



Polarization measurement and prospects at LHCb

Speaker: Youen Kang On behalf of LHCb collaboration



2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

Overview

- Physics background
- SMOG in LHCb
- \succ Λ transverse polarization
- Prospect

Hyperon polarization discovery

- > A polarization observed in pBe collisions in 1976 by FermiLab:
 - > **Not expect** in high-energy unpolarized-beams collisions
 - Comes from the **non-perturbative** hadronization process
 - > Spin effect plays an important role in high-energy collisions
 - > Lots of models proposed, achieve **partial explanation**



- > Types of observables for polarization along:
 - > Global polarization (along \vec{L}): originate from spin-orbit interaction
 - > Longitudinal (local) polarization (along \vec{p}_{beam}): originate from inhomogeneous expansion of fireball
 - Transverse polarization (along \vec{p}_{\perp}): obtained in the fragmentation



Λ Transverse polarization

- > All the measurements before show a transverse polarization for Λ but not for $\bar{\Lambda}$
 - Transverse polarization is definitely not a universality for all high energy baryon poduction
 - > Suggest that *s* quark in Λ is produced polarized while \bar{s} quark in $\bar{\Lambda}$ is not
 - Suggest a different production mechanism for Λ and Λ̄ (e.g. gluon bremsstrahlung [Phys. Rev. Lett.
 41, 607 (1978)])



2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

Youen Kang, on behalf of LHCb Collaboration

Phys. Rev. D 43, 2792 (1991)

A Transverse polarization dependence

 \succ \land transverse polarization found to be:

2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

- not obviously dependent on collision energy (collision energy do have effects on the proportion of A from decays)
- increase with transverse momentum to several GeV





A Transverse polarization dependence

 \succ Λ transverse polarization is found to

 \succ increase with increasing x_F in hadronic collisions

$$x_F = \frac{p_L}{p_{L, max}} = \frac{2p_L}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$$

where p_L is the longitudinal momentum, and $p_{L, max}$ is the maximum of p_L can reach, which is half the center-of-mass energy



> increase with increasing z_A in e^+e^- collisions (first observed) $z_A = \frac{2E_A}{\sqrt{s}}$

Transverse polarization found for both Λ and its anti-particle in high fractional energy region



2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

Phenomenological approach

- Theoretical models describe relatively better in global polarization, but **poorly** in local and transverse polarization
- A phenomenological approach is Transverse
 Momentum Dependent (TMD) Fragmentation
 Function (FF), which:
 - Describes the fragmentation of unpolarized quark into transversly polarized hadron [Phys.Rev. D63 (2001) 054029]
 - > TMD FF can be studied through experimental data



https://www.phenix.bnl.gov/WWW/publish/caidala/UniMiQCDLectures2020/AidalaQCDAndBaryonPolUniMi2020Lect3.pdf

The LHCb detector

> Single-arm forward spectrometer with unique coverage 2 < η < 5

> Designed for heavy-flavour physics, now a general purpose experiment



2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

Fixed-Target experiment: SMOG

SMOG: System for Measuring Overlap with Gas

- Highest-energy fixed-target experiment ever built, a bridge between SPS and LHC energies
- Noble gases (He, Ar, Ne) injected around Interaction Point (IP) with pressure 10e-7 mbar
- Unique kinematic region accessible, helps to investigate high-x and intermediate Q²



2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki



Λ transverse polarization

> Decay channel: $\Lambda \to \pi^- + p$ and $\overline{\Lambda} \to \pi^+ + \overline{p}$

 $P(\Lambda) = 0.029 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.012$ $P(\overline{\Lambda}) = 0.003 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.014$



2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

A transverse polarization v.s. x_F

- > Λ transverse polarization **increase slightly** with increasing $|x_F|$, an obvious non-zero transverse polarization is observed for $|x_F| \sim 0.1$
- ➤ Ā is not transversely polarized, even in highest |x_F| bin, which consistent with the other fixed-target experiments
- > For lower $|x_F|$ region, transverse polarization for both Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ are **consistent with zero**



A transverse polarization v.s. x_F

- \succ Λ transverse polarization v.s. x_F
 - Good agreements with other measurement can be extrapolated from measurement in high x_F
 - As a comparison, HERA-B results are measured:
 - ➢ in a different collision system
 - in different kinematic regions
 - > at different center-of-mass energy



Λ transverse polarization v.s. p_T , y, η

> No significant dependence on p_T is found, could result from the overall low x_F for the measurement

> A slight decreasing trend is observed for transverse polarization on y and η



Prospects

SMOG2

- ➢ Gas confined in a 20 cm long storage cell
- > Higher areal density than SMOG \rightarrow Luminosity $\times \sim 100$
- Wider choices of gas: He, Ne, Ar, H₂, D₂, O₂, Kr, Xe
- Data taken simultaneously in pp and pA mode



POSTER: SMOG2: a high-density gas target at the LHCb experiment, <u>Federica Fabiano</u> (For more details)

Improve on transverse polarization measurement

- Better statistical resolution
- Measurement repeated with different mass targets and beam energies
- > Possibility to increase $|x_F| \sim 0.3$
- Possibility to look into other hyperon polarization



LHCb-Figure-2023-005

Back Up

2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

Reaction Plane and Event Plane

Reaction plane

- \succ Reaction plane angle denoted by Ψ_R
- > Spanned by vector set { \vec{b} , \vec{p}_{beam} }, \vec{b} is impact parameter
- RaCan't be defined for central collisions
- > Can't be obtained, can be approximated by **Event Plane**
 - > The n-th harmonic Event Plane angle is defined as:

$$\Psi_n = \frac{1}{n} \arctan(\frac{\sum_i w_i sin(n\phi_i)}{\sum_i w_i cos(n\phi_i)})$$

 w_i is weight and ϕ_i azimuthal angle of i-th particle in an event

This approximation has a resolution of:

$$R_n = \langle cos(n(\Psi_n - \Psi_R)) \rangle$$



Global polarization

 \succ The spin polarization \vec{P} reveals the parity-violating nature of hyperon decay, the projection of \vec{P} on system angular momentum \vec{L} is called **global polarization**:

$$P_{global} = \langle \vec{P}, \vec{L} \rangle = \frac{8}{\pi \alpha_H} \frac{1}{R_1} \langle sin(\Psi_1 - \phi_p^*) \rangle$$

details for measurement of this quantity: Phys. Rev. C 104 (2021) L061901 (invariant mass method)

- $\succ \alpha_{H}$: parity-violating decay asymmetry for hyperon
- $\succ R_1$: resolution for 1st order event plane
- $\succ \Psi_1$: 1st order event plane angle
- $\succ \phi_n^*$: azimuthal angle for decayed proton in hyperon rest frame

> Revelant measurement:

Λ global polarization in AuAu at RHIC:	Others:	
➢Phys. Rev. C 104 (2021) L061901	➢ Phys.	
≻Phys. Rev. C 98, 014910 (2018)	Phys. and AgA	
>PRC76.024915(2007)		
≽arXiv:2305.08705v4 [nucl-ex]		

- Rev. C 101, 044611 (ALICE PbPb 2.76, 5.02 TeV)
- Lett. B 835 (2022) 137506 (HADES, AuAu 2.4TeV Ag 2.5TeV)

Ψ_{RP} HYPERON REST FRAME

 ϕ_p^*

 p_n^*

2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

Global polarization v.s. $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$

- > **Decreases** with center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$
 - Several models like hydrodynamic model [Eur.Phys.J.C77(2017)213] and AMPT model [Phys.Rev.C96(2017)054908] are proposed
 - This may be partly due to longer evolution times at higher energies, increasing the viscosity-driven decay of vorticity before polarized hyperon emission [Nucl. Phys. A 967, 764 (2017)]





2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

Global polarization v.s. Centrality

> Global polarization **increase** monotonically with increasing centrality. This behavior is qualitatively consistent with the system angular momentum increasing with collision centrality as well as numerous model calculations with varying underlying assumptions

AMPT (Multiphase Transport) model [Phys. Rev. C 94, 044910 (2016)]



Youen Kang, on behalf of LHCb Collaboration

3.5

3

 $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ * *

2.5

1.5

0.5

-0.5

STAR Au+Au, $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 19.6 {\rm ~GeV}$

 $p_{\rm T} > 0.5 \ {\rm GeV}/c, \, |y| < 1$

 $\alpha_{\Lambda} = 0.732$

 $P_{\rm H}$ (%)

(a)

Global polarization v.s. y

In many experiments, no dependence on rapidity is found. Different models or different parameters in a model give very different predictions on the rapidity dependence of global polarization:

AMPT [Phys. Rev.C 99, 014905 (2019)]UrQMD model [Phys.Lett.B803,135298 (2020)] ↓AMPT [Phys. Rev. C 104, 041902 (2021)](Ultrarelativistic Quantum Molecular Dynamics)





2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

Global polarization v.s. p_T

> No obvious p_T dependence of global polarization found. Some models like AMPT and UrQMD can describe this behavior.



 $P_{
m H}~(\%)$

1.6

1.41.2

0.8

(a)

 $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$

* *

Longitudinal (Local) polarization

> The longitudinal component of the polarization can be measured by projecting the polarization onto the beam direction, denoted as P_z and called local polarization, arises from inhomogeneous expansion of the fireball (ϕ -dependent velocity difference -> vorticity along beam):

$$P_z = \frac{\langle cos(\theta_p^*) \rangle}{\alpha_H \langle cos^2(\theta_p^*) \rangle}$$

- > $\langle cos^2(\theta_p^*) \rangle$ account for **pseudorapidity dependent detector acceptance effects**, should be **1/3** if detector has perfect acceptance and efficiency
- > To get $\langle cos(\theta_p^*) \rangle$, two methods are proposed [Phys. Rev. C 98, 014910 (2018)]
 - > The event plane method
 - Invariant mass method. By this method the measurable is changed to the Fourier sine coefficient of Pz (usually second-order) (resolution corrected)

$$P_{z,s_n} = \langle P_z sin(n\phi_p^* - n\Psi_n) \rangle = \frac{\langle cos(\theta_p^*)sin(n\phi_p^* - n\Psi_2) \rangle}{\alpha_H R_n \langle cos^2(\theta_p^*) \rangle}$$
main contribution from elliptic flow induced vorticity

2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

Οz

 p_n^*

 ϕ_p^*

HYPERON REST FRAME

 $\Psi_{\rm RP}$

Local polarization v.s. Centrality

- The increase of the signal with increasing centrality is likely due to increasing elliptic flow contributions in peripheral collisions.
 - > They are found to be consistent in:
 - 5.02 TeV PbPb collisions (ALICE, Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 17, 172005)
 - 200 GeV AuAu collisions (STAR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 132301 (2019))
 - 200 GeV RuRu and ZrZr collisions (STAR, Phys.Rev.Lett. 131 (2023) 20, 202301)
 - But the result in CMS does not follow the trend of elliptic flow in 8.16 TeV pPb collisions (CMS-PAS-HIN-24-002)



Local polarization v.s. p_T

- > The increase of the signal with increasing p_T is **likely** due to increasing elliptic flow contributions
 - \succ They are found to be consistent in:
 - 5.02 TeV PbPb collisions (ALICE, Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 17, 172005)
 - 200 GeV AuAu collisions (STAR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 132301 (2019))
 - 200 GeV RuRu and ZrZr collisions (STAR, Phys.Rev.Lett. 131 (2023) 20, 202301)
 - > 8.16 TeV pPb collisions (CMS-PAS-HIN-24-002)



2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

Local polarization v.s. y

- No rapidity dependence has been found for local polarization in 5.02 TeV PbPb collisions
- Can be described by AMPT model



Transverse Polarization

> Transverse polarization is the polarization in direction \vec{n} , which is normal to the production plane, spanned by $\{\vec{p}_{beam}, \vec{p}_A\}$

Revelant measurement

- > 68.4 GeV pNe collisions (LHCb, arXiv:2405.11324v1)
- 7TeV pp collisions (ATLAS, Phys.Rev.D 91 (2015) 3, 032004)
- > 10.58 GeV ee collisions (Belle, Phys.Rev.Lett. 122 (2019) 4, 042001)
- > 800 GeV proton+A (FermiLab, Phys.Lett.B 338 (1994) 403-408)

≻ ...

Most of them did not find polarization for $\bar{\Lambda}$



Transverse Polarization v.s. $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$

- > The following fixed-target experiments:
 - Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 1113 (1976);
 - Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 607 (1978);
 - Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1348 (1978);
 - Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 2025 (1983);
 - Phys. Rev. D 43, 2792 (1991).
 - Phys. Rev. D 40, 3557 (1989).
 - Phys. Lett. B 338, 403 (1994).
 - Eur. Phys. J. C 6, 265 (1999).
 - Phys. Lett. B 638, 415 (2006),



- \succ Did **not observe strong dependence** of the Λ polarization on the collision energy.
- It is not totally independent from energy. High collision energy may change the proportion of A from decays, about only 40% of data is comparable to that in NA48.

Local polarization v.s. p_T

> Increase (absolute value) with transverse momentum. But still, $\overline{\Lambda}$ is not transverse polarized (phenomenon, not fully understand)

different.

The above comparison makes it clear that polarization is not a universal property of all high energy baryon production. Lambdas, which in this experiment are leading particles, are polarized while the antilambdas, which are unrelated to the incident particle, are not. From the quark picture outlined previously, it might appear that the s quark of the Λ° is produced polarized while the s quark of the antilambda is not. Figure 4 illustrates a mechanism, gluon bremsstrahlung, which could give rise to a Λ° polarization without a $\overline{\Lambda}^{\circ}$ polarization. In the interaction two of the proton guarks, u and d, are spectators in a singlet spin state. The other u quark is scattered by the target and radiates a gluon which produces an ss pair. It is the s from the pair which gives the Λ° both its transverse momentum and its spin. The scattered u quark, the \bar{s} and the fragments of the target form the unobserved products. If the gluon is polarized, so is the ss pair and this polarization is correlated with the transverse momentum direction of the



2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki

Transverse Polarization v.s. x_F

Increase significantly with increasing absolute Feymann-x, which is defined as,

$$x_F = \frac{p_L}{p_{L, max}}$$

where p_L is the longitudinal momentum, and $p_{L, max}$ is the maximum of p_L can reach, which is half the center-of-mass energy. So it becomes,

$$x_F = \frac{2p_L}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$$



Comparison

Global polarization

- \succ Along \vec{L}
- Originate from spin-orbit interaction
- Provide information of:
 - initial condition and dynamics of QGP (e.g. split on particle and anti-particle global polarization give information about magnetic field in heavy-ion collisions and electric-conductivity in QGP)
 - both the nature of the spin-orbit interaction and the profile of velocity fields of the expanding system
- Its observation characterizes the system created in heavy-ion collision as the most vortical fluid known
- Can be (relatively) well described by models, see ref 1~6 in the next page

Local polarization

- > Along \vec{z}
- Originate from vorticity induced by gradient pressure from the inhomogeneous expansion of fireball
- Provide information of:
 - vorticity induced by elliptic flow
 - > fluid nature during expansion of fireball
- Poorly described, most of them give opposite sign or over-estimated magnitude, see ref 7~10 next page. On the other hand, the calculations based on a simple blastwave model [11,12] utilizing only kinematic vorticity and without the temperature gradient and acceleration contributions can describe experimental data well. Known as spin puzzle.

Transverse polarization

- \succ Along $\vec{z} \times \vec{p}_{\Lambda}$
- Origination remain unknown
- > Provide information for the fragmentation process in Λ production
- No successful model reach full description, compare with other measurement through extrapolation

Reference for last page

- 1. Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 213 (2017)
- 2. Phys. Rev. C 96, 054908 (2017)
- 3. Phys. Rev. C 96, 024906 (2017)
- 4. Phys. Rev. C 95, 031901(2017)
- 5. Phys. Rev. C 100,014908 (2019)
- 6. Phys. Lett. B 803, 135298(2020)
- 7. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 012302 (2018)
- 8. Phys. Rev. C 98, 024905 (2018)
- 9. Phys. Rev. C 103, 024903 (2021)
- 10. Phys. Rev. C 100, 054907 (2019)
- 11. Phys. Rev. C 48, 2462 (1993)
- 12. Phys. Rev. C 70, 044907 (2004)

Lambda_c polarization

Component	Value (%)
$egin{array}{lll} P_x & (lab) \ P_y & (lab) \ P_z & (lab) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.32 \pm 0.68 \pm 0.98 \pm 0.21 \\ -0.41 \pm 0.61 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.07 \\ -24.7 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3 \pm 1.1 \end{array}$
$P_x (\tilde{B}) P_y (\tilde{B}) P_z (\tilde{B})$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.65 \pm 0.68 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.15 \\ 1.08 \pm 0.61 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.08 \\ -66.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$

lab frame and approximate rest frame of lambda_B (non-detectable neutrino)

$$\begin{split} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\Lambda_c^+} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Lambda_c^+) \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\Lambda_c^+} &= \frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\mu^-) - \left[\boldsymbol{p}(\mu^-) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Lambda_c^+)\right] \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Lambda_c^+)}{\left|\boldsymbol{p}(\mu^-) - \left[\boldsymbol{p}(\mu^-) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Lambda_c^+)\right] \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Lambda_c^+)\right|} \\ &= \frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\Lambda_c^+) \times \boldsymbol{p}(\mu^-)}{\left|\boldsymbol{p}(\Lambda_c^+) \times \boldsymbol{p}(\mu^-)\right|} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Lambda_c^+) \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{\Lambda_c^+} &= \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_{\Lambda_c^+} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\Lambda_c^+} \\ &= \frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\Lambda_c^+) \times \boldsymbol{p}(\mu^-)}{\left|\boldsymbol{p}(\Lambda_c^+) \times \boldsymbol{p}(\mu^-)\right|}, \end{split}$$



2024-09-23 HardProbes Nagasaki