#### Underlying event characterization in 200 GeV Au+Au collisions for jet measurements with the sPHENIX detector SPHENIX Benjamin Kimelman, Vanderbilt University for the sPHENIX Collaboration U.S. DEPARTMENT OF Office of VANDERBILT ENERGY Science UNIVERSITY





Jets measurements must be corrected for soft *fluctuating* UE Pedestal is easily subtractable fluctuations must be unfolded





Determination of UE subtraction done with three methods

• Area based method (STAR, ALICE)

Multiplicity method (New!) Phys. Rev. C 108, L021901

Comparisons of UE characterizations using different methods which each include additional effects

- **Calorimeter windows**
- Random cones
- Iterative subtraction (ATLAS) Phys. Rev. C 86, 024908

### Area Method

OHCAL

IHCal

**EMCal** 

- Median UE in all  $k_{\tau}$  jets in event
- Symmetric in central events  $\rightarrow$  asymmetric in peripheral events
- Follows trends seen in other experiments



Embed probes/full jets

# Random Cones

- Draw cone in random direction
- Sum towers within cone radii
- Compare width of fluctuations after pedestal subtraction



# Multiplicity Method

•Calculate median energy per constituent •Estimate number of signal constituents per jet with models  $\bullet p_{T.Iet}^{Corr,N} = p_{T,Jet}^{total} - \rho_{Mult}(N_{total} - N_{signal})$ 



# Full Jet Embedding

- Generate jets with PYTHIA8 and run through full **GEANT4 model of sPHENIX detector**
- Add GEANT4 calorimeter tower energies to those from Au+Au data
- UE in PYTHIA8+Data same as UE from PYTHIA8 + UE from data (factorizes)



## Conclusions

Various jet subtraction methods performing well and trends agree with previous measurements Different UE characterization methods provide information on fluctuations and constrain systematic uncertainties More results including direct comparisons of UE subtraction methods and fluctuation characterization methods coming soon after end of Run 2024