



UIC

Search for jet quenching using high-multiplicity pPb collisions at the CMS Experiment

Dener De Souza Lemos for the CMS Collaboration

University of Illinois at Chicago

September 24<sup>th</sup>, 2024

#### Measurements of jet quenching at CMS



#### Measurements of jet quenching at CMS



# **Datasets and Monte Carlo simulations**

- > pPb@8.16 TeV (L =  $174.6 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ )
  - Minimum-bias trigger
    - → ~6.4 Billion events in total
    - → Multiplicity range: 10 to 185
  - High multiplicity triggers
    - → Multiplicity range: 185 to 250
      - ~ 498 Million events in total
    - → Multiplicity range: > 250
      - ~ 32 Million events in total
  - Simulations: PYTHIA8+EPOS
    - → ~ 22 Million dijet events (all multiplicities)
    - → For corrections , unfolding and data comparison





#### Analysis workflow



**Final results** 

#### Measurement setup

- Dijet selection
  - Particle Flow
    - → anti- $k_{T}$  jets with R = 0.4
    - →  $p_T^{j1} > 100 \text{ GeV}$
    - →  $p_T^{j_2} > 50 \text{ GeV}$
    - $\rightarrow$   $|\Delta \phi_{\text{dijets}}| > 5\pi/6$
- Observable

$$\mathbf{x}_j = \frac{p_{\mathrm{T}}^{j_2}}{p_{\mathrm{T}}^{j_1}}$$

- Analysis methods
  - Ratio high-to-low multiplicity (R<sub>CP</sub>-like)
  - $\Rightarrow$  Probe proton and lead directions ( $\eta$  dependency)
  - Apply D'Agostini unfolding to correct for resolution





# x, dependency

- > Study of  $x_i$  as function of multiplicity and pseudorapidity
  - Multiplicity ranges: [10,60], [60,120], [120,185], [185,250] and [250,400]





# x<sub>i</sub> dependency

- Study of x<sub>i</sub> as function of multiplicity and pseudorapidity
  - Multiplicity ranges: [10,60], [60,120], [120,185], [185,250] and [250,400]
  - Probe jets in both proton and lead directions
    - → Midrapidity:  $|\eta_{CM}| < 1$
    - → Forward (p direction):  $1.2 < \eta_{CM} < 2.4$
    - → Backward (Pb direction): -3.3 <  $\eta_{CM}$  < -1.2





# x<sub>i</sub> dependency

Leading: midrapidity

Subleading: midrapidity

- Study of x<sub>i</sub> as function of multiplicity and pseudorapidity
  - Multiplicity ranges: [10,60], [60,120], [120,185], [185,250] and [250,400]

Leading: midrapidity

Subleading: forward

9

- Probe jets in both proton and lead directions
  - → Midrapidity:  $|\eta_{CM}| < 1$
  - → Forward (p direction):  $1.2 < \eta_{CM} < 2.4$

Leading: forward

Subleading: midrapidity

→ Backward (Pb direction): -3.3 <  $\eta_{CM}$  < -1.2

(Pb) -n

• n (p)

Dijet combinations studied:

> η (p)

(Pb) -n





(Pb) -ŋ





# Unfolding procedure

# Unfolding x<sub>i</sub>

- First x<sub>i</sub> unfolding at CMS
  - x<sub>i</sub> reconstructed vs x<sub>i</sub> generated
    - → For each  $\eta_{CM}$  combination
    - → In different multiplicity bins
      - ⇒ [10,60], [60,120] and [>120]
- Effects taken into account in the response matrices
  - $\Rightarrow \quad \mathsf{Fakes} \to \mathsf{Negligible}$
  - $\Rightarrow$  Swap  $\rightarrow$  ~20%
  - ⇔ Missing → ROOUnfold
  - Data/MC differences
    - →  $p_T^{j1}$  vs  $p_T^{j2}$  PDF map applied to the matrices
- Applied with D'Agostini unfolding using ROOUnfold





11

# Validate the unfolding procedure at MC (I): prior

- > Data/MC reconstructed pdf(  $p_T^{j1}$ ,  $p_T^{j2}$ ) is applied to remove sensitivity to prior shape
- Procedure is tested using an "oversampled MC"
  - Very different prior between the nominal and oversampled test-MC

#### Nominal MC (PYTHIA+EPOS)

#### **Oversampled MC**

#### (PYTHIA+EPOS, no invariant $p_T$ rescale)



### Validate the unfolding procedure at MC (II): closures

- Closures achieved despite drastically different priors
  - Demonstrate the advantage of using the pdf-convoluted response matrices for cases when no reliable Monte Carlo exists



# Unfolding x<sub>i</sub> – example in data

**Smeared results** 



CMS PAS-HIN-23-010

14



Unfolded results



# Results



# x, results: multiplicity dependency

- Changes observed in the shapes from low to high multiplicity ranges
  - ⇒ Especially in x<sub>i</sub> ~ 1
    - → Same behavior for all  $\eta$  combinations
  - Simulations cannot be performed for the highest multiplicity range





# x, results: η dependency (forward)

- Very similar behavior for all different jet combinations
  - Small changes in shapes







### x, results: η dependency (backward)

- Very similar behavior for all different jet combinations
  - Small changes in shapes









# x<sub>i</sub> ratio to lower multiplicity range

- Useful for cancellation of systematics
- Ratio > 1 at low x<sub>i</sub> and < 1 for high x<sub>i</sub>
  - Possible effects: multijets contribution, energy-momentum conservation, among others
- > Data well described by PYTHIA8+EPOS MC in all multiplicities and  $\eta$  combinations
  - PYTHIA8+EPOS do not include energy loss mechanism



### Average x<sub>i</sub> : ratio high-to-low multiplicities



### Summary

- First measurement of unfolded x<sub>i</sub> using high multiplicity triggers (strongest flow regime)
  - No modifications observed at high multiplicity for any configuration of jet-jet geometry
    - → Deviations from 1 observed, possible effects:
      - Energy-momentum conservation, multijets, among others
    - → Well described by PYTHIA8+EPOS (no energy loss)







#### CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN

Data recorded: 2010-Nov-08 10:22:07.828203 GMT(11:22:07 CEST) Run / Event: 150431 / 541464



# The work of the UIC HENP group is supported by the DOE-NP grant

(c) CERN 2009. All rights reserved.



#### CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN

Data recorded: 2010-Nov-08 10:22:07.828203 GMT(11:22:07 CEST) Run / Event: 150431 / 541464

-

23





#### The CMS detector



with Muon chambers

UIC

### Sources of systematic uncertainties

- Sources of systematics accounted here
  - Trigger bias: comparison of distributions between MB and HM triggers
  - Pileup removal: different PU rejection event selection criteria are used as systematics
  - $\Rightarrow$  **JES**: shift jet  $p_T$  in data based on the uncertainties of jet energy corrections
  - JER: jet p<sub>T</sub> is smeared in MC to account for the uncertainties in data-to-simulation differences.
  - Underlying events: a jet-area-based background subtraction method is applied As an alternative to the constituent subtraction method
  - Unfolding: Five iterations were used to perform the unfolding. Four and six iterations are used as the systematic uncertainty.
- > JER and JES are dominant sources of systematics



# Average x<sub>i</sub> : ratio high-to-low multiplicities



- Similar behavior between reconstructed and unfolded
- Ratio decrease with multiplicity
- Overall good data/mc agreement
  - Indicates no presence of energy loss!



# x<sub>i</sub> ratio to lower multiplicity range (I)

- Useful for cancellation of systematics
- Ratio > 1 at low x<sub>i</sub> and < 1 for high x<sub>i</sub>
  - Possible effects: multijets contribution, energy-momentum conservation, among others
- > Data well described by PYTHIA8+EPOS MC in all multiplicities and  $\eta$  combinations
  - PYTHIA8+EPOS does not include energy loss mechanism



### x, ratio to lower multiplicity range (II)



#### x, ratio to lower multiplicity range (III)

