ELECTROMAGNETIC AND WEAK PROBES: THEORY

LIPEI DU UC BERKELEY / LBNL / MCGILL U

THE 12TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON HARD AND ELECTROMAGNETIC PROBES OF HIGH-ENERGY NUCLEAR COLLISIONS

NAGASAKI, JAPAN

SEPTEMBER 26, 2024

INTRODUCTION

Weak probes covered in *Nuclear PDFs* by Petja Paakkinen

Compton scattering equark-antiquark annihilation

quark-antiquark annihilation

Four momentum $K^{\mu} = (\omega, k)$. real photon: massless, $\omega = k$; dilepton: invariant mass $M = \sqrt{\omega^2 - k^2}$

system size.

Compton scattering quark-antiquark annihilation

 $\overline{K} = \overline{K}$. Four momentum $\overline{K}^{\mu} = (\omega, \bm{k}).$ real photon: massless, $\omega = k$; dilepton: invariant mass $M = \sqrt{\omega^2 - k^2}$

 $\,\blacktriangleright\,$ The electromagnetic (EM) interaction is much weaker than the strong interaction; $L_{\rm mfp}^{\rm em} \gg$

- $\,\blacktriangleright\,$ The electromagnetic (EM) interaction is much weaker than the strong interaction; $L_{\rm mfp}^{\rm em} \gg$ system size.
- production points.

▸ EM probes penetrate the QCD environment undisturbed, carrying information at their

 \overline{K} . Four momentum $K^\mu = (\omega, k)$. real photon: massless, $\omega = k$; dilepton: invariant mass $M = \sqrt{\omega^2 - k^2}$

- $\,\blacktriangleright\,$ The electromagnetic (EM) interaction is much weaker than the strong interaction; $L_{\rm mfp}^{\rm em} \gg$ system size.
- production points.
- ▸ Dilepton *M*-spectra are unaffected by the dynamics and thus not blue-shifted.

▸ EM probes penetrate the QCD environment undisturbed, carrying information at their

 \overline{K} . Four momentum $\overline{K^{\mu}} = (\omega, \overline{k})$. real photon: massless, $\omega = k$; dilepton: invariant mass $M = \sqrt{\omega^2 - k^2}$

hydro stage

4

4

initial stage

4

▸ pre-equilibrium photons

initial stage

hydro stage

4

- ▸ thermal emission from QGP
- ▸ jet-medium interaction

▸ pre-equilibrium photons

initial stage

pre-eq. stage

hydro stage

4

- ▸ thermal emission from QGP
- ▸ jet-medium interaction
- ▸ thermal emission from hadronic matter
- ▸ hadronic decays

▸ pre-equilibrium photons

initial stage

hydro stage

pre-eq. stage

- ▸ thermal emission from QGP
- ▸ jet-medium interaction
- ▸ thermal emission from hadronic matter
- hadronic decays

▸ pre-equilibrium photons

hadronic stage

Electromagnetic radiation

MADAI collaboration, Hannah Petersen and Jonah Bernhard

initial stage pre-eq. stage

hydro stage

- ▸ thermal emission from QGP
- ▸ jet-medium interaction
- ▸ thermal emission from hadronic matter
- hadronic decays

4

▸ pre-equilibrium photons

hadronic stage

Electromagnetic radiation

MADAI collaboration, Hannah Petersen and Jonah Bernhard

initial stage pre-eq. stage

hydro stage

- ▸ thermal emission from hadronic matter
- hadronic decays

4

- ▸ thermal emission from QGP
- ▸ jet-medium interaction

▸ pre-equilibrium photons

Spectra measurements in experiments

▸ EM are produced throughout the evolution, so isolating productions from different stages is

- challenging.
- \blacktriangleright However, selecting p_T or M windows can be helpful. On average, the larger p_T or M the EM probes have, the earlier they are produced.

Spectra measurements in experiments

▸ EM are produced throughout the evolution, so isolating productions from different stages is

- challenging.
- \blacktriangleright However, selecting p_T or M windows can be helpful. On average, the larger p_T or M the EM probes have, the earlier they are produced.

Spectra measurements in experiments

▸ EM are produced throughout the evolution, so isolating productions from different stages is

- challenging.
- \blacktriangleright However, selecting p_T or M windows can be helpful. On average, the larger p_T or M the EM probes have, the earlier they are produced.

▸ Fully differential (dilepton) production rate of a static thermal source

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}}{\mathrm{d}\omega\,\mathrm{d}^3k} = \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\ell\bar{\ell}}}{\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}^3x\,\mathrm{d}\omega\,\mathrm{d}^3k} = \frac{2\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^2 f_B(\omega)}{9\pi^3 M^2} B\left(\frac{m_l^2}{M^2}\right) \rho_{\mathrm{em}}(\omega,\mathbf{k};T,\mu_B)
$$

▸ Fully differential (dilepton) production rate of a static thermal source dΓ*ℓℓ*¯ d*ω*d3*k* = $\mathrm{d} N_{\ell\bar{\ell}}$ d*t* d3*x* d*ω*d3*k* = $2\alpha_{\rm em}^2 f_B(\omega)$ $\frac{1}{9\pi^3 M^2} B$ m_l^2 $\frac{\hbar}{M^2}$ *p*_{em} $(\omega, k; T, \mu_B)$ Bose distribution
all the QCD information

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}}{\mathrm{d}^4K'}=\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}}{\mathrm{d}\omega\,\mathrm{d}^3k}\bigg|_{K^{\mu}=\Lambda^{\mu\nu}K^{\prime}_{\nu}}
$$

▸ Fully differential (dilepton) production rate of a static thermal source dΓ*ℓℓ*¯ d*ω*d3*k* = $\mathrm{d} N_{\ell\bar{\ell}}$ d*t* d3*x* d*ω*d3*k* = $2\alpha_{\rm em}^2 f_B(\omega)$ $\frac{1}{9\pi^3 M^2} B$ m_l^2 $\frac{\hbar}{M^2}$ *p*_{em} $(\omega, k; T, \mu_B)$ Bose distribution
all the QCD information

Emission rate in the lab frame

$$
\frac{dN_{\ell\bar{\ell}}}{dM dy} = M \int_{k_{\min}}^{k_{\max}} dk_{\perp} k_{\perp} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \int d^{4}x \frac{d\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}}{d^{4}K'}
$$

 \triangleright In non-relativistic approximation (*M* $\gg T$), the emission rate of dileptons $\propto (MT)^{3/2} \text{e}^{-M/T}$; similarly, for photons, when $p_T\gg T$, the rate $\propto {\rm e}^{-p_T/I}$; the exponential term is from $f_{\!B\!}(\omega)=1/({\rm e}^{\omega_I I}-1)$. $p_T \gg T$, the rate $\propto e^{-p_T/T}$; the exponential term is from $f_B(\omega) = 1/(e^{\omega/T} - 1)$

-
- ▸ Off-equilibrium corrections (such as viscous effects, *B*-field); … Han Gao, 9:20 am, Tue

 \triangleright In non-relativistic approximation (*M* $\gg T$), the emission rate of dileptons $\propto (MT)^{3/2} \text{e}^{-M/T}$; similarly, for photons, when $p_T\gg T$, the rate $\propto {\rm e}^{-p_T/I}$; the exponential term is from $f_{\!B\!}(\omega)=1/({\rm e}^{\omega_I I}-1)$. $p_T \gg T$, the rate $\propto e^{-p_T/T}$; the exponential term is from $f_B(\omega) = 1/(e^{\omega/T} - 1)$

PRE-HYDRO STAGE initial stage pre-eq. stage

Kurkela, Mazeliauskas, PRD 99 (2019) 054018; X. Du, Schlichting, PRD 104 (2021) 054011; Garcia-Montero, Mazeliauskas, Plaschke, Schlichting, JHEP03(2024)053

Gluon evolution

Kurkela, Mazeliauskas, PRD 99 (2019) 054018; X. Du, Schlichting, PRD 104 (2021) 054011; Garcia-Montero, Mazeliauskas, Plaschke, Schlichting, JHEP03(2024)053

$\cos \theta = p_z/p$ thermal non-equilibrium

8

 \rightarrow The system starts with a gluon-dominated initial state; quarks are produced via gluon fusion $gg \to q\bar{q}$ and gluon splitting $g \to q\bar{q}$.

Kurkela, Mazeliauskas, PRD 99 (2019) 054018; X. Du, Schlichting, PRD 104 (2021) 054011; Garcia-Montero, Mazeliauskas, Plaschke, Schlichting, JHEP03(2024)053

$\cos \theta = p_z/p$ thermal non-equilibrium

8

 \rightarrow The system starts with a gluon-dominated initial state; quarks are produced via gluon fusion $gg \to q\bar{q}$ and gluon splitting $g \to q\bar{q}$.

Kurkela, Mazeliauskas, PRD 99 (2019) 054018; X. Du, Schlichting, PRD 104 (2021) 054011; Garcia-Montero, Mazeliauskas, Plaschke, Schlichting, JHEP03(2024)053

 $\cos \theta = p_z/p$ thermal non-equilibrium

Gluon evolution at the Cuark evolution **Photon emission rate**

 \triangleright The system starts with a gluon-dominated initial state; quarks are produced via gluon fusion $gg \to q\bar{q}$ and gluon splitting $g \to q\bar{q}$.

Kurkela, Mazeliauskas, PRD 99 (2019) 054018; X. Du, Schlichting, PRD 104 (2021) 054011; Garcia-Montero, Mazeliauskas, Plaschke, Schlichting, JHEP03(2024)053

Evolution time: $\widetilde{w}=0.25$
non-equilibrium thermal - -Evolution time: \widetilde{w} =0.20 $(p_\mathsf{T}(\tau))^2$ dN/ rdcd^2 p_T d 2 x_T dy/T 2 (τ) thermal
non-equilibrium 10^{-4} 10^{-5} $\left[0.5\right]$ $\sim 0 \cos(\theta)$ $\mathbf{3}$ $4\overline{5}$
p/T(τ) 10^{-6} 6 $8 \t9 \t10^{-1}$ $\overline{7}$ Ω $\overline{2}$ $\mathbf{3}$ 5 6 9 - 4 $\overline{7}$ 8 $p_T/T(\tau)$ $\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}$ Evolution time: $\overline{\tilde{w}}$ =1.01
non-equilibrium
thermal - -Evolution time: $\widetilde{w}=1.01$ $(p_\mathsf{T}(\tau))^2$ dN/ rdcd^2 p_T d 2 x_T dy/T²(τ) 10^{-4} thermal non-equilibrium 10^{-5} \degree 0.5 $\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 0 \cos(\theta) \end{array}$ $\mathbf{3}$ $4\overline{5}$
p/T(τ) $6\overline{6}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ 10⁻¹ $\overline{7}$ 8 10^{-7} $\overline{0}$ $\overline{2}$ $\mathbf{3}$ 9 $p_T/T(\tau)$

-
- \blacktriangleright Non-equilibrium spectrum is well below the thermal spectrum at low p_T and is much harder; thermalization is first achieved in the soft regime. [Note the $p_T^{}$ dependence!]

Gluon evolution at the Cuark evolution **Photon emission rate** Evolution time: $\widetilde{w}=0.25$
non-equilibrium thermal - -Evolution time: $w=0.20$ $(p_\mathsf{T}(\tau))^2$ dN/ rdcd^2 p_T d 2 x_T dy/T²(τ) thermal
non-equilibrium 10^{-4} 10^{-5} $\left[0.5\right]$ $\sim 0 \cos(\theta)$ 3 $4\overline{5}$
p/T(τ) 10^{-} 6 $8 \t9 \t10^{-1}$ $\overline{7}$ Ω $\overline{2}$ -3 5 6 9 - 4 $p_T/T(\tau)$ $\mathsf{I}\mathsf{U}$ Evolution time: \vec{w} =1.01
non-equilibrium Evolution time: \widetilde{w} =1.01 thermal $\left(p_\mathsf{T}(\tau)\right)^2$ dN/rdrd 2 p_T d 2 x_T dy/T²(τ) 10^{-4} thermal non-equilibrium 10^{-5} \degree 0.5 $\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ -0.5 \end{array}$ $\mathbf{3}$ $4\over p/T(\tau)$ 6 $\frac{1}{9}$ 10⁻¹ 8 10^{-7} Ω $\overline{2}$ -3 9 $p_T/T(\tau)$

 \triangleright The system starts with a gluon-dominated initial state; quarks are produced via gluon fusion $gg \to q\bar{q}$ and gluon splitting $g \to q\bar{q}$.

8

Anisotropic flows v_n as probe of equilibration

X.-Y. Wu, LD, Gale, Jeon, 2407.04156; Gale, Paquet, Schenke, Shen, PRC 105, 014909 (2022) Xiang-Yu Wu, 9:40 am, Tue

X.-Y. Wu, LD, Gale, Jeon, 2407.04156; Gale, Paquet, Schenke, Shen, PRC 105, 014909 (2022) Xiang-Yu Wu, 9:40 am, Tue

X.-Y. Wu, LD, Gale, Jeon, 2407.04156; Gale, Paquet, Schenke, Shen, PRC 105, 014909 (2022) Xiang-Yu Wu, 9:40 am, Tue

X.-Y. Wu, LD, Gale, Jeon, 2407.04156; Gale, Paquet, Schenke, Shen, PRC 105, 014909 (2022) Xiang-Yu Wu, 9:40 am, Tue

 \triangleright EM radiations are penetrating but can obtain non-zero v_n , because of the anisotropically expanding emission source. [same as hadrons]

X.-Y. Wu, LD, Gale, Jeon, 2407.04156; Gale, Paquet, Schenke, Shen, PRC 105, 014909 (2022) **Xiang-Yu Wu, 9:40 am, Tue**

 \triangleright EM radiations are penetrating but can obtain non-zero v_n , because of the anisotropically expanding emission source. [same as hadrons]

X.-Y. Wu, LD, Gale, Jeon, 2407.04156; Gale, Paquet, Schenke, Shen, PRC 105, 014909 (2022) **Xiang-Yu Wu, 9:40 am, Tue**

-
- the faster the equilibration is, the smaller the $v_n(p_T)$ are.

 \triangleright EM radiations are penetrating but can obtain non-zero v_n , because of the anisotropically expanding emission source. [same as hadrons] ▸ After accounting for pre-equilibrium dileptons, the total dilepton flow is significantly suppressed relative to the thermal dilepton flow;

 \triangleright EM radiations are penetrating but can obtain non-zero v_n , because of the anisotropically expanding emission source. [same as hadrons] ▸ After accounting for pre-equilibrium dileptons, the total dilepton flow is significantly suppressed relative to the thermal dilepton flow;

-
- the faster the equilibration is, the smaller the $v_n(p_T)$ are.
- ▸ Combining spectra and anisotropic flows helps to probe the equilibration. [similar story in photons]

Pre-equilibrium $\mathbf{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}$ \mathbf{p}_3

Coquet, Winn, X. Du, Ollitrault, Schlichting, PRL 132, 232301 (2024); see also: Seck, Friman, Galatyuk, van Hees, Speranza, Rapp, Wambach, 2309.03189

quadrupole moment

Coquet, Winn, X. Du, Ollitrault, Schlichting, PRL 132, 232301 (2024); see also: Seck, Friman, Galatyuk, van Hees, Speranza, Rapp, Wambach, 2309.03189

▸ Drell-Yan: quark momenta are mostly longitudinal; preferential emission of longitudinal leptons; negative quadrupole moment.

quadrupole moment $3 \cos^2 \theta -$ 2 $/$ DY: positive

- ▸ Drell-Yan: quark momenta are mostly longitudinal; preferential emission of longitudinal leptons; negative quadrupole moment.
- ▸ Pre-eq. QGP: quark momenta are mostly transverse; preferential emission of transverse leptons; positive quadrupole moment.

- ▸ Drell-Yan: quark momenta are mostly longitudinal; preferential emission of longitudinal leptons; negative quadrupole moment.
- ▸ Pre-eq. QGP: quark momenta are mostly transverse; preferential emission of transverse leptons; positive quadrupole moment.

- ▸ Drell-Yan: quark momenta are mostly longitudinal; preferential emission of longitudinal leptons; negative quadrupole moment.
- ▸ Pre-eq. QGP: quark momenta are mostly transverse; preferential emission of transverse leptons; positive quadrupole moment.

-
-

10

-
-

10

-
-

-
-

-
-
-

HYDRO STAGE

Thermal QGP dilepton production

STAR, PRL113, 022301 (2014); PRC 92, 024912 (2015); PLB 750 (2015) 64–71; PRC 107, L061901 (2023); 2402.01998.

LD, Shen, Jeon, Gale, PRC 108 (2023) L041901; LD, Gao, Jeon, Gale, PRC 109, 014907 (2024); LD, Phys.Rev.C 110 (2024) 1, 014904

Thermal QGP dilepton production

- \blacktriangleright First estimate of NLO dilepton emission at nonzero μ_B with (3+1)D multistage hydrodynamic model;
- ▸ The multistage model is calibrated using rapidity-dependent hadronic observables from the Beam Energy Scan.

STAR, PRL113, 022301 (2014); PRC 92, 024912 (2015); PLB 750 (2015) 64–71; PRC 107, L061901 (2023); 2402.01998.

LD, Shen, Jeon, Gale, PRC 108 (2023) L041901; LD, Gao, Jeon, Gale, PRC 109, 014907 (2024); LD, Phys.Rev.C 110 (2024) 1, 014904

Churchill, LD, Gale, Jackson, Jeon, PRC 109, 044915 (2024), PRL 132, 172301 (2024)

NA60, PRL 100, 022302 (2008); EPJC 59 607-623 (2009). STAR, 2402.01998. HADES, Nat. Phys.,1040–1045 (2019). Rapp and van Hees, PLB 753, 586 (2016)

NA60, PRL 100, 022302 (2008); EPJC 59 607-623 (2009). STAR, 2402.01998. HADES, Nat. Phys.,1040–1045 (2019). Rapp and van Hees, PLB 753, 586 (2016)

Questions:

Questions:

▸ How do we know if the fitting method using $\propto (MT)^{3/2}e^{-M/T}$ works? *dN dM*

Questions:

- ▸ How do we know if the fitting method using $\propto (MT)^{3/2}e^{-M/T}$ works? *dN dM*
- ▸ How do we interpret the extracted temperature?

Churchill, LD, Gale, Jackson, Jeon, PRC 109, 044915 (2024), PRL 132, 172301 (2024)

▸ The fit quality of the exponential ansatz is good [✅ fitting method verified!]. Uncertainties are larger at higher

beam energies and in central collisions since the fireball has larger temperature variations.

Churchill, LD, Gale, Jackson, Jeon, PRC 109, 044915 (2024), PRL 132, 172301 (2024)

▸ The fit quality of the exponential ansatz is good [✅ fitting method verified!]. Uncertainties are larger at higher

beam energies and in central collisions since the fireball has larger temperature variations.

Churchill, LD, Gale, Jackson, Jeon, PRC 109, 044915 (2024), PRL 132, 172301 (2024)

https://github.com/LipeiDu/DileptonEmission

- beam energies and in central collisions since the fireball has larger temperature variations.
- of the extracted temperature found!].

▸ A correlation between the average temperature and the initial hydro temperature is identified [✅ interpretation

Churchill, LD, Gale, Jackson, Jeon, PRC 109, 044915 (2024), PRL 132, 172301 (2024)

https://github.com/LipeiDu/DileptonEmission

The fit quality of the exponential ansatz is good $[**v**]$ fitting method verified!]. Uncertainties are larger at higher

- beam energies and in central collisions since the fireball has larger temperature variations.
- of the extracted temperature found!].
-

▸ A correlation between the average temperature and the initial hydro temperature is identified [✅ interpretation

Measure the initial temperature of the evolving QCD fireball in a way that is unaffected by dynamical distortions.

Churchill, LD, Gale, Jackson, Jeon, PRC 109, 044915 (2024), PRL 132, 172301 (2024)

https://github.com/LipeiDu/DileptonEmission

The fit quality of the exponential ansatz is good $[**v**]$ fitting method verified!]. Uncertainties are larger at higher

LD, arXiv: 2408.08501

▸ A correlation between the temperatures extracted from photon spectra (without Doppler shift) and dilepton spectra is identified, leading to the possibility of combining measurable photon and dilepton spectra to extract radial flow.

15

▸ A correlation between the temperatures extracted from photon spectra (without Doppler shift) and dilepton spectra is identified, leading to the possibility of combining measurable photon and dilepton spectra to extract radial flow.

Measuring the thermodynamic properties of the created systems in heavy-ion collisions using multiple messengers

-
- through two fundamental interactions within the same framework.

Summary

- ▸ EM radiations from the early stages offer insights into thermalization and chemical equilibration processes.
- ▸ EM radiation from both the QGP and hadronic matter reveals the thermodynamic properties of QCD matter.
	- ▸ Various thermodynamic measures have been proposed; they should be examined in realistic simulations.
- ▸ We are entering a new era of multi-messenger studies in heavy-ion collisions.
	- ▸ More systematic measurements: hadrons, photons, and dileptons
	- ▸ More advanced theoretical modeling

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Application of perturbative QCD

G. Jackson

Application of perturbative QCD

self-energy, $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$

G. Jackson

NLO emission rates

Churchill, LD, Gale, Jackson, Jeon, PRC 109, 044915 (2024), PRL 132, 172301 (2024)

Floerchinger, Gebhardt, Reygers, PLB 837 (2023) 137647; Rapp, 2406.14656; Atchison, Han, Geurts, 2408.1017

*e*2 2 lim $q_0 \rightarrow 0$ $\rho_{\rm em}(q_0, q=0)/q_0$

Extraction of electric conductivity σ_{el}

- \blacktriangleright Electric conductivity $\sigma_{\rm el}$ manifests in low-mass thermal dilepton spectra: $\sigma_{\rm el}(T) = 0$
- ▸ The inclusion of thermal pion widths significantly broadens the conductivity peak near zero energy.
- ▶ A key signature of a small conductivity is the enhanced dilepton yields in the very-low-mass region.