Can new physics be fitted away in the PDFs?

A study of the interplay of Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs) and BSM signals in global fits

Work with Maria Ubiali and her group:

[2307.10370, JHEP]

[2402.03308]

[Forthcoming]

Elie Hammou, University of Cambridge HEFT 2024, Bologna





European Research Council

Established by the European Commission



PBSP

1



PDFs in a nutshell:

- describe proton's partonic content \bullet
- f(x, Q)
- *x* dependance: non-perturbative QCD \bullet

Fitted from data

Using NNPDF methodology



[Ball et al., NNPDF4.0, 2109.02653]

Incompatibility between top and jet data **Comparison of PDFs trained on different datasets**



Risk of absorbing new physics in PDFs? Methodology for risk assessment

Perform a "Contamination test":

- Choose a BSM model and a "true PDF" set
- 2. Produce BSM pseudodata
- 3. Fit PDFs on pseudodata assuming SM
- Compare results with baseline PDFs (no BSM physics)

Contamination criteria:

- Incompatible with baseline
- Fit quality does not deteriorate

$$\Rightarrow \chi^2 = (Dat - Th)^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \Sigma_{cov}^{-1} \cdot (Dat - Th)$$

PDF contamination:

PDFs have absorbed new physics signals



New physics scenario: W'Generation of the pseudodata





Impact of contamination on the PDFs Comparison between contaminated and Baseline PDFs







Missing new physics Impact of the PDF contamination on SMEFT fits





Apparition of fake deviations Impact of contamination on predictions for other sectors

Theory predictions (red band):

Contaminated PDFs + SM

Data (blue dots):

• True PDFs + SM

Fake deviation from SM

Also seen in WH, WZ, ZH production

HL-LHC Projections



Simultaneous fit of PDF and new physics Presentation of the tool: SIMUnet



[Iranipour et Ubiali, 2201.07240]





Summary

- Incompatibilities between different sectors in PDF fits
 - PDF contamination?
- Signs of W' got fitted away in PDFs
 - Missed new physics!
 - Fake deviations in other sectors
- A solution to prevent contamination:
 - Fitting simultaneously PDF and new physics: SIMUnet tool available

You can contact me at: eh651@cam.ac.uk

Thank you for your attention!



Extra slides

List of deviations

	HL-LHC		Stat. improved	
Dataset	$\chi^2/n_{ m dat}$	$ n_{\sigma}$	$\mid \chi^2/n_{ m dat}$	$ n_{\sigma}$
W^+H	1.17	0.41	1.77	1.97
W^-H	1.08	0.19	1.08	0.19
W^+Z	1.08	0.19	1.49	1.20
W^-Z	0.99	-0.03	1.02	0.05
ZH	1.19	0.44	1.67	1.58
W^+W^-	2.19	3.04	2.69	4.31
$VBF \rightarrow H$	0.70	-0.74	0.62	-0.90

Global SMEFT fit, 4 fermions operators



SMEFT fits with different PDFs



Synergy of high and low-energy data Adding low-energy dataset constraining the large-x region

Excessive antiquark PDF flexibility in large-x region:

Accommodates real data and BSM pseudodata

Allows contamination

Including low-energy large-x data:

- Constraint large-x region
- Safe from BSM contamination



Impact of FPF data on PDF contamination Projection data from neutrino DIS at the LHC

HL-LHC HM DY 14 TeV - charged current - electron channel





New physics scenarios: Z'Generation of the pseudodata $rac{1}{5}$

$$\mathscr{L}_{SMEFT}^{Z'} = \mathscr{L}_{SM} - \frac{g_{Z'}^2}{2M_{Z'}^2} J^{\mu}_{Y} J_{Y,\mu}$$

$$J_Y^{\mu} = \sum_{f} Y_f \bar{f} \gamma^{\mu} f$$



Impacts neutral current Drell-Yan processes

$$p\bar{p} \rightarrow l^+ l^-$$



Constraints from current data

• New physics scenarios compared to constraints at 95% CL

1

-1

 \hat{Y} (×10⁴)

3



 $Z^{'}$





