi} = 8.76$  kA (very weak focusing, to be addressed)
- Particle position and momentum recorded at:
  - target surface
  - the downstream end of the horn, within the 10 cm outer conductor radius

Table: Number of pions in the 270 - 330  $\rm MeV/c$  range

$E_0$ [GeV]	14	26	100	100 (220 kA)
At target	99367	148286	345974	346132
Yield at target [/POT]	0.10	0.15	0.35	0.35
At horn end	478	877	2809	40057
Within 2 mm rad DA	105	134	385	1375
Yield [10 <sup>-4</sup> /POT]	1.05	1.34	3.85	13.75
Yield $[10^{-6}/POT/GeV]$	7.50	5.15	3.85	13.75

Number of pions produced at target scale with the proton beam energy. The capture efficiency can be improved by scaling up the horn current (see last column) and/or redesigning of the horn/capture system. Pion yield per proton energy largest at 14 GeV.

# At target (Inconel): $\pi^+$ Transverse Momentum Distributions (270-330 MeV/c momentum range)



# At target (Inconel): $\pi^+$ Angle Distributions (270-330 MeV/c momentum range)



# At target (Inconel): $\pi^+$ Longitudinal Position Distributions (z) (270-330 MeV/c momentum range)



Figure: (left) 14 GeV, (middle) 26 GeV, (right) 100 GeV proton beam energy

At lower proton beam energies, more pions are emitted towards the upstream end of the target. Might inform capture system design.

#### $\pi^+$ Yield Graphite target

Choice of material motivated by the extensive knowledge on graphite targets.

- Target: Graphite, cylindrical, L = 80 cm (1.78 interaction lengths), r = 0.63 cm
- Capture: Horn, I = 220 kA

Table: Number of pions in the 270 - 330 MeV/c range

$E_0$ [GeV]	14	26	100
At target	70766	88665	156150
Yield at target [/POT]	0.071	0.089	0.156
At horn end	7905	10518	20715
Within 2 mm rad DA	280	427	753
Yield [10 <sup>-4</sup> /POT]	2.80	4.27	7.53
Yield [10 <sup>-6</sup> /POT/GeV]	20.00	16.42	7.53

Pion yield per proton energy largest at 14 GeV.

P. B. Jurj (RAL/ICL)

# At target (Graphite): $\pi^+$ Transverse Momentum Distributions (270-330 MeV/c momentum range)



# At target (Graphite): $\pi^+$ Angle Distributions (270-330 MeV/c momentum range)



### At target (Graphite): $\pi^+$ Longitudinal Position Distributions (z) (270-330 MeV/c momentum range)



Figure: (left) 14 GeV, (middle) 26 GeV, (right) 100 GeV proton beam energy

At lower proton beam energies, more pions are emitted towards the upstream end of the target. Might inform capture system design.

- Target: material and geometry optimisation study
- Capture system: Design and optimise
  - Challenging due to high angles of the outgoing pions
  - Study horn vs solenoid. Assess performance and feasibility (cost, physical constraints narrow tunnel, short space available for capture and decay)

## Thank you!

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Back-up

2

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Toroidal magnetic field generated between the inner and outer conductors

 $B_z = B_r = 0$ 

$$B_{\phi} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi r}$$

Induces a radial kick to charged particles passing through the field region



#### Parabolic Inner Conductor Profile

$$\Delta \theta = \frac{B_{\phi} z}{p}$$
$$\Delta \theta = \frac{\mu_0 l}{2\pi r} \frac{z}{p}$$
Let  $z = ar^2$ . Then

$$\Delta \theta = \frac{\mu_0 ar}{2\pi} \frac{I}{p}$$

For a particular momentum current choice, the kick is proportional to the radius at which the particle enters the horn



$$\Delta \theta = \frac{B_{\phi} z}{p}$$

Can set the angular kick to equal the average/most likely angle of the incoming particle distribution

$$\Delta \theta = rac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi r} rac{z}{p} = \langle p_T 
angle / p$$

$$z = \frac{2\pi r}{\mu_0 I} \langle p_T \rangle$$

