

The imprints of hydrodynamics in jet quenching

xoan.mayo.lopez@usc.es

25th September 2024, Nagasaki

Mainly based on 2304.03712, 2309.00683, 2406.14628

In collaboration with J. Barata, M. Kuzmin, A. Sadofyev and C. Salgado







Xoán Mayo López, IGFAE (USC)









- Modification of jet properties encodes information about the QGP characteristics and evolution





Jet tomography

• Jet tomography: Jets as differential probes of the spatio-temporal structure of the thermal matter in HIC





Do jets feel the transverse flow and anisotropies of the QGP?













Do jets feel the transverse flow and anisotropies of the QGP?

Florian Lindenbauer Mon Andrey Sadofyev Mon Sergio Barrera Mon

Joseph Bahder Dana Avramescu

Tue Tue







Tan Luo **Rainer Fries** Carlos Salgado João Silva Carlos Lamas

Wed Wed Wed Wed Wed





Focus on leading perturbative processes: Two processes that modify jets.

Broadening



Theoretical formulation of jet quenching requires several assumptions to make it tractable. Some of them are

- Ekional expansion; only sub-eikonal length enhanced terms are kept
- Medium is modeled by a background field
- In the simplest scenario the medium is static and homogeneous





Medium induced gluon radiation



See e.g. Casalderrey-Solana, Salgado 2007







The medium is modeled by a field created by a classical current of sources



The stochastic field can be written as

$$gA^{a\mu}(q) = \sum_{i} u_i^{\mu} e^{-iq \cdot x_i} t_i^a v_i(q) (2\pi) \delta(q_0 - q_0)$$





Background color field

See e.g.

Sadofyev, Sievert, Vitev PRD 2021 Andres, Dominguez, Sadofyev, Salgado PRD 2022 Kuzmin, XML, Reiten, Sadofyev PRD 2024 Kuzmin, XML 2024

Heavy sources

$$u_{\mu} = (1, \boldsymbol{u}, u_{z})_{\mu}$$

$$v_i(q) = \frac{g^2}{q^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon}$$

controls the jet-medium interaction

controls the inhomogeneity

velocity of the sources

 $\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}-q_z u_z)$

4





















Stochastic field \longrightarrow need to specify the average over its configurations \longrightarrow Gaussian statistics



 $(A^{a}(q)A^{b}(\bar{q}))$





Medium average

Colour neutrality

$$\rangle \sim \langle t_i^a t_j^b \rangle = \mathcal{C} \, \delta_{ij} \, \delta^{ab}$$





Hydrodynamic variables, $g(\boldsymbol{x}, z)$, encode the matter structure:



Transversely homogeneous matter :

 $g(\boldsymbol{x}, z) \simeq g(z)$

Transversely inhomogeneous matter :

$$g(\boldsymbol{x}, z) \simeq g(z) + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\alpha} g(z) \boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}$$





Gradients in the medium average

 $g(oldsymbol{x},z)\equiv
ho(oldsymbol{x},z)$ $\mu^2(oldsymbol{x},z)$ $oldsymbol{u}(oldsymbol{x},z)$ $u_z(oldsymbol{x},z)$

See e.g.

Sadofyev, Sievert, Vitev PRD 2021 Barata, Sadofyev, Salgado PRD 2022 Barata, XML, Sadofyev, Salgado PRD 2023 Kuzmin, XML, Reiten, Sadofyev PRD 2024

$$\int_{\mathbf{r}} g(z) e^{-i(\mathbf{q} \pm \bar{\mathbf{q}}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} = g(z) (2\pi)^2 \,\delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{q} \pm \bar{\mathbf{q}})$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{x}} \nabla_{\alpha} g(z) \, \mathbf{x}_{\alpha} \, e^{-i(\mathbf{q} \pm \bar{\mathbf{q}}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} = i \nabla_{\alpha} g(z) \, (2\pi)^2 \, \frac{\partial}{\partial (\mathbf{q} \pm \bar{\mathbf{q}})_{\alpha}} \, \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{q} \pm \bar{\mathbf{q}})_{\alpha}$$

6















Configuration 1

Anisotropic and static matter in the dense regime











The medium-induced gluon spectrum in the dense regime



Controls the in-medium energy loss





Medium-induced radiation

 $\frac{d\omega}{dL} \propto \frac{\partial}{\partial L} \int d\omega \, d^2 \mathbf{k} \, \omega \frac{dI}{d\omega \, d^2 \mathbf{k}}$

7





The spectrum is anisotropic with a modification subleading in energy

Assuming harmonic oscillator and constant density profile







Medium-induced radiation





The spectrum is anisotropic with a modification subleading in energy

Assuming harmonic oscillator and constant density profile







Medium-induced radiation









Configuration 2

Homogeneous and flowing matter in the dilute regime







þ þ <u>فووووووو</u> ا 60000 S S S





The spectrum is anisotropic with a modification subleading in energy Assuming GW potential and smooth density profile in the longitudinal direction



 $\omega = 5 \,\mathrm{GeV}$





Medium-induced radiation



* Fondos Europeos





The spectrum is anisotropic with a modification subleading in energy Assuming GW potential and smooth density profile in the longitudinal direction



 $\omega = 5 \,\mathrm{GeV}$





Medium-induced radiation

9

See e.g.

* Fondos Europeos







Configuration 3

Anisotropic and flowing matter in the dilute regime















Novel multiplicative correction to the leading order in energy affecting:

- dIthe medium induced radiation $\overline{d\omega \, d^2}$
- the broadening \hat{q} (

Rough estimate of the correction

hydro works for not too small droplets LT > 1 $\left|\frac{\mathbf{\nabla}T}{T^2}\right| < 1$ gradient expansion U ~ 1 relativistic flow $1 - u_z$





$$\overline{\mathbf{k}} \propto \int_0^L dz \int d^2 \boldsymbol{q} \left[1 - 3 z T \, \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} T \cdot \boldsymbol{u}}{1 - 0} \right] \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{q})^2}{2\omega}\right) \right)$$

$$\propto \left[1 - \frac{3}{2} LT \, \frac{\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}T}{T^2} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}}{1 - \boldsymbol{u}_z}\right] \hat{q}_{\rm iso}$$

$$\longrightarrow \qquad \left| LT \, \frac{\frac{\mathbf{v}T}{T^2} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{1 - u_z} \right| \sim 1$$

Not energy suppressed !!







The spectrum si isotropic but depends on the relative direction between the transverse gradients and the flow Assuming GW potential and smooth density profile in the longitudinal direction



Multiplicative modification of the radiation spectrum





Medium-induced radiation

Modification of the induced energy loss

11

* Fondos Europeos





To take home

- Jets do feel the transverse flow and anisotropy, and get bended and distorted
- The transverse flow and anisotropy do affect the medium-induced radiation, modifying the jet substructure
- The interplay between flow and anisotropies modify the amount of quenching of a jet already at LO
- These effects can be probed in experiment, leading towards actual jet tomography





















Thanks





















The broadening gets an anisotropic contribution subleading in energy for both configurations

Averages of odd powers of \mathbf{k}_{\perp} are non zero and along:

the hydrodynamic gradients **C1**

C2 the flow of the matter

Averages of even powers of \mathbf{k}_{\perp} are not modified





Directional broadening









Both the leading and subleading orders in energy get modified

- Averages of odd powers of \mathbf{k}_{\perp} behave exactly as in C1 and C2

- Averages of even powers of \mathbf{k}_{\perp} get modified by at leading order in energy

$$\hat{q} \propto \left[1 - L \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} T \cdot \boldsymbol{u}}{1 - u_z} \right] \hat{q}_{\text{iso}}$$





Directional broadening













Simple physical picture



