Evolution of structure functions at NLO without PDFs

Speaker: Mirja Tevio In collaboration with: Tuomas Lappi Heikki Mäntysaari Hannu Paukkunen

University of Jyväskylä

Helsinki Institute of Physics

Center of Excellence in Quark Matter

HARD PROBES 2024 @ Nagasaki

Background

Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS):

Background

• Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS):

- Measured cross sections expressed in terms of structure functions
- Structure functions expressed in terms of parton distribution functions (PDFs) $\mathcal{F}_i(x,Q^2)=\sum_j \mathcal{C}_{ij}(Q^2,\mu^2)\otimes f_j(\mu^2)$ $j=q,\bar{q},g$ $\mu=$ factorization scale

Background

• Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS):

- Measured cross sections expressed in terms of structure functions
- Structure functions expressed in terms of parton distribution functions (PDFs) $\mathcal{F}_i(x,Q^2)=\sum_j \mathcal{C}_{ij}(Q^2,\mu^2)\otimes f_j(\mu^2)$ $j=q,\bar{q},g$ $\mu=$ factorization scale

• The conventional procedure:

- \triangleright PDFs are fitted to DIS data (to structure functions)
- \blacktriangleright Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) evolution: PDFs to higher Q^2

Structure functions will be measured at Electron-Ion Collider (EIC)

- Structure functions will be measured at Electron-Ion Collider (EIC)
- **Problems with PDFs**
	- \blacktriangleright Parametrize non-observable quantities
	- \blacktriangleright Factorization scheme dependence
	- \triangleright Need to define the relation between factorization scale and a physical scale

- Structure functions will be measured at Electron-Ion Collider (EIC)
- **Problems with PDFs**
	- \blacktriangleright Parametrize non-observable quantities
	- \blacktriangleright Factorization scheme dependence
	- \triangleright Need to define the relation between factorization scale and a physical scale
- Physical basis \equiv set of linearly independent DIS observables

- Structure functions will be measured at Electron-Ion Collider (EIC)
- **•** Problems with PDFs
	- \blacktriangleright Parametrize non-observable quantities
	- \blacktriangleright Factorization scheme dependence
	- \triangleright Need to define the relation between factorization scale and a physical scale
- Physical basis \equiv set of linearly independent DIS observables
- DGLAP evolution of observables in a physical basis
	- \triangleright Avoiding the problems with PDFs
	- \triangleright More straightforward to compare to experimental data

- Structure functions will be measured at Electron-Ion Collider (EIC)
- **•** Problems with PDFs
	- \blacktriangleright Parametrize non-observable quantities
	- \blacktriangleright Factorization scheme dependence
	- \triangleright Need to define the relation between factorization scale and a physical scale
- Physical basis \equiv set of linearly independent DIS observables
- DGLAP evolution of observables in a physical basis
	- \triangleright Avoiding the problems with PDFs
	- \triangleright More straightforward to compare to experimental data
- Previously discussed e.g. in Harland-Lang and Thorne [1811.08434,](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.08434) Hentschinski and Stratmann [1311.2825,](https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.2825) W.L. van Neerven and A. Vogt [hep-ph/9907472](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9907472)

- Structure functions will be measured at Electron-Ion Collider (EIC)
- **•** Problems with PDFs
	- \blacktriangleright Parametrize non-observable quantities
	- \blacktriangleright Factorization scheme dependence
	- \triangleright Need to define the relation between factorization scale and a physical scale
- Physical basis \equiv set of linearly independent DIS observables
- DGLAP evolution of observables in a physical basis
	- \triangleright Avoiding the problems with PDFs
	- \triangleright More straightforward to compare to experimental data
- Previously discussed e.g. in Harland-Lang and Thorne [1811.08434,](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.08434) Hentschinski and Stratmann [1311.2825,](https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.2825) W.L. van Neerven and A. Vogt [hep-ph/9907472](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9907472)
- The novelty of our work:
	- \blacktriangleright Momentum space
	- \blacktriangleright Full three-flavor basis at NLO
- Continuation for LO physical basis [2304.06998](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.06998)

Straightforward example with only two observabl

the with only two observables

\n
$$
F_i(x, Q^2) = \sum_j C_{F_i f_j}(Q^2, \mu^2) \otimes f_j(\mu^2),
$$

where
$$
F_i = F_2, F_L / \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}
$$
, and $f_j = \Sigma, g$

Quark singlet: $\Sigma(x,\mu^2) = \sum_q^{n_{\rm f}} \left[q(x,\mu^2) + \overline{q}(x,\mu^2) \right]$, $n_{\rm f} = 3$ Gluon PDF: $g(x, \mu^2)$

Straightforward example with only two observables

$$
F_i(x, Q^2) = \sum_j C_{F_i f_j}(Q^2, \mu^2) \otimes f_j(\mu^2),
$$
\n
$$
F_i(x, Q^2) = \sum_j C_{F_i f_j}(Q^2, \mu^2) \otimes f_j(\mu^2),
$$
\n
$$
F_i = F_2, F_1 / \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}, \text{ and } f_j = \Sigma, g
$$
\n
$$
Quark singlet:
$$
\n
$$
\Sigma(x, \mu^2) = \sum_{q}^{n} [q(x, \mu^2) + \overline{q}(x, \mu^2)], n_f = 3
$$

 \sum_{α} (x, μ) – \sum_{q} [q(x, μ ²)

First step: invert the linear mapping (difficult because $f \otimes g = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} f(z)g\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)$) $f_j(\mu^2) = \sum_i C_{F_i f_j}^{-1}(Q^2, \mu^2) \otimes F_i(Q^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\rm s}^2)$

Straightforward example

Example with only two observables
\n
$$
F_i(x, Q^2) = \sum_j C_{F_if_j}(Q^2, \mu^2) \otimes f_j(\mu^2),
$$
\nwhere $F_i = F_2, F_L / \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}$, and $f_j = \Sigma, g$
\nQuark singlet:

 $\Sigma(x,\mu^2) = \sum_q^{n_{\rm f}} \left[q(x,\mu^2) + \overline{q}(x,\mu^2) \right]$, $n_{\rm f} = 3$ Gluon PDF: $g(x, \mu^2)$

First step: invert the linear mapping (difficult because $f \otimes g = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} f(z)g\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)$) $f_j(\mu^2) = \sum_i C_{F_i f_j}^{-1}(Q^2, \mu^2) \otimes F_i(Q^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\rm s}^2)$ DGLAP evolution in physical basis

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}F_i(x, Q^2)}{\mathrm{d}\log(Q^2)} = \sum_j \frac{\mathrm{d}C_{F_if_j}(Q^2, \mu^2)}{\mathrm{d}\log(Q^2)} \otimes f_j(\mu^2)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_j \frac{\mathrm{d}C_{F_if_j}(Q^2, \mu^2)}{\mathrm{d}\log(Q^2)} \otimes \sum_k C_{F_kf_j}^{-1}(Q^2, \mu^2) \otimes F_k(Q^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)
$$

Scheme and scale dependence at NLO

DGLAP evolution in physical basis:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}F_i(x,Q^2)}{\mathrm{d}\log(Q^2)} = \sum_j \frac{\mathrm{d}C_{F_if_j}(Q^2,\mu^2)}{\mathrm{d}\log(Q^2)} \otimes \sum_k C_{F_kf_j}^{-1}(Q^2,\mu^2) \otimes F_k(Q^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \n= \sum_k \mathcal{P}_{ik} \otimes F_k(Q^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)
$$

Kernels P_{ik} are independent of the factorization scheme and scale

Scheme and scale dependence at NLO

DGLAP evolution in physical basis:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}F_i(x,Q^2)}{\mathrm{d}\log(Q^2)} = \sum_j \frac{\mathrm{d}C_{F_if_j}(Q^2,\mu^2)}{\mathrm{d}\log(Q^2)} \otimes \sum_k C_{F_kf_j}^{-1}(Q^2,\mu^2) \otimes F_k(Q^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \n= \sum_k \mathcal{P}_{ik} \otimes F_k(Q^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)
$$

Kernels P_{ik} are independent of the factorization scheme and scale

 P_{ii} 's determined by:

- Splitting functions
- **Coefficient functions**

 \rightarrow The scheme and scale dependence exactly cancels between these two

Simple example without quarks

$$
\text{Invert } g(x) \text{ from } \widetilde{F}_{\text{L}} = C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g + \frac{\alpha_{\text{s}}}{2\pi} C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(2)} \otimes g \qquad \widetilde{F}_{\text{L}}(x, Q^2) \equiv \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_{\text{s}}} \frac{F_{\text{L}}(x, Q^2)}{x}
$$

Simple example without quarks

$$
\text{Invert } g(x) \text{ from } \widetilde{F}_{\text{L}} = C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(2)} \otimes g \qquad \widetilde{F}_{\text{L}}(x, Q^2) \equiv \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s} \frac{F_{\text{L}}(x, Q^2)}{x}
$$

Define inverse of
$$
C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)}
$$
 as: $g(x) = \hat{P}(x) \left[C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g \right]$
with $\hat{P}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{8T_{\text{R}}n_{\text{F}}\bar{e}_q^2} \left[x^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2x \frac{d}{dx} + 2 \right]$

Simple example without quarks

$$
\text{Invert } g(x) \text{ from } \widetilde{F}_{\text{L}} = C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g + \frac{\alpha_{\text{s}}}{2\pi} C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(2)} \otimes g \qquad \widetilde{F}_{\text{L}}(x, Q^2) \equiv \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_{\text{s}}} \frac{F_{\text{L}}(x, Q^2)}{x}
$$

 \boldsymbol{g}

Define inverse of
$$
C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)}
$$
 as: $g(x) = \hat{P}(x) \left[C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g \right]$
with $\hat{P}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{8T_{\text{R}}m_{\text{F}}\bar{e}_{q}^{2}} \left[x^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} - 2x \frac{d}{dx} + 2 \right]$
Get $C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g$ from $\tilde{F}_{\text{L}}:$ $C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g = \tilde{F}_{\text{L}} - \frac{\alpha_{\text{S}}}{2\pi} C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(2)} \otimes$

Simple example without quarks

$$
\text{Invert } g(x) \text{ from } \widetilde{F}_{\text{L}} = C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g + \frac{\alpha_{\text{s}}}{2\pi} C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(2)} \otimes g \qquad \widetilde{F}_{\text{L}}(x, Q^2) \equiv \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_{\text{s}}} \frac{F_{\text{L}}(x, Q^2)}{x}
$$

Define inverse of
$$
C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)}
$$
 as: $g(x) = \hat{P}(x) \left[C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g \right]$
\nwith $\hat{P}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{8T_{\text{R}}m_{\text{L}}\bar{e}_{q}^{2}} \left[x^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} - 2x \frac{d}{dx} + 2 \right]$
\nGet $C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g$ from $\tilde{F}_{\text{L}}:$
\n
$$
C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g = \tilde{F}_{\text{L}} - \frac{\alpha_{\text{s}}}{2\pi} C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(2)} \otimes g
$$
\n
$$
g(x) = \hat{P}(x) \left[\tilde{F}_{\text{L}}(x) - \frac{\alpha_{\text{s}}}{2\pi} C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(2)} \otimes g \right]
$$

Simple example without quarks

$$
\text{Invert } g(x) \text{ from } \widetilde{F}_{\text{L}} = C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g + \frac{\alpha_{\text{s}}}{2\pi} C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(2)} \otimes g \qquad \widetilde{F}_{\text{L}}(x, Q^2) \equiv \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_{\text{s}}} \frac{F_{\text{L}}(x, Q^2)}{x}
$$

Define inverse of
$$
C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)}
$$
 as: $g(x) = \hat{P}(x) \left[C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g \right]$
\nwith $\hat{P}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{8T_{\text{R}}m_{\text{f}}\bar{e}_{q}^{2}} \left[x^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} - 2x \frac{d}{dx} + 2 \right]$
\nGet $C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g$ from $\tilde{F}_{\text{L}}:$
\n
$$
C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(1)} \otimes g = \tilde{F}_{\text{L}} - \frac{\alpha_{\text{s}}}{2\pi} C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(2)} \otimes g
$$
\n
$$
g(x) = \hat{P}(x) \left[\tilde{F}(x) - \frac{\alpha_{\text{s}}}{2\pi} C_{F_{\text{L}}g}^{(2)} \otimes g \right]
$$

$$
g(x) = \hat{P}(x) \left[\widetilde{F}_{L}(x) - \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi} C_{F_{L}g}^{(2)} \otimes g \right]
$$

Plug in $g(x) = \hat{P}(x)\tilde{F}_{L}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s})$ to the right hand side

$$
g(x) = \hat{P}(x)\widetilde{F}_L(x) - \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{2\pi}\hat{P}(x)\Big[C_{F_{\rm L}g}^{(2)}\otimes\hat{P}\widetilde{F}_L\Big] + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_s^2\right)
$$

Six observable basis (work in preparation)

- Full three-flavor basis: $u, \bar{u}, d, \bar{d}, s = \bar{s}$, and g
	- → Need six linearly independent DIS structure functions

Six observable basis (work in preparation)

• Full three-flavor basis: $u, \bar{u}, d, \bar{d}, s = \bar{s}$, and g → Need six linearly independent DIS structure functions

• We choose the NLO structure functions:

Neutral current γ^* , Z

- γ^* exhange \rightarrow F_2 and $F_{\rm L}$
- Z boson exhange \rightarrow F_3

Charged current W^{\pm} W^- exhange $\rightarrow F_3^{W^-}$ and $F_{2c}^{W^-}$ $\Delta F_2^W = F_2^{W^-} - F_2^{W^+}$

Comparison with conventional DGLAP evolution

Physical basis evolution

- Renormalization scheme in $\alpha_{\rm s}(\mu_r^2)$
- **•** Perturbative truncation −→ sum rule not exact
- **Parametization of observable** quantities

Evolution with PDFs

- **Eactorization scheme and scale**
- Renormalization scheme in $\alpha_{\rm s}(\mu_{\rm r}^2)$
- Easy to enforce an exact sum rule
- **•** Parametization of non-observable quantities

Comparison with conventional DGLAP evolution

- Similar Q^2 evolution
- Differences in values from:
	- \triangleright uncertainty in PDFs from scheme and scale (error band not shown)
	- **•** perturbative truncation $8/10$

Example of Higgs production by gluon fusion

$$
x_1 \text{ sequence}
$$
\n
$$
x_2 \text{ sequence}
$$
\n
$$
\sigma(p + p \longrightarrow H + X) = \int dx_1 dx_2 g(x_1, \mu) g(x_2, \mu) \hat{\sigma}_{gg \rightarrow H + X}(x_1, x_2, \frac{m_H^2}{\mu^2}),
$$

where m_H is the Higgs mass, $g(x_1, \mu)$ and $g(x_2, \mu)$ are the gluon PDFs

Example of Higgs production by gluon fusion

$$
x_1 \text{ sequence}
$$
\n
$$
x_2 \text{ sequence}
$$
\n
$$
\sigma(p + p \longrightarrow H + X) = \int dx_1 dx_2 g(x_1, \mu) g(x_2, \mu) \hat{\sigma}_{gg \to H + X}(x_1, x_2, \frac{m_H^2}{\mu^2}),
$$

where m_H is the Higgs mass, $g(x_1, \mu)$ and $g(x_2, \mu)$ are the gluon PDFs

Plug in the gluon PDF in physical basis: $g(x,\mu^2)=\sum_j\zeta_{j\!}^{-1}(Q^2,\mu^2)\otimes F_j(Q^2)$ where $F_j = F_2, F_{\rm L}/\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{2\pi}, F_3, \Delta F_2^{\rm W}, F_3^{\rm W^-}, F_{2{\rm c}}^{\rm W^-}$

Example of Higgs production by gluon fusion \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow X x1 receive x_2 12200000 $\sigma(p + p \longrightarrow H + X) = \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 g(x_1, \mu) g(x_2, \mu) \hat{\sigma}_{gg \to H + X}(x_1, x_2, \frac{m_H^2}{\mu^2}),$

where m_H is the Higgs mass, $g(x_1, \mu)$ and $g(x_2, \mu)$ are the gluon PDFs

Plug in the gluon PDF in physical basis: $g(x,\mu^2)=\sum_j\zeta_{j\!}^{-1}(Q^2,\mu^2)\otimes F_j(Q^2)$ where $F_j = F_2, F_{\rm L}/\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{2\pi}, F_3, \Delta F_2^{\rm W}, F_3^{\rm W^-}, F_{2{\rm c}}^{\rm W^-}$

$$
\sigma(p+p\longrightarrow H+X)=\\\int dx_1dx_2\hat{\sigma}_{gg\rightarrow H+X}(x_1,x_2,\frac{m_H^2}{\mu^2})\left[\sum_jC_{jg}^{-1}(Q^2,\mu^2)\otimes F_j(Q^2)\right]_{x_1}\left[\sum_kC_{kg}^{-1}(Q^2,\mu^2)\otimes F_k(Q^2)\right]_{x_2}
$$

Example of Higgs production by gluon fusion \rightarrow H \rightarrow \rightarrow X X1 receive X_2 (0000000) $\sigma(p + p \longrightarrow H + X) = \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 g(x_1, \mu) g(x_2, \mu) \hat{\sigma}_{gg \to H + X}(x_1, x_2, \frac{m_H^2}{\mu^2}),$

where m_H is the Higgs mass, $g(x_1, \mu)$ and $g(x_2, \mu)$ are the gluon PDFs

Plug in the gluon PDF in physical basis: $g(x,\mu^2)=\sum_j\zeta_{j\!}^{-1}(Q^2,\mu^2)\otimes F_j(Q^2)$ where $F_j = F_2, F_{\rm L}/\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{2\pi}, F_3, \Delta F_2^{\rm W}, F_3^{\rm W^-}, F_{2{\rm c}}^{\rm W^-}$

$$
\sigma(p+p\longrightarrow H+X)=\\\int\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2\hat{\sigma}_{gg\to H+X}(x_1,x_2,\frac{m_H^2}{\mu^2})\left[\sum_jC_{jg}^{-1}(Q^2,\mu^2)\otimes F_j(Q^2)\right]_{x_1}\left[\sum_kC_{kg}^{-1}(Q^2,\mu^2)\otimes F_k(Q^2)\right]_{x_2}
$$

Harland-Lang and Thorne [1811.08434](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.08434):

explicit μ dependence vanishes and terms log $\left(Q^2/m_H^2\right)$ are left behind \longrightarrow no need to choose relation between μ and Q or m_H

Motivation: future DIS measurements at the Electron-Ion Collider

Goal: formulate DGLAP evolution directly for physical observables

- Motivation: future DIS measurements at the Electron-Ion Collider
- Goal: formulate DGLAP evolution directly for physical observables
- We have established physical basis at NLO in $\alpha_{\rm s}$ for six observables; F_2 , $F_{\rm L}$, F_3 , $\Delta F_2^{\rm W}$, $F_3^{\rm W}$, and $F_{\rm 2c}^{\rm W}$

- Motivation: future DIS measurements at the Electron-Ion Collider
- Goal: formulate DGLAP evolution directly for physical observables
- We have established physical basis at NLO in $\alpha_{\rm s}$ for six observables; F_2 , $F_{\rm L}$, F_3 , $\Delta F_2^{\rm W}$, $F_3^{\rm W}$, and $F_{\rm 2c}^{\rm W}$
- Scheme dependence of PDFs play a role at NLO in $\alpha_{\rm s}$ \rightarrow Scheme and scale dependence avoided in the physical basis

- Motivation: future DIS measurements at the Electron-Ion Collider
- Goal: formulate DGLAP evolution directly for physical observables
- We have established physical basis at NLO in $\alpha_{\rm s}$ for six observables; F_2 , $F_{\rm L}$, F_3 , $\Delta F_2^{\rm W}$, $F_3^{\rm W}$, and $F_{\rm 2c}^{\rm W}$
- Scheme dependence of PDFs play a role at NLO in $\alpha_{\rm s}$ \rightarrow Scheme and scale dependence avoided in the physical basis
- What next:
	- Express LHC cross sections, e.g. Drell-Yan, in physical basis
	- \blacktriangleright Include heavy quarks

Backup: NLO evolution for F_3 , ΔF_2^{W} $E_2^{\text{W}-}$, $F_3^{\text{W}-}$ $F_{3}^{\text{W}^+}$, and $F_{2c}^{\text{W}^+}$ $2c$

Backup: Sum rule

Backup: Gluon PDF and quark singlet in physical basis

