## On the Nature of Yrast States in Neutron-Rich Polonium Isotopes

Presented by: Razvan Lica, IFIN-HH

Experiment spokespersons:

**R. Lica,** *IFIN-HH (Romania)* **A.N. Andreyev,** *University of York (UK)* 

Theory:

H. Naidja, Universite Constantine (Algeria)
 A. Blazhev, IKP Koln (Germany)
 On behalf of the IS650 and IDS Collaborations









International Symposium on Nuclear Science Sep 9–13, 2024, Sofia, Bulgaria

- Po isotopes (Z = 84)
- $\rightarrow$  text-book example for studying the seniority scheme
- $\rightarrow$  presence of  $\pi(h_{9/2})$  8<sup>+</sup> isomers in the even-even Po



- Po isotopes (Z = 84)
- → text-book example for studying the seniority scheme → presence of  $\pi(h_{9/2})$  8<sup>+</sup> isomers in the even-even Po
- Po isotopes with N>126
- $\rightarrow$  shell-model test using  $^{208}\text{Pb}$  as an inert core  $\rightarrow$  study the filling of the  $vg_{9/2}$  orbital



• Po isotopes (Z = 84)

→ text-book example for studying the seniority scheme → presence of  $\pi(h_{9/2})$  8<sup>+</sup> isomers in the even-even Po

• Po isotopes with N>126

→ shell-model test using <sup>208</sup>Pb as an inert core → study the filling of the  $vg_{9/2}$  orbital

#### <sup>214,216,218</sup>Po

→ Lack of experimental data for the heavier Po isotopes due to difficulties in producing them using stable beams



Po isotopes (Z = 84) •

3d

2g

11

1h

 $\rightarrow$  text-book example for studying the seniority scheme  $\rightarrow$  presence of  $\pi(h_{q/2})$  8<sup>+</sup> isomers in the even-even Po

184

126

82

Po isotopes with N>126

3d 3/2

3d 5/2

2f 5/2 2f 7/2

3p 1/2 3p 3/2

2g 7/2

09/2

1h 9/2

 $\rightarrow$  shell-model test using <sup>208</sup>Pb as an inert core  $\rightarrow$  study the filling of the vg<sub>9/2</sub> orbital

1 i 11/2

1i 13/2

### 214,216,218**P**O

 $\rightarrow$  Lack of experimental data for the heavier Po isotopes due to difficulties in producing them using stable beams

 $\rightarrow$  Recent measurement by Astier et al. [1] of the  $8_1^+$  state half-life  $T_{1/2}^-$ 13(1) ns in <sup>214</sup>Po indicating a similar excitation mechanism as for <sup>210</sup>Pb, one-neutron-pair breaking





 $\rightarrow \alpha + ^{208}$ Pb cluster configurations in <sup>212</sup>Po <sup>219</sup>Po <sup>215</sup>Po <sup>216</sup>Po <sup>217</sup>Po <sup>218</sup>Po <sup>†</sup>Po : 84 n: 130 z: 84 n: 131 z: 84 n: 132 z: 84 n: 133 z: 84 n: 134 z: 84 n: 135 In: 9/2+ ]п: 0+ Јп: (9/2+) Jп: 0+ 84 of Paris when the T<sub>1/2</sub>:0.145 s 0.002 /2:164.3 µs 2.0 T<sub>1/2</sub>:1.781 ms 0.005 T<sub>1/2</sub>:620 s 59 cay a 100% ecay a 100% cay a 99.980% ecay β- 71.8% β- 2.3E-4% β- 5% β- 0.020% a 28.2% <sup>214</sup>Bi <sup>215</sup>Bi 216<sub>Bi</sub> <sup>217</sup>Bi 218<sub>Bi</sub> <sup>213</sup>Bi - Change z: 83 n: 130 z: 83 n: 132 z: 83 n: 133 z: 83 n: 134 z: 83 n: 131 z: 83 n: 135 83 п: 9/2-Јп: (9/2-) ]п: (6-,7-) Јп: (9/2-) T<sub>1/2</sub>:33 s 1 1/2:45.59 m 0.06 T<sub>1/2</sub>:19.9 m 0.4 T<sub>1/2</sub>:7.6 m 0.2 T<sub>1/2</sub>:2.25 m 0.05 T<sub>1/2</sub>:98.5 s 1.3 cay β- 97.80% ecay β- 99.979% ecay β- 100% ecay β- 100% ecay β- 100% ecay β- 100% a 2.20% a 0.021% 130 132 134

### <sup>214</sup>Bi - Previous study of Astier (2011)



<sup>18</sup>O + <sup>208</sup>Pb reaction at 85-MeV + Euroball IV @IReS (Strasbourg)  $T_{1/2}(8^+) = 13(1)$  ns using HPGe detectors  $B(E2; 8^+ \rightarrow 6^+) = 0.54(4)$  W.u.

Time distributions between the emissions of  $\gamma$  rays of <sup>214</sup>Po showing either prompt coincidences [curves in red and green, panel (a)] or delayed ones corresponding to the decay of the 1583-keV state [curves in blue, panels (b), (c), and (d)].

### <sup>214</sup>Bi - Previous study of Astier (2011)

2605

2272

1823

T<sub>1/2</sub> = 13ns 1583

333

1339

1014

609

84



### Understand the nature of yrast states in neutron-rich Po: is it really one neutron-pair breaking for the 8<sup>+</sup>?

$\rightarrow$ T <sub>1/2</sub> (8+) can be re-checked using fast-timing detectors
$\rightarrow$ measure other yrast states
$\rightarrow$ go to heavier masses
ightarrow compare with Shell Model calculations

<sup>18</sup>O + <sup>208</sup>Pb reaction at 85-MeV + Euroball IV @IReS (Strasbourg)  $T_{1/2}(8^+) = 13(1)$  ns using HPGe detectors  $B(E2; 8^+ \rightarrow 6^+) = 0.54(4)$  W.u.

Time distributions between the emissions of y rays of <sup>214</sup>Po showing either prompt coincidences [curves in red and green, panel (a)] or delayed ones corresponding to the decay of the 1583-keV state [curves in blue, panels (b), (c), and (d)].

## Lifetime estimates

• Half-lives for yrast states in <sup>214,216,218</sup>Po estimated using:

B(E2) < 10 W.u. for 2,4,6<sup>+</sup> states

B(E2)  $\sim$  0.5 W.u. for 8<sup>+</sup> states

Nucleus/Yield	$J^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma} (keV)$	$T_{1/2}$	Events/shift
<sup>214</sup> Po	$2^{+}_{1}$	609.0	>9 ps	$4.9 \times 10^{4}$
$10^4$ ions/s	$4_1^{+}$	405.4	>68 ps	$9.5{ imes}10^4$
	$6^{+}_{1}$	324.4	>210 ps	$1.7{ imes}10^5$
	$8^+_1$	244.1	13(1)  ns  [6]	$7.7{ imes}10^5$
<sup>216</sup> Po	$2^{+}_{1}$	549.7	>15 ps	$5.9 \times 10^{3}$
$10^3$ ions/s	$4_1^+$	418.8	>58 ps	$9.7 \times 10^{3}$
	$6_{1}^{+}$	359.5	>120 ps	$1.7{ imes}10^4$
	$8^{+}_{1}$	223.4	$\sim 27 \text{ ns}$	$7.6{ imes}10^4$
<sup>218</sup> Po	$2^{+}_{1}$	509.7	>21 ps	$6.5 \times 10^{2}$
$10^2$ ions/s	$4_1^+$	425.5	>52  ps	$1.1 \times 10^{3}$
	$6_{1}^{+}$	385.7	>86 ps	$1.4 \times 10^{3}$
	$8^+_1$	263.0	$\sim 12 \text{ ns}$	$6.1 \times 10^{3}$



- Within the reach of the fast-timing setup available at IDS (> 10 ps)
- Can be populated via β<sup>-</sup> decay from high-spin states in <sup>214-218</sup>Bi

# **The ISOLDE Decay Station**

Permanent setup at the low-energy branch of ISOLDE

#### • Physics programme

- Nuclear structure physics (80%)
- Nuclear astrophysics (10%)
- Nuclear industry and medicine (5%)
- Solid state physics (5%)



Previously

**MISTRAL** beamline

VETO Polarized beam - β-NMI lical Application

Hall Overview

WITCH

Fundamental Interactions

ON SOUF



#### ≈150 researchers from 19 institutions

- Belgium (KU Leuven)
- Denmark (Aarhus University, Department of Physics and Astronomy)
- Finland (University of Jyväskylä)
- Germany (Institut für Kernphysik Universität zu Köln)
- Italy (Università degli Studi e INFN Milano)
- Poland (Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw)
- Romania (IFIN-HH Bucharest)
- South Africa (iThemba LABS; University of the Western Cape)
- Spain (IEM-CSIC Madrid; IFIC-CSIC Valencia; UCM Madrid)
- Sweden (Lund University)
- Switzerland (CERN ISOLDE)
- UK (STFC Daresbury Laboratory; University of Liverpool; University of York; University
- of Surrey)
- USA (University of Tennessee)

IDS is supported by 19 institutes across the world, and used by many more globally.

# **Core IDS setup**

### Six HPGE clover detectors (+6 Aug. 2024)

- 4 crystals / clover
- 20% relative eff. / crystal
- 2 thin-carbon window detectors for low-E (~10 keV)



### Flexible + dynamic support structure (2023)

- Minimise material around implantation position
- Detectors mounted on vertical gantries, 3 clovers per gantry, gantries mounted on circular rails
- Can move detectors radially + vertically, tilt vertically, rotate on axes

### Digital XIA pixie-16 acquisition system

- <sup>16</sup> channels per module
- 12-16 bit ADC
- <sup>1</sup> 100, 250 and 500 MHz modules
- 208 channels/crate









### Movable tape system

- Reel-to-reel aluminsed mylar tape (~2.5 km)
- Fully automated system
- Integrated with ISOLDE beam logic, RILIS laser system, and our DAQ
- Primary "implantation" position
   For main aims of experiments
- Secondary "decay" position Free "bonus" experiment, long-lived activity

## **Fast-timing studies at IDS**

- Well established technique at IDS since 2014
- Detection system comprising of:
  - 4 Clover HPGe 7% abs. eff. at 500keV
  - 2 LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) 3% abs. eff. at 500keV
  - 1 Plastic Scintillator 20% abs. eff.



![](_page_10_Figure_7.jpeg)

Ranges:	
Centroid shift method:	- 10 ps - 100 ps
Slope method	- 50 ps - 50 ns (or longer)
[H. Mach et al. NIM A 28	30, 49 (1989)]

# Beam production (July 2018)

- Old proven method of producing up to <sup>218</sup>Bi [1,2]: UCx target + RILIS
- Yields **2x** better than previously extracted during IS608 at MR-ToF in 2016.
- Short-lived contaminants such as **Fr** were easily removed using the pulsed release technique and the **High Resolution Separator (HRS)**

N=12( Chart of nuclides for the isotopes north-east of <sup>208</sup>Pb [1] 217AC <sup>218</sup>AC <sup>215</sup>Ac <sup>216</sup>Ac <sup>213</sup>Ac 214Ac <sup>219</sup>Ac <sup>220</sup>Ac <sup>221</sup>Ac 222AC <sup>224</sup>Ac <sup>225</sup>Ac <sup>226</sup>Ac <sup>227</sup>Ac <sup>223</sup>Ac 170 ms 330 us 69 <mark>ns</mark> 1.1 μs <sup>215</sup>Ra <sup>217</sup>Ra <sup>216</sup>Ra <sup>218</sup>Ra <sup>219</sup>Ra <sup>220</sup>Ra <sup>221</sup>Ra <sup>222</sup>Ra <sup>223</sup>Ra <sup>224</sup>Ra <sup>225</sup>Ra <sup>226</sup>Ra <sup>212</sup>Ra 213Ra 214Ra 180 ns 1.6 ms 1.6 μs 26 μs <sup>215</sup>Fr <sup>216</sup>Fr <sup>217</sup>Fr <sup>218</sup>Fr 212**Fr** <sup>221</sup>Er <sup>213</sup>Fr <sup>214</sup>Fr <sup>219</sup>Fr <sup>220</sup>Fr 222Fr 223Fr 224Fr 225Fr <sup>211</sup> Fr 700 ns 22 μs 5 ms 86 ns 1 ms <sup>218</sup>Rn 219Rn 220Rn 221Rn 222Rn 223Rn <sup>215</sup>Rn <sup>217</sup>Rn <sup>16</sup>Rn 214 Rp <sup>211</sup>Rn <sup>212</sup>Rn <sup>213</sup>Rn <sup>210</sup>Rn <sup>224</sup>Rn 2.3 μs 45 μs 0.54 ms 35 ms 215At 216At 217At <sup>218</sup>At 213At 214At <sup>219</sup>At <sup>220</sup>At <sup>221</sup>At <sup>211</sup>At <sup>212</sup>At <sup>222</sup>At <sup>223</sup>At <sup>209</sup>At 210At 300 µs 32 ms 0.1 ms 216Po <sup>215</sup>Po 218P0 208Po 209Po 210Po 211Po 212Po 213Po 214Po <sup>219</sup>Po <sup>220</sup>Po 3.1 m 1.5 s 150 ms 1.7 ms <sup>215</sup>Bi <sup>216</sup>Bi <sup>217</sup>Bi <sup>218</sup>Bi <sup>213</sup>Bi <sup>214</sup>Bi <sup>208</sup>Bi <sup>209</sup>Bi <sup>211</sup>Bi <sup>212</sup>Bi <sup>207</sup>Bi <sup>210</sup>Bi 2.2 m 1.6 m 7.7 m 33 s 19.9 m Z=82 206Pb 207Pb 208Ph 209Ph 210Ph 211Ph 212Ph 213Ph 214Ph 215Ph 208TI 209TI 210TI 211TI 212TI <sup>206</sup>TI <sup>207</sup>TI 205**TI** 

Isotope	Rate (ions/uC)	Runtime (h)
<sup>214</sup> Bi	> 2 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	3.0
<sup>216</sup> Bi	1.5 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	6.5
<sup>218</sup> Bi	2 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	13.0

The pulsed release technique [1]: the different time scales for the  $\alpha$  decay of the contaminants and the  $\beta^-$  decay under investigation allow for a selective suppression.

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

[1] H. De Witte, PhD Thesis, KU Leuven (2004)
[2] U. Koster et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B204, 347-352 (2003).

# Beam production (July 2018)

- Old proven method of producing up to <sup>218</sup>Bi [1,2]: UCx target + RILIS
- Yields **2x** better than previously extracted during IS608 at MR-ToF in 2016.
- Short-lived contaminants such as **Fr** were easily removed using the pulsed release technique and the **High Resolution Separator (HRS)**

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

FIG. 1.  $\beta$ -gated  $\gamma$ -ray spectra recorded by the HPGe (black) and LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) (red) detectors following the  $\beta^-$  decay of <sup>214</sup>Bi (a), <sup>216</sup>Bi (b) and <sup>218</sup>Bi (c). The yrast transitions in <sup>214,216,218</sup>Po are labeled.

Isotope	Rate (ions/uC)	Runtime (h)
<sup>214</sup> Bi	> 2 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	3.0
<sup>216</sup> Bi	1.5 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	6.5
<sup>218</sup> Bi	2 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	13.0

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

# <sup>214</sup>Bi - direct identification and spectroscopy of the (8<sup>-</sup>) beta-decaying isomer

- Half-life measurement:  $T_{1/2}$  (8<sup>-</sup>) = 9.39(10) min
- Extended decay scheme of <sup>214</sup>Po (4 new levels, 7 new transitions)
- Deduced the most likely  $I^{\pi} = (8^{-}, 9^{-})$  in agreement with Shell model calculations predicting  $I^{\pi} = 8^{-}$

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW C 104, 054301 (2021)

#### New $\beta$ -decaying state in <sup>214</sup>Bi

B. Andel<sup>O</sup>,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> P. Van Duppen,<sup>1</sup> A. N. Andreyev,<sup>3,4</sup> A. Blazhev,<sup>5</sup> H. Grawe,<sup>6,7</sup> R. Lică,<sup>7</sup> H. Naïdja,<sup>8</sup> M. Stryjczyk,<sup>1,9</sup>
A. Algora,<sup>10,11</sup> S. Antalic,<sup>2</sup> A. Barzakh,<sup>12</sup> J. Benito,<sup>13</sup> G. Benzoni,<sup>14</sup> T. Berry,<sup>15</sup> M. J. G. Borge,<sup>16</sup> K. Chrysalidis,<sup>17</sup> C. Clisu,<sup>7</sup> C. Costache,<sup>7</sup> J. G. Cubiss,<sup>3</sup> H. De Witte,<sup>1</sup> D. V. Fedorov,<sup>12</sup> V. N. Fedosseev,<sup>17</sup> L. M. Fraile,<sup>13</sup> H. O. U. Fynbo,<sup>18</sup>
P. T. Greenlees,<sup>9</sup> L. J. Harkness-Brennan,<sup>19</sup> M. Huyse,<sup>1</sup> A. Illana,<sup>20</sup> J. Jolie,<sup>5</sup> D. S. Judson,<sup>19</sup> J. Konki,<sup>9</sup> I. Lazarus,<sup>21</sup>
M. Madurga,<sup>17</sup> N. Marginean,<sup>7</sup> R. Marginean,<sup>7</sup> C. Mihai,<sup>7</sup> B. A. Marsh,<sup>17</sup> P. Molkanov,<sup>12</sup> P. Mosat,<sup>2</sup> J. R. Murias,<sup>13,22</sup>
E. Nacher,<sup>10</sup> A. Negret,<sup>7</sup> R. D. Page,<sup>19</sup> S. Pascu,<sup>7</sup> A. Perea,<sup>16</sup> V. Pucknell,<sup>21</sup> P. Rahkila,<sup>9</sup> E. Rapisarda,<sup>17</sup> K. Rezynkina,<sup>1,23</sup>
V. Sánchez-Tembleque,<sup>13</sup> K. Schomacker,<sup>5</sup> M. D. Seliverstov,<sup>12</sup> C. Sotty,<sup>7</sup> L. Stan,<sup>7</sup> C. Sürder,<sup>24</sup> O. Tengblad,<sup>16</sup> V. Vedia,<sup>13</sup>

![](_page_13_Figure_8.jpeg)

# <sup>216</sup>Bi - Extended level scheme of <sup>216</sup>Po following the decay of both ground state and isomer

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW C 109, 064321 (2024)

#### $\beta$ decay of the ground state and of a low-lying isomer in <sup>216</sup>Bi

B. Andel<sup>•</sup>,<sup>1,\*</sup> A. N. Andreyev<sup>•</sup>,<sup>2,3</sup> A. Blazhev<sup>•</sup>,<sup>4</sup> R. Lică<sup>•</sup>,<sup>5</sup> H. Naïdja,<sup>6</sup> M. Stryjczyk<sup>•</sup>,<sup>7,8</sup> P. Van Duppen,<sup>7</sup> A. Algora,<sup>9,10</sup> S. Antalic<sup>•</sup>,<sup>1</sup> A. Barzakh,<sup>11</sup> J. Benito,<sup>12</sup> G. Benzoni<sup>•</sup>,<sup>13</sup> T. Berry,<sup>14</sup> M. J. G. Borge<sup>•</sup>,<sup>15</sup> K. Chrysalidis,<sup>16</sup> C. Clisu,<sup>5</sup> C. Costache,<sup>5</sup> J. G. Cubiss,<sup>2</sup> H. De Witte,<sup>7</sup> D. V. Fedorov<sup>•</sup>,<sup>11</sup> V. N. Fedosseev,<sup>16</sup> L. M. Fraile<sup>•</sup>,<sup>12</sup> H. O. U. Fynbo,<sup>17</sup> P. T. Greenlees,<sup>8</sup> L. J. Harkness-Brennan,<sup>18</sup> M. Huyse,<sup>7</sup> A. Illana<sup>•</sup>,<sup>19</sup> J. Jolie,<sup>4</sup> D. S. Judson,<sup>18</sup> J. Konki,<sup>8</sup> I. Lazarus,<sup>20</sup> M. Madurga,<sup>16</sup> N. Marginean,<sup>5</sup> R. Marginean<sup>•</sup>,<sup>5</sup> B. A. Marsh,<sup>16,†</sup> C. Mihai,<sup>5</sup> P. L. Molkanov<sup>•</sup>,<sup>11</sup> P. Mosat,<sup>1</sup> J. R. Murias,<sup>12,21</sup> E. Nacher,<sup>9</sup> A. Negret,<sup>5</sup> R. D. Page<sup>•</sup>,<sup>18</sup> S. Pascu,<sup>5</sup> A. Perea,<sup>15</sup> V. Pucknell,<sup>20</sup> P. Rahkila,<sup>8</sup> E. Rapisarda,<sup>16</sup> K. Rezynkina,<sup>7,22</sup> V. Sánchez-Tembleque,<sup>12</sup> K. Schomacker,<sup>4</sup> M. D. Seliverstov,<sup>11</sup> C. Sotty,<sup>5</sup> L. Stan,<sup>5</sup> C. Sürder,<sup>23</sup> O. Tengblad,<sup>15</sup> V. Vedia,<sup>12</sup> S. Viñals,<sup>15</sup> R. Wadsworth,<sup>2</sup> and N. Warr<sup>•</sup>

• 48 new levels, 83 new transitions in <sup>216</sup>Po

- Ground state and isomer I<sup>π</sup> proposed based on I<sub>β</sub> and SM calculations (H208 and KHPE)
- The ground state and isomer order is not firmly established

### Fast-timing measurement of the 8<sup>+</sup> state in <sup>214</sup>Po

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Fast-timing measurement of the 8<sup>+</sup> state in <sup>214</sup>Po

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Fast-timing measurement of the 2,4,6<sup>+</sup> states in <sup>214</sup>Po

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

### <sup>214,216,218</sup>Po – Summary of fast-timing results

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

Time difference (ns)

### <sup>214,216,218</sup>Po – Summary of fast-timing results

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

## B(E2) values and comparison with Shell Model calculations

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Experimental data was compared to shell-model calculations using H208 [1] and KHPE [2] effective interactions.

## B(E2) values and comparison with Shell Model calculations

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Experimental data was compared to shell-model calculations using H208 [1] and KHPE [2] effective interactions.
- Good agreement for low-lying transitions but deviations in the case of 8<sup>+</sup> → 6<sup>+</sup> and 6<sup>+</sup> → 4<sup>+</sup> transitions.

## B(E2) values and comparison with Shell Model calculations

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Experimental data was compared to shell-model calculations using H208 [1] and KHPE [2] effective interactions.
- Good agreement for low-lying transitions but deviations in the case of 8<sup>+</sup> → 6<sup>+</sup> and 6<sup>+</sup> → 4<sup>+</sup> transitions.
- A proton pairing reduction of 100 keV in the interactions addresses the large discrepancies
- The increasing trend was explained through the increase of the collectivity and quadrupole correlations with respect to the neutron number

Reducing the proton pairing strength:

- Inverts of the lowest predicted 8<sup>+</sup> states in <sup>214</sup>Po
- confirms the two-proton configuration π(1h<sub>9/2</sub>1f<sub>7/2</sub>) of the yrast 8<sup>+</sup> states dominated by quadrupole correlations.

Nucleus	$I^{\pi}$	H208	H208-m	48
<b>Nucleus</b>	0+	$76\% \pi 1 h^2 + 14\% \pi 1 i^2$	$77\% \pi 1b^2 + 15\% \pi 1i^2$	3d
	$ _{0^+}^{0_1}$	$\frac{1000}{100} \frac{110}{100} \frac{110}{100} + \frac{1400}{100} \frac{110}{100} \frac{110}{100} \frac{1100}{100}$	$11/0$ $\pi 1/0/2 + 15/0$ $\pi 1/1/3/2$	2g
	$ ^{2_1}$	$9570 \pi 1 h_{9/2}$	$95\% \pi 1 h_{9/2}$	1i—(
210 5	41	$98\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^5$	$98\% \pi 1h_{9/2}$	
<sup>210</sup> Po	$6^+_1$	$99\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^2$	$99\% \pi 1 h_{9/2}^2$	2
	$8^+_1$	$99\% \pi 1 h_{9/2}^2$	$99\% \pi 1 h_{9/2}^2$	3p
	$0^+_1$	$46\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1 g_{9/2}^2$	$46\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^2 \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^2$	2f
	$ 2_1^+ $	$53\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^2 \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^2$	$53\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^2 \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^2$	
	$4_{1}^{+}$	$57\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^{2'}$	$56\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^{2'}$	1h
<sup>212</sup> Po	$6^+_1$	$58\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^{2'}$	$56\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^{2'}$	
	$ 8_1^+ $	$54\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^2 + \ 11\% \ \pi 1f_{7/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^2$	$47\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^2 + 15\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2} 1f_{7/2} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^2$	
	$0^{+}_{1}$	$22\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4 + 12\% \pi 1f_{7/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4$	$21\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4 + 12\% \pi 1f_{7/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4$	
	$2^{+}_{1}$	$20\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4 + 11\% \ \pi 1f_{7/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{4'}$	$19\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4 + 10\% \pi 1f_{7/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4$	
	$4_{1}^{+}$	$20\% \ \pi 1 h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1 g_{9/2}^{4'} + \ 12\% \ \pi 1 f_{7/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1 g_{9/2}^{4'}$	$18\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{4'} + 11\% \pi 1f_{7/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{4'}$	<sup>60</sup> _ н
<sup>214</sup> Po	$6^{+}_{1}$	$23\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{4'} + 14\% \pi 1f_{7/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{4'}$	$18\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{4'} + \ 13\% \ \pi 1f_{7/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{4'}$	<sub>%</sub> 40 _
	$ 8_1^+ $	$34\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{4'} + 16\% \pi 1f_{7/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{4'}$	$28\% \pi 1h_{9/2} 1f_{7/2} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4 + 11\% \pi 1h_{9/2} 1f_{7/2} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^2 1i_{11/2}^2$	20 -
	$ 8_{2}^{+} $	$26\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2} 1f_{7/2} \otimes  u 1g_{9/2}^4 + \ 10\% \ \pi 1f_{7/2}^{2'} \otimes  u 1g_{9/2}^{2'} 1i_{11/2}^2$	$\frac{33\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4 + 16\% \pi 1f_{7/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4}{33\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4}$	0 T(0,0) T(2,0) T
	$0^{+}_{1}$	$13\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4 1i_{11/2}^2$	$12\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4 1i_{11/2}^2$	
	$2^{+}_{1}$	$13\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^{4'} 1 i_{11/2}^{2'}$	$12\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^{4'} 1 i_{11/2}^{2'}$	60
	$ 4_1^+ $	$12\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^2 \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^{4'} 1 i_{11/2}^{2'}$	$11\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^{4'} 1 i_{11/2}^{2'}$	» 40 -
<sup>216</sup> Po	$6^{+}_{1}$	$10\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^{4'} 1 i_{11/2}^{2'}$	$10\% \ \pi 1 h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^{4'} 1 i_{11/2}^{2'}$	20
	$8^{+}_{1}$	$12\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2} 1 f_{7/2} \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^4 1 i_{11/2}^2$	$16\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2} 1f_{7/2} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^4 1i_{11/2}^2$	
	$0^{+}_{1}$	$13\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^6 1i_{11/2}^2 + 11\% \pi 1f_{7/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^6 1i_{11/2}^2$	$12\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^6 1i_{11/2}^2 + 11\% \pi 1f_{7/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^6 1i_{11/2}^2$	1(1,0)1(0,1)1
	$2^{+}_{1}$	$13\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{6'} 1i_{11/2}^{2'} + 11\% \pi 1f_{7/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{6'} 1i_{11/2}^{2'}$	$12\% \pi 1h_{9/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^6 1i_{11/2}^2 + 11\% \pi 1f_{7/2}^2 \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^6 1i_{11/2}^2$	60
	$ 4_1^+ $	$12\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{6'} 1i_{11/2}^{2'} + \ 12\% \ \pi 1f_{7/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{6'} 1i_{11/2}^{2'}$	$\left  11\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{6'} 1i_{11/2}^{2'} + 12\% \ \pi 1f_{7/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{6'} 1i_{11/2}^{2'} \right $	
<sup>218</sup> Po	$6^+_1$	$12\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{6'} 1i_{11/2}^{2'} + \ 11\% \ \pi 1f_{7/2}^{2'} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^{6'} 1i_{11/2}^{2'}$	$10\% \pi 1 f_{7/2}^2 \otimes  u 1 g_{9/2}^6 1 i_{11/2}^2$	20 -
	$ 8_1^+ $	$12\% \ \pi 1h_{9/2} 1f_{7/2} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}^6 1i_{11/2}^2$	$19\% \; \pi 1 h_{9/2} \hat{1} f_{7/2} \otimes \nu \hat{1} g_{9/2}^6 \hat{1} \hat{i}_{11/2}^2$	

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

TABLE II. The principal wave function components ( $\geq 10\%$ ) for yrast states in <sup>210,212,214,216,218</sup>Po isotopes, calculated using the two versions of the effective interaction: H208 (initial) and H208-m (reduced pairing).

FIG. 5. The wave-function components of  $^{214}$ Po states calculated in seniority scheme where T(x, y) represents the number of neutron (x) or proton (y) pairs being broken. The initial and pairing-modified versions of the H208 effective interaction are employed within the NATHAN code.

## Thank you for your attention!

On the nature of yrast states in neutron-rich polonium isotopes

R. Lică,<sup>1,\*</sup> A.N. Andreyev,<sup>2</sup> H. Naïdja,<sup>3</sup> A. Blazhev,<sup>4</sup> P. Van Duppen,<sup>5</sup> B. Andel,<sup>6</sup> A. Algora,<sup>7,8</sup> S. Antalic,<sup>6</sup> J. Benito,<sup>9</sup> G. Benzoni,<sup>10</sup> T. Berry,<sup>11</sup> M. J. G. Borge,<sup>12</sup> C. Costache,<sup>1</sup> J. G. Cubiss,<sup>2</sup> H. De Witte,<sup>5</sup> L. M. Fraile,<sup>9</sup> H. O. U. Fynbo,<sup>13</sup> P. T. Greenlees,<sup>14</sup> L. J. Harkness-Brennan,<sup>15</sup> M. Huyse,<sup>5</sup> A. Illana,<sup>16</sup> J. Jolie,<sup>4</sup> D. S. Judson,<sup>15</sup> J. Konki,<sup>14</sup> I. Lazarus,<sup>17</sup> M. Madurga,<sup>18</sup> N. Marginean,<sup>1</sup> R. Marginean,<sup>1</sup> C. Mihai,<sup>1</sup> R. E. Mihai,<sup>1</sup> P. Mosat,<sup>6</sup> J. R. Murias,<sup>9,19</sup> E. Nacher,<sup>7</sup> A. Negret,<sup>1</sup> R. D. Page,<sup>15</sup> A. Perea,<sup>12</sup> V. Pucknell,<sup>17</sup> P. Rahkila,<sup>14</sup> K. Rezynkina,<sup>5,20</sup> V. Sánchez-Tembleque,<sup>9</sup> K. Schomacker,<sup>4</sup> M. Stryjczyk,<sup>5,14</sup> C. Sürder,<sup>21</sup> O. Tengblad,<sup>12</sup> V. Vedia,<sup>9</sup> and N. Warr<sup>4</sup>

(IDS Collaboration)

<sup>1</sup>Horia Hulubei National Institute for R&D in Physics and Nuclear Engineering, RO-077125 Bucharest, Romania <sup>2</sup>School of Physics. Engineering and Technology. University of York, York, YO10 5DD, United Kingdom <sup>3</sup>Université Constantine 1, Laboratoire de Physique Mathématique et Physique Subatomique, Constantine 25000, Algeria <sup>4</sup>Institut für Kernphysik. Universität zu Köln. D-50937 Köln. Germany <sup>5</sup>KU Leuven, Instituut voor Kern- en Stralingsfysica, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium <sup>6</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics and Biophysics, Comenius University in Bratislava, 84248 Bratislava, Slovakia <sup>7</sup>Instituto de Física Corpuscular, CSIC - Universidad de Valencia, E-46980, Valencia, Spain <sup>8</sup>Institute of Nuclear Research (ATOMKI), P.O.Box 51, H-4001 Debrecen, Hungary <sup>9</sup>Grupo de Fisica Nuclear, EMFTEL & IPARCOS, Universidad Complutense de Madrid. 28040 Madrid. SPAIN <sup>10</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy <sup>11</sup>Department of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, United Kingdom <sup>12</sup>Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, Serrano 113 bis, E-28006 Madrid, Spain <sup>13</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Aarhus University, DK-8000 Aarhus C. Denmark <sup>14</sup>University of Jyväskylä, Department of Physics, Accelerator laboratory, P.O. Box 35(YFL) FI-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland <sup>15</sup>Department of Physics. Oliver Lodge Laboratory. University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, United Kingdom <sup>16</sup>Instituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, I-35020 Legnaro, Italy <sup>17</sup>STFC Daresbury, Daresbury, Warrington WA4 4AD, United Kingdom <sup>18</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, US <sup>19</sup>Institut Laue-Langevin, CS 20156, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France <sup>20</sup>Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR7178, F-67000, Strasbourg, France <sup>21</sup>Institut für Kernphusik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, D-64289 Darmstadt, Germany

Submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett. (10 Jul 2024)

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.03839

### Happy birthday!

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Happy birthday!

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

Balabanski Crag (<u>Bulgarian</u>: Балабански камък, 'Balabanski Kamak' \ba-la-'ban-ski 'ka-m&k\) is the rocky peak rising to 833 m<sup>[1]</sup> in eastern <u>Bigla Ridge</u> on <u>Heros</u> <u>Peninsula</u>, <u>Foyn Coast</u> on the <u>Antarctic Peninsula</u>. It surmounts <u>Cabinet Inlet</u> to the northeast. The feature is named after Dimitar Balabanski, physicist at <u>St. Kliment Ohridski Base</u> in 1994/95 and subsequent seasons.

## Extra slides

			$B(E2; J^{\pi} \to (J-2)^{\pi})$ (W.u.)				
Nucl.	$J^{\pi}$	$T_{1/2}$ (ps)	Exp.	H208	H208	KHPE	KHPE
		Exp.			-m		-m
	$2^{+}_{1}$	13(5)	7(3)	13.6	14.3	12.3	13.2
	$4_1^+$	35(5)	18(3)	16.9	18.5	13.3	16.5
$^{214}$ Po	$6^+_1$	118(5)	16(1)	11.4	14.7	5.9	12.6
	$8^+_1$	607(14)	11.3(3)	1.2	9.2	0.1	6.6
		$13(1)\mathrm{ns}$	<b>0.54(4)</b> [14]				
	$2^+_1$	11(5)	13(6)	18.1	18.9	17.7	18.7
	$ 4_1^+ $	21(5)	26(6)	25.2	27.1	22.1	25.2
<sup>216</sup> Po	$6^+_1$	31(5)	37(6)	18.8	25.0	9.8	23.2
	$8^+_1$	409(16)	24(1)	16.2	17.8	3.2	15.5
	$2^+_1$	<15	>13	19.2	20.1	14.8	15.3
	$4_1^+$	$<\!\!15$	>33	29.9	31.5	21.5	22.9
<sup>218</sup> Po	$6^+_1$	20(8)	40(16)	28.3	35.0	2.8	3.2
	$ 8_1^+ $	628(25)	7.8(3)	8.5	16.2	1.0	0.003

TABLE I. Experimental  $T_{1/2}$  and B(E2) values in <sup>214–218</sup>Po measured in the present work and Ref. [14] (bold), compared to calculated B(E2)s using various effective interactions: H208, KHPE, and their pairing-modified versions.

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

FIG. 3. The calculated  $0^+ - 8^+$  yrast energy levels of eveneven  $^{210-218}$ Po isotopes using H208, H208-m, KHPE and KHPE-m interactions, compared to the available experimental data [14, 35–39].

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

## 8<sub>1</sub> + level in <sup>214</sup>Po: feeding from above

Previously:  $T_{1/2}(8_1^+) = 622(7)$  ps Now:  $T_{1/2}(8_1^+; 1584 \text{ keV}) = 607(14)$  ps  $T_{1/2}(8^+; 1824 \text{ keV}) = 73(7)$  ps

LaBr

\_\_\_\_\_

240 keV

HPGe

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

Before

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

La<sub>1</sub>-Beta TAC (10ps/ch)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

La1

1200

La2

1200

1400

1400

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)