

#### **Measurement of high**  $p<sub>T</sub>$  **direct photon and π<sup>o</sup>s in small collision systems at PHENIX**

Daniel Firak (for the PHENIX collaboration) - Stony Brook University

- **Outline:** Motivation:  $R_{AB}(p_T)$ 
	- Selection bias, Energy conservation in small systems
		- Glauber Model in Small systems
	- Direct Photons, Bjorken-x
	- Nuclear modification factor in d+Au (PHENIX: arXiv:2303.12899)







#### **Nuclear modification factor in Au+Au**



$$
R_{AB}(p_T) = \frac{Yield_{AB}}{\langle \mathbf{N}_{coll} \rangle \cdot Yield_{pp}}
$$

• For neutral pions (hadrons),  $R_{AB}^{\pi^0}$ shows suppression in large systems

• For photons,  $R_{AB}^{\gamma}$  is consistent with 1



#### **Nuclear modification factor in small systems**



• Suppression for the central events could be explained with QGP formation. Enhancement cannot be explained (easily) from physical arguments.

#### **Is the Glauber Model good both in small WPH\*ENIX and large systems?**



$$
\frac{dN_{ch}}{d\eta} \Rightarrow N_{coll} \xrightarrow[Model/Theory]{}
$$
 
$$
N_{par} \xrightarrow[Theory]{}
$$
  $b$ 

• Multiplicity window = centrality class

• Measurable

• 
$$
N_{coll}^{GL} \propto \left(\frac{dN_{ch}}{d\eta}\right)^a
$$
 : Not directly measurable!

• Obtained through Glauber model



#### **There IS bias in small systems!**

Centrality is determined by event activity in the BBC, on the Au going direction (PHENIX)







#### **There IS bias in small systems!**

Centrality is determined by event activity in the BBC, on the Au going direction (PHENIX)



"Correlations between hard probes and bulk dynamics in small systems" Sangyong Jeon – in ~30 minutes!



Daniel Firak 6



#### **Direct photons to the rescue!**





• Unlike color charged matter, direct photons are unaffected by QGP. •  $\gamma^{dir}$  can be used as a less biased direct measure of  $N_{Coll}$ 



# **Direct measurement of the**

$$
R_{AB}^{\gamma^{dir}}(p_T) = \frac{Y_{AB}^{\gamma^{dir}}(p_T)}{N_{coll} \cdot Y_{pp}^{\gamma^{dir}}(p_T)} \approx 1
$$

• The ratio of direct photon yields can be used as a measure of  $N_{coll}$ :

 $Y^{\gamma dir}_{AB}$ 

 $Y_{pp}^{\gamma\,dir}$ 

 $Y^{\pi^0}_{AB}(p_T$ 

 $N_{Coll}^{EXP} =$ 

 $R^{\pi^\vee}_{AB,exp}$ 

 $a_{AB,exp}^{\pi^0}(p_T) =$ 





## **The Bjorken-x bias**





• To first order, the same kinematic bias would affect both  $p + p$  and  $d + Au$  $222$ 

$$
R_{dAu,exp}^{\pi^0}(p_T) = \frac{\left(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0\right)^{pp}}{(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0)^{dAu}}
$$



## **The Bjorken -x bias**

- High  $p_T$   $\gamma^{dir}$  and  $\pi^0$  (7.5 <  $p_T$  < 18 GeV/c)
	- $\gamma^{dir}$  consistent with 2003 min bias data (PHENIX: PRC87(2013)54907)
	- $\pi^0$  consistent with 2008 data (PHENIX:PRC(2022)64902)

• 
$$
N_{Coll}^{EXP}(p_T) = \frac{Y_{dAu}^{\gamma \text{dir}}(p_T)}{Y_{pp}^{\gamma \text{dir}}(p_T)}
$$

• 
$$
R_{dAu, EXP}^{\pi^0}(p_T) = \frac{(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0)^{pp}}{(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0)^{dAu}}
$$

- No obvious  $p_T$  dependence.
	- *pp* and  $d$ Au  $(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0)$  behave similarly



Daniel Firak 10



#### **Comparison with Glauber**



 $N_{Coll}^{EXP} =$  $Y^{\gamma dir}_{AB}$  $p_T^{}$  $Y_{pp}^{\gamma\,dir}$  $p_T^{}$ 

- Good agreement between  $N_{Coll}^{EXP}$  and  $N_{Coll}^{GL}$  is seen in central collisions
- 15% deviation is seen in peripheral collisions







$$
R_{AB,exp}^{\pi^0}(p_T) = \frac{Y_{AB}^{\pi^0}(p_T)}{N_{Coll}^{EXP} \cdot Y_{pp}^{\pi^0}(p_T)} \Rightarrow \frac{(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0)^{pp}}{(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0)^{AB}}
$$

• Minimum bias (0-100%):

- No significant  $p_T$  dependence
- Average:

$$
\left\langle R_{dAu,exp}^{\pi^0} \right\rangle = 0.92 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.15
$$

- Consistent with unity
- Consistent with 5% enhancement from CNM effects\*

\*Arleo et al.: CNM effects largely cancel in the  $\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0$  in this  $p_T$  range



- Peripheral collisions are consistent with inclusive (0- 100%)
- No peripheral enhancement

**TIPH ENIX** 



- $\gamma^{dir}/\pi^{0})^{pp}$  $Y^{\pi^0}_{AB}(p_T$  $R^{\pi^0}_{AB,exp}(p_T) =$ ⇒  $N_{Coll}^{EXP} \cdot Y_{pp}^{\pi^0}(p_T)$  $\gamma^{dir}/\pi^{0})^{AB}$ ΚÏ **PHENIX**  $\mathbb{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{0.8}$ >20%  $0.6$  $0 - 5%$  $0.4$  $\langle R_{dAu,EXP}^{\pi^0}$  $0.75 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.13$  $0.2$ 8 14 16 10 GeV/c]  $p_{\text{t}}$ PHENIX: arXiv:2303.12899
- Central collisions (0-5%) are consistent with **>20% suppression**
	- No enhancement
	- Clear suppression!







## **Summary**





# **Backup:**

Daniel Firak 17



PRC

 $\overline{\overline{C}}$ 

## **The Bjorken-x bias**







- Even so, the final answer to this puzzle comes from the (upcoming) systematic study of small systems
- Final State  $3He > d > p$
- p Size fluctuation  $p > d > 3$ He



#### **Energy conservation in small systems**



"Correlations between hard probes and bulk dynamics in small systems" Sangyong Jeon – in ~30 minutes!



## **Data analysis**

#### The 2016 dataset for d+Au at 200 GeV is used

- $\pi^0$  reconstructed from  $\gamma$  clusters on the EMCal
- Triggered on high  $p_T$  range. Analysis done for  $\gamma$  and  $\pi^0$  on  $p_T >$  7.5 GeV

Analysis chain:

- Reconstructed Raw  $\pi^0$  from  $\gamma$  showers  $(\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma)$
- Raw spectra is unfolded to obtain Invariant  $\pi^0$ 
	- $\frac{\eta}{\pi^0}$  ratio used to obtain invariant  $\eta$  yield
- Model  $\pi^0$  and  $\eta$  decay in PHENIX to obtain  $\gamma^{decay}$
- Subtraction of decay from inclusive raw  $\gamma$  to obtain Raw  $\gamma^{dir}$
- Unfolding Raw  $\gamma^{dir}$  to obtain Invariant  $\gamma^{dir}$

#### Systematic uncertainties

- $\sim$ 12% on  $\pi^0$  and  $\gamma^{dir}$
- 6% on  $\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0$
- Uncertainties on  $\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0$  are common to all centralities





#### **Bias in Centrality determination**



• Since the event activity is measured in the forward region of the detector, a hard event (think jets) can deplete the forward activity, and would have a high pT event on the central detectors



**• This can drive central events to** appear as peripheral, explaining a source of "peripheral enhancement" at high pT

#### $\gamma^{dir}$  $\pi^0$ **: An observable of centrality bias**





are not affected – centrality dependence in  $\pi^0$  is genuine physics

 $\triangleright$  photons - bias on centrality letermination affecting  $\pi^0$ s determination affecting  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ Centrality Independent: affects direct determination affecting  $\pi^0$ s



#### **Event activity to centrality**



• Centrality is determined by event activity in the BBC, on the Au going direction





#### **Event activity to centrality**



• Centrality is determined by event activity in the BBC, on the Au going direction





#### **Nuclear modification factor in d+Au**



- For high  $p_T$   $\pi^0$ s in small systems, large centrality dependence is observed:
	- Suppression for central events



• Suppression for the central events could be explained with QGP formation. Enhancement cannot be trivially explained from physical arguments.



$$
R_{AB,exp}^{\pi^0}(p_T) = \frac{(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0)^{pp}}{(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0)^{AB}}
$$

Y  $\pi^0$ : same normalization peak extraction energy scale

In pp – pp cross section

Double: Hadron contamination

Assumption:  $\overline{R_{AA}^{\gamma}}^{dir}$  $\equiv$  1

Glauber Bias

Pp cross section

Centrality bias

Model dependent

$$
R_{AB,GL}^{\pi^0}(p_T) = \frac{Y_{AB}^{\pi^0}}{N_{Coll}^{GL} \cdot Y_{pp}^{\pi^0}}
$$









