Diffractive charm producttion Measurement feasibility & discovery potential

Maciej Lewicki

Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences



Physics Motivation:

Shed a Light on the Nature of Strong Colorless Exchange

- Mechanisms of diffractive production studied through the analysis of open charm production
- cc̄ production lowest-mass process involving hard-scale
- Probing the nature of Pomeron, testing alternative approaches (e.g. Soft Color Interaction)
- ► Testing the **factorization theorem**
- Diffractive events identified with forward proton tag with AFP



Unique class of events:

- i) accessible within perturbative QCD framework,
- ii) characterized by high exepected cross-section,
- iii) possible to be studied in a clean, low background experimental environment low pile-up

Models of diffraction

Resolved Pomeron

- Ingelman-Schlein
 + absorption corrections (S_G)
- Two-step factorization: Collinear factorization convolution of partonic subprocess and diffractive PDFs:

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma=f_i^D(x,Q^2,x_{\mathbb{P}},t)\otimes\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{sub}}(x,Q^2)$$

Proton-vertex factorization

Pomeron flux and its partonic structure:

$$f_i^D(x,Q^2,x_{\mathbb{P}},t)=f_{\mathbb{P}/p}(x_{\mathbb{P}},t)\cdot f_i(x/x_{\mathbb{P}},Q^2)$$



Phys.Lett.B 152 (1985) 256-260 Eur.Phys.J.C18:167-179,2000 AIP Conf.Proc. 1105 (2009) 1, 248-251

Two-gluon exchange

- pQCD framework applicable at sufficiently high *p*_T
- Dipole model $(\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q})$
- k_t factorization
- cross-section $\propto [x_{\mathbb{P}}G_g(x_{\mathbb{P}},Q^2)]^2$



Phys.Lett.B 379 (1996) 239-248 Phys.Lett.B 386 (1996) 389-396 Phys.Lett.B 406 (1997) 171-177

Soft Color Interaction

- Soft color exchange may change the topology of the created color string
- Hard process remains unaffected
- Natural emergence of rapidity gaps
- Similar concept used in the Generalized Area Law model (soft color exchange happens between the strings)



Phys.Lett. B366 (1996) 371-378 Phys.Rev. D64 (2001) 114015

Phenomenology perspective

Specifics of charm production:

- At LHC, **large cross-sections** are expected from QCD.
 - \rightarrow background can be reduced with special, low pile-up runs
 - \rightarrow identification of diffractive events possible with intact protons
- Lesson from data on inclusive charm production: QCD LO collinear approach works rather poorly – higher order corrections are needed (e.g. k_t factorization).
- ► There exists a wide range of model predictions (next slides).

Discovery potential:

- ► Tests of factorization theorem(s).
- ► Probing the nature of the Pomeron.
- Measurement of diffractive charm production may pin down the mechanism of diffractive production large differences in predicted cross-sections.

Single Diffraction

1. Singe diffraction, \mathbb{P} -*p* process

$$\sigma(h_1h_2 \to XQ\bar{Q}Y_h_2) = \int dx_1 \int dx_2 \ g_1(x_1,\mu^2) \ g_2^D(x_2,\mu^2) \ \hat{\sigma}(gg \to Q\bar{Q})$$

- ► The dominant contribution in SD processes at the LHC.
- ► Gay Ducati et al., Phys.Rev.D 81 (2010) 054034
 - 14 TeV, Resolved Pomeron, $\sigma_{\gamma p} = 178 \ \mu b \ (R_{c\bar{c}} = 2.3\%)$
- Kopeliovich *et al.*, Phys.Rev.D 76 (2007) 034019: Dipole, Leading Twist Mechanisms
- Luszczak *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 91, 054024 (2015):

Resolved Pomeron, 14 TeV, |y| < 2.5, $p_T > 3.5$ GeV, $D^0 + \overline{D^0}$, $\sigma_{\mathbb{P}p} = 3555$ nb.

- ► Łuszczak *et al.*, JHEP 02 (2017) 089:
 - $\overline{k_t}$ -factorization, 13 TeV, |y| < 2.1, $p_T > 3.5$ GeV, $D^0 + \overline{D^0}$, $\sigma_{\mathbb{P}p}^{SD} = 3-4 \ \mu b$
- ► Siddikov *et al.*, Phys.Rev.D 102 (2020) 7, 076020: Dipole Model, 13 TeV, $R_{c\bar{c}}=1.6\% \rightarrow \sigma_{\mathbb{P}p} \approx 135\mu b$ predictions regarding charged particle multiplicity dependence
- 2. Single diffraction, γ -p process

$$\sigma(h_1 h_2 \to X Q \bar{Q}_h h_2) = \int dx_1 \int dx_2 \ g_1(x_1, \mu^2) \ \gamma_2(x_2, \mu^2) \ \hat{\sigma}(\gamma g \to Q \bar{Q})$$

- Strong electromagnetic fields arising around the proton due to relativistic effects may interact directly
 with the partons inside the proton.
- Goncalves *et al*, Nucl.Phys.A 976 (2018) 33-45: 13 TeV, |y| < 10, Dipole Model, $\sigma_{\gamma p} = 1030$ (b-CGC) — 1140 (IP-SAT) nb





Central Diffraction



3. Central diffraction with double $\mathbb P$ exchange

$$\sigma(\boldsymbol{h_1}\boldsymbol{h_2} \to \boldsymbol{h_1}_\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\bar{Q}}\boldsymbol{Y}_\boldsymbol{h_2}) = \int dx_1 \int dx_2 \ g_1^D(x_1,\mu^2) \ g_2^D(x_2,\mu^2) \ \boldsymbol{\hat{\sigma}}(gg \to \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\bar{Q}})$$

- Gay Ducati, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 83, 014903 (2011): 14 TeV, Resolved Pomeron $\sigma_{\mathbb{PP}} = 13.6 \ \mu b \ (R_{c\bar{c}} = 0.17\%)$
- ► Łuszczak *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 91, 054024 (2015):
 - 14 TeV, Resolved Pomeron, |y| < 2.5, $p_T > 3.5$ GeV, $D^0 + \overline{D^0}$, $\sigma_{\mathbb{PP}} = 177$ nb.

4. Central diffraction in γ , \mathbb{P} exchange

$$h_1$$

 γ
 c
 c
 c
 h_2
 h_2
 h_2
 h_2

$$\sigma(\boldsymbol{h}_1\boldsymbol{h}_2 \to \boldsymbol{h}_1 _ Q\bar{Q}Y_\boldsymbol{h}_2) = \int dx_1 \int dx_2 \ \gamma_1(x_1,\mu^2) \ g_2^D(x_2,\mu^2) \ \hat{\sigma}(\gamma g \to Q\bar{Q})$$

- ► Goncalves *et al*, Nucl.Phys.A 1000 (2020) 121862: pp @ 13 TeV, Exclusive, $|\eta| < 2.5$, Dipole Model $\sigma_{\gamma \mathbb{P}} = 83.2$ -117.9 nb
- ► Goncalves *et al*, Phys.Rev.D 85 (2012) 054019: pp @ 14 TeV, Dipole Model, $\sigma_{\gamma P} = 161$ nb pp @ 14 TeV, Resolved Pomeron, $\sigma_{\gamma P} = 1208$ nb

Central Diffraction (contd.)



5. Central exclusive production in the electromagnetic channel

$$\sigma(h_1h_2 \to h_1 _Q\bar{Q}_h_2) = \int dx_1 \int dx_2 \ \gamma_1(x_1,\mu^2) \ \gamma_2(x_2,\mu^2) \ \hat{\sigma}(\gamma\gamma \to Q\bar{Q})$$

• The term $\hat{\sigma}(\gamma\gamma \to Q\bar{Q})$ is heavily suppressed due to presence of two EM vertices, thus it is not expected to contribute significantly to the signal measured experimentally.



 $\sigma(h_1h_2 \to h_1 _Q\bar{Q}_h_2) \propto \ \hat{\sigma}(gg \to Q\bar{Q})$

- Maciuła *et al.*, Phys.Lett.B 685 (2010) 165-169: 2 TeV: $R_{c\bar{c}} = 1\%$
- ► Gay Ducati, et al., Phys. Rev. C 83, 014903 (2011):
- 14 TeV: $\sigma_{\mathbb{PP}} = 0.53 \ \mu b \ (R_{c\bar{c}} = 0.007\%)$



Measurement

ATLAS

- Low-p_T charged particle tracking (down to 100 MeV)
- Calorimeter acceptance |η| < 4.9 (rapidity gaps)
- ► Dedicated triggers
- Advanced vertex & track reconstruction software



//// //// →

AFP

- Forward proton tagging with Roman Pot technology
- ► 3D pixel silicon tracker → precise reco. of kinematics
- Acceptance: $0.02 \leq \xi = 1 - E_{\text{proton}}/E_{\text{beam}} \leq 0.15$
- ► High efficiency, low background

Targeted decay modes:

- $\blacktriangleright D^{*\pm} \to D_0 \pi \to K \pi \pi$
- ► $D^{\pm} \rightarrow K\pi\pi$
- ► $D_s^{\pm} \to KK\pi$
- $\Lambda_C \to pK\pi$

Measurement Feasibility

Excellent data to be studied:

- ► LHC Run 2 (2017): 100 nb⁻¹ at µ ~ 0.05, 500 nb⁻¹ at µ ~ 0.3, 650 nb⁻¹ at µ ~ 1, 150 pb⁻¹ at µ ~ 2.
- ► LHC Run 3 (2022): 0.46 nb⁻¹ at µ ~ 0.005 34.6 nb⁻¹ at µ ~ 0.05 170 nb⁻¹ at µ ~ 0.02
- ► LHC Run 3 (2023): $175 \text{ nb}^{-1} \text{ at } \mu \sim 1$ $29 \text{ nb}^{-1} \text{ at } \mu \sim 0.2$ $61 \text{ nb}^{-1} \text{ at } \mu \sim 0.05$

 Feasibility studied with simulations (JHEP 02 (2017) 089)



• Dedicated triggers: track with min. $p_T = 2, 4, 6, 8 \text{ GeV}/c$, single-side tag in AFP track with min. $p_T = 2, 4, 6, 8 \text{ GeV}/c$, double-sides tag in AFP

First look at Pythia8 A3 simulation:

- ► The p_T spectrum of *D* mesons is quite soft $\langle p_T \rangle \approx 2.5$ GeV/*c* for single diffraction
- Average number of charm hadrons with $|\eta| < 2.5$ is 1.77