Fermionic Portal to Vector Dark Matter (FPVDM)

Alexander Belyaev

Southampton University & Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

AB, Deandrea, Moretti, Panizzi, Ross, Thongyoi – arXiv:2204.03510,2203.04681
AB, Panizzi, Thongyoi – to appear

Roadmap of Dark Matter Models for Run 3
CERN
May 13-17, 2024

Theory Space with Dark Matter



Vector DM and Vector-Like Fermionic Portal

- Higgs portal : the parameter space for minimal scenarios is almost excluded
- **Vector Like(VL) fermionic portal for Vector Dark Matter**
  - $SU(2)_D$ gauge triplet (new dark gauge) V_μ^D
  - Complex scalar doublet charged under $SU(2)_D$ Φ_D – to break gauge group
  - Vector-Like fermion doublet of $SU(2)_D$ Ψ – to "talk" to SM

Vector DM and Vector-Like Fermionic Portal

- Higgs portal : the parameter space for minimal scenarios is almost excluded
- **Vector Like(VL) fermionic portal for Vector Dark Matter**
  - $SU(2)_D$ gauge triplet (new dark gauge) V_μ^D
  - Complex scalar doublet charged under $SU(2)_D$ Φ_D – to break gauge group
  - Vector-Like fermion doublet of $SU(2)_D$ Ψ – to "talk" to SM
  - we assign the "dark charge" to the components of the doublets, e.g. $Q_D = T_D^3 + Y_D$ and require its conservation
  - $SU(2)_D \times U(1)_{\rm glob} \to U(1)^d_{\rm glob}$ pattern of dark sector breaking
  - $\mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroup can be defined as: $(-1)^{Q_D}$

Vector DM and Vector-Like Fermionic Portal

	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	$SU(2)_D$	Q_D	Z_2
$\Phi_D = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{D\,+\frac{1}{2}} \\ \phi_{D\,-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ H_D^+ + v_D \end{pmatrix}$	1	0	2	$+1$ 0	$-$ $+$
$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_D \\ \Psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{F} \\ F \end{pmatrix}$	1	Q_{EM}	2	$+1$ 0	$-$ $+$
$V_\mu^D = \begin{pmatrix} V_\mu^{D+} \\ V_\mu^{D\,0} \\ V_\mu^{D-} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{V}_D^+ \\ V' \\ \tilde{V}_D^- \end{pmatrix}$	1	0	3	$+1$ 0 -1	$-$ $+$ $-$

- If we chose $Y_D = +1/2$ for Φ_D and Ψ then we have

$$y' \bar{\Psi}_L \Phi_D f_R^{\rm SM} + y'' \bar{\Psi}_L \Phi_D^c f_R^{\rm SM} + h.c$$

***y″* is eliminated under the requirement of Q_D conservation, DM is established!**

Fermionic Portal for Vector Dark Matter (FPVDM)

- It is the framework, representing the class of models
  (Deandrea, Moretti, Panizzi, Ross, Thongyoi, AB – arXiv:2204.03510,2203.04681)

- Various realisations are possible, including one or several VL fermions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{FPVDM} &= -\frac{1}{4}(V^i_{D\mu\nu})^2 + \bar{\Psi} i D \Psi + |D_\mu \Phi_D|^2 - V(\Phi_H, \Phi_D) \\
&- (y'_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\Psi}^{i\alpha}_L \Phi_D f^{\mathrm{SM}\beta}_R + h.c) - {M_\Psi}^{ij} \bar{\Psi}^i \Psi^j \\
V(\Phi_H, \Phi_D) &= -\mu_H^2 \Phi_H^\dagger \Phi_H - \mu_D^2 \Phi_D^\dagger \Phi_D + \lambda_H (\Phi_H^\dagger \Phi_H)^2 \\
&+ \lambda_D (\Phi_D^\dagger \Phi_D)^2 + \lambda_{HD} (\Phi_H^\dagger \Phi_H)(\Phi_D^\dagger \Phi_D)
\end{aligned}$$

- $y'_{\alpha\beta}$ can have a flavour structure – to explain flavour anomalies
- λ_{HD} can be negligible at tree-level, DM can be well-generated via FP
- the model with $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{T} \\ T \end{pmatrix}$ and $\lambda_{HD} = 0$ was explored

Minimal VL top portal VDM: collider signatures

Process	Representative diagrams
mono-jet (only loop)	
$t\bar{t} + E_T^{\rm miss}$	
$t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$	
hV' and $V'V'$ (only loop)	

FPVDM model with $\Psi_M = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{M} \\ M' \end{pmatrix}$, the partner of muon

$\mathcal{L}_{\mu PVDM} \supset -y' \bar{\Psi}_{ML} \Phi_D \mu_R + h.c$ with $\tilde{V}_D,\ V',\ H_D,\ M',\ \tilde{M}$

- has potential to explain DM relic density and $(g\text{-}2)_\mu$ anomaly

FPVDM model with $\Psi_M = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{M} \\ M' \end{pmatrix}$, the partner of muon

$\mathcal{L}_{\mu PVDM} \supset -y' \bar{\Psi}_{ML} \Phi_D \mu_R + h.c$ with $\tilde{V}_D,\ V',\ H_D,\ M',\ \tilde{M}$

- has potential to explain DM relic density and $(g\text{-}2)_\mu$ anomaly
- one should ensure
  - consistency with DD and ID DM search experiments
  - consistency with collider searches

FPVDM model with $\Psi_M = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{M} \\ M' \end{pmatrix}$, the partner of muon

$\mathcal{L}_{\mu PVDM} \supset -y'\bar{\Psi}_{ML}\Phi_D\mu_R + h.c$ with $\tilde{V}_D,\ V',\ H_D,\ M',\ \tilde{M}$

- has potential to explain DM relic density and $(g\text{-}2)_\mu$ anomaly
- one should ensure
  - consistency with DD and ID DM search experiments
  - consistency with collider searches
- Parameter space ($\lambda_{HD} = 0$ for simplicity): $g_D, m_{V_D}, m_{H_D}, m_{M'}, m_{\tilde{M}}$
- Interactions+mixing:





$y'\bar{\Psi}_L\Phi_D f_R^{\rm SM} + h.c$

FPVDM model with $\Psi_M = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{M} \\ M' \end{pmatrix}$, the partner of muon

$\mathcal{L}_{\mu PVDM} \supset -y' \bar{\Psi}_{ML} \Phi_D \mu_R + h.c$ with $\tilde{V}_D,\ V',\ H_D,\ M',\ \tilde{M}$

- has potential to explain DM relic density and $(g\text{-}2)_\mu$ anomaly
- one should ensure
  - consistency with DD and ID DM search experiments
  - consistency with collider searches
- Parameter space ($\lambda_{HD} = 0$ for simplicity): $g_D, m_{V_D}, m_{H_D}, m_{M'}, m_{\tilde{M}}$
- Interactions+mass corrections:



$$m_{V_D} - m_{V'} = \frac{g_D^2 m_{M'}^2}{32\pi^2 m_{V_D}} \left(\frac{m_{M'}^2 - m_{\tilde{M}}^2}{m_{M'}^2} \right)^2$$

The status of $(g-2)_\mu$ and our approach here

- The combined experimental value from BNL +FNAL(from August 2023):

$$a_\mu^{\rm EXP} = 116592059(22) \times 10^{-11}$$

- The SM Theory Initiative 2020 prediction [arXiv:2006.04822] provides

$$a_\mu^{\rm SM} = 116591810(43) \times 10^{-11}$$

- Combining above numbers, one concludes one finds 5.1σ SM vs EXP discrepancy

$$\Delta a_\mu = a_\mu^{\rm EXP} - a_\mu^{\rm SM} = 249(48) \times 10^{-11}$$

- Theory: for three contributions to $(g-2)\mu$ – QED, EW and Hadronic – the Hadronic Vacuum Polarisation (HVP) is taken from the experimental data and it has the biggest contribution to the uncertainty

- Recent CMD3 results [arXiv:2302.08834] adds and additional intrigue here

- Of course recent Lattice results from BMW [Nature 593, 51 (2021)] must be add here



- $(g-2)\mu$ is an important puzzle to be solved including discrepancy between HVP from e+e- data and Lattice
- In our study we take Δa_μ as a real effect to be explained within our μFPVDM model

The contribution to $(g-2)_\mu$ from μPVDM



a



b



c



d



e

The contribution to $(g-2)_\mu$ from μPVDM x $\frac{g_D^2}{96\pi^2}\frac{m_\mu^2}{m_{V_D}^2}$



a

$\sim -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta M^2}{M^2}$



b

$\sim +\frac{m_\mu^2 \Delta M^4}{m_{H_D}^2 M^4}$



c

$\sim +\frac{1}{4}\frac{\Delta M^2}{M^2}$



d

$\sim -\frac{2\Delta M^4}{M^4}$



e

$\sim +\frac{\Delta M^2}{2M^2}$

$\Delta M^2 = m^2_{M'} - m^2_{\tilde{M}}$

$M = m_{M'}$

$M \gg m_{V_D}$ was used

$(g\text{-}2)_\mu$ results from scan of $g_D, m_{V_D}, m_{H_D}, m_{M'}, m_{\tilde{M}}$ space

$$\Delta\hat{a}_\mu = (\Delta a_\mu^{\mu PVDM} - \Delta a_\mu)/\sigma_{a_\mu} \equiv \frac{\Delta a_\mu^{\mu PVDM} - 249}{48} \times 10^{-11}$$





- Δa_μ can be explained within μFPVDM model $(g_D/m_{V_D} \sim 0.1)$
- $g_D - m_{V_D}$ correlation can be clearly observed as predicted by analytical calculations
- For $m_{M'} > 1$ TeV it is hard (but possible) to explain Δa_μ because of $1/m_{M'}^2$ suppression

We also aim to explain DM relic density & to be consistent with DM DD and ID as well as with collider searches

Correct Relic density: efficient (co) annihilation
annihilation to photons can affect CMB

$$\Omega_{\rm DM}^{\rm Planck} h^2 = 0.12 \pm 0.0012$$



$$P_{\rm ann} < 3.2 \times 10^{-28} \frac{\rm cm^3}{\rm s\,GeV}$$

$$P_{\rm ann} = \sum_j \frac{f_j^{\rm eff} \langle \sigma v \rangle_j}{M_{\rm DM}} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\rm DM}}{\Omega_{\rm DM}^{\rm Planck}} \right)^2$$





We also aim to explain DM relic density & to be consistent with DM DD and ID as well as with collider searches

Correct Relic density: efficient (co) annihilation
annihilation to photons can affect CMB

$$\Omega_{\rm DM}^{\rm Planck} h^2 = 0.12 \pm 0.0012$$



$$P_{\rm ann} < 3.2 \times 10^{-28} \frac{\rm cm^3}{\rm s\,GeV}$$

$$P_{\rm ann} = \sum_j \frac{f_j^{\rm eff} \langle \sigma v \rangle_j}{M_{\rm DM}} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\rm DM}}{\Omega_{\rm DM}^{\rm Planck}} \right)^2$$







Tools used

- **DM DD, ID, Relic density**
  LanHEP,CalcHEP, micrOMEGAs
- **Collider searches**
  CalcHEP, MC@NLO, PYTHIA, DELPHES, MadAnalysis, CHECKMATE

Cosmological constraints on μPVDM parameter space



$$\Omega h^2 \propto \frac{M_{V_D}^2}{g_D^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{eff}(T_f)}}$$

$$g_D \sim \sqrt{M_{V_D}} / [g_{eff}(T_f)]^{\frac{1}{8}}$$



Cosmological constraints on μPVDM parameter space



Cosmological constraints on μPVDM parameter space







- **both DM ID and DD exclusions play an important complementary role**





$m_{\tilde{M}} > 100$ GeV

Applied to respect LEP constraints

m_{HD} vs m_{VD} and m_{VD} vs m_M planes









Combining (g-2)$_\mu$ and DM constraints



$g_D \sim m_{V_D}$

"trajectory" to explain Δa_μ



$\Omega h^2 \propto \frac{M_{V_D}^2}{g_D^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{eff}(T_f)}}$

$g_D \sim \sqrt{M_{V_D}} / [g_{eff}(T_f)]^{\frac{1}{8}}$

Ωh^2_{PLANCK}
"trajectory" to explain DM relic density



- (g-2) and DM relic density allowed bands have different slopes crossing at **0.1 – 1 GeV**
  - "dark photon"(V') kind of region
  - New collider signatures (see below)
  - very intriguing to explore further for GW effects and explaining NANOGrav results

Final very set important constraints: colliders



$$pp \to \tilde{M}^- \tilde{M}^+ \to \tilde{V}_D \tilde{V}_D \mu^+ \mu^-$$

- Madgraph + PTHIA+Delphes + Madanalysis
- $\tilde{M} > 600$ GeV comes from the main $\mu^+\mu^- + MET$





- surviving region after all constraints

The parameter space and signatures after all constraints





exciting new signatures: 10μ, 8μ, 6μ(+MET), 4μ(+MET), 2μ+MET:
hi-PT pairs of muons (from V' decay) + single high-pT muons

The parameter space and signatures after all constraints



Inputs/Observables	BP1	BP2	BP3
g_D	0.003	0.003	0.003
m_{V_D} [GeV]	0.28	0.28	0.28
m_{μ_D} [GeV]	800	900	1000
$m_{\mu'}$ [GeV]	1000	1200	1400
m_{H_D} [GeV]	0.677	0.677	0.677
$m_{V'}$ [GeV]	0.2756	0.2706	0.2637
$Br(\mu' \to V'\mu)$	0.383	0.342	0.318
$Br(\mu' \to H_D\mu)$	0.371	0.319	0.282
$Br(\mu' \to V_D\mu_D)$	0.246	0.339	0.4
$Br(H_D \to V_D V_D^*)$	0.639	0.612	0.575
$Br(H_D \to V'V')$	0.352	0.375	0.409
$Br(H_D \to \mu^+\mu^-)$	9.24×10^{-3}	1.31×10^{-2}	1.54×10^{-2}
$Br(V' \to \mu^+\mu^-)$	~1	~1	~1
$Br(\mu' \to V'\mu \to 3\mu)$	0.383	0.342	0.318
$Br(\mu' \to H_D\mu \to 5\mu)$	0.131	0.12	0.115
$\sigma_{\rm tot}(pp \to \mu'\mu')$ [fb]	6.499×10^{-2}	1.867×10^{-2}	6.32×10^{-3}
$N_{\rm event}(pp \to 6\mu)$	2.86	0.655	0.192
$N_{\rm event}(pp \to 8\mu)$	0.978	0.23	0.069
$N_{\rm event}(pp \to 10\mu)$	0.335	0.08	0.025

assuming 300 fb^{-1} for the integrated luminosity

The model predicts sub-GeV V′ bosons which look like dark-photons, but not quite...

- V′ bosons **have kinetic mixing** with photons and Z-bosons similarly to dark-photons
- At the same time V′ bosons have **significant coupling to SM fermion** which is the partner of VL dark fermion
- As a result, V′ bosons will can promptly decay (if kinematically allowed) to SM fermions **avoiding existing bound on dark-photons: requires dedicated analysis**



JHEP 09 (2023) 035, 2303.08666 [hep-ex]

Inputs/Observables	BP1	BP2	BP3
$\tau_{V'}$ [ns]	1.10×10^{-6}	7.85×10^{-7}	6.77×10^{-7}
$\ell_{V'}$ [μm]	0.33γ	0.24γ	0.20γ
$\epsilon_{AV'}$	1.13×10^{-5}	1.39×10^{-5}	1.578×10^{-5}



Summary on FPVDM

- FPVDM is a very promising new framework for VDM, not requiring Higgs portal
- Incorporates many possibilities with new collider and cosmological implications
  - great potential to explain dark matter
  - collider signatures: ff+ET miss, V', Z'H, long-lived V'
- Great potential to explore flavour, was not deliberately designed for this
- Models available at **hepmdb.soton.ac.uk**
- The model with VL partner of muon – the paper to appear (AB, Panizzi, Thongyoi)
  - **can explain relic density and Δa_μ**
  - collider constraints + simultaneous explanation of DM requires a very specific parameter space: **M_{DM}~ 0.2 GeV, M_{HD} ~ 2 M_{DM}, g_D ~ 0.01** to avoid DM ID (CMB constraints)
  - Provides multi-lepton signatures, including di-lepton boosted pairs (up to 10 muons)
  - Has non-standard "dark-photon" (V'), which avoids present experimental constraints and requires dedicated searches
  - The low DM mass range makes it interesting for the connection to GW data from NANOGrav