#### **Machine Learning Activities in SFT**

#### Lorenzo Moneta





### Introduction

New project in SFT for common ML activities

- ML4EP: provide service and support to the experiment on common ML issues
- Initiated by building on existing ML activities:
  - ML for fast simulation
  - ML software in ROOT
    - **SOFIE** (ML inference)
    - Batch generator

Simulation Tools Geant4	Analysis And Processing Tools ROOT	Software Distribution Tools CernVM-FS		
ML4EP				
Software Stacks / SPI				
Coordination Activities (HSF, EP R&D,)				





## **Fast Simulation Activities**



FullSim





FastSim



## **Fast Simulation Activities**

#### ML Models developed in CERN EP-SFT group

(Anna Zaborowska, Piyush Raikwar, Peter Mckeown, Renato Paulo Da Costa Cardoso)

Variational Autoencoder

- published with Geant4 release in example Par04
- small and quick to train
- reproduces well average shower variables (total energy, profile and moments)
- but blurry deposits





### **Fast Simulation Activities**

#### Transformer based models

- focusing on modelling well cell-level variables as well as exploring generalisation power to multiple detectors
- Vector-quantized VAE + autoregressive: (see <u>CHEP 2023</u> presentation)
- More promising diffusion model, CaloDiT (see <u>ACAT 2024</u> presentation)





## **Diffusion Models for Simulation**



Data

Noise



#### Normalizing Flows

#### Use of diffusion models for simulation

- Investigating transformer based architecture
  - A generalised architecture working with any type of data
  - Modelling long-range dependencies (attention mechanism)
- but longer time to evaluate the model



## Plans for Fast Simulation Developments

Continue development of transformer based models

- aim to have best single-geometry diffusion model
- work on inference optimisation
- extend to different geometries and test its adaptation capabilities
- Work in collaboration with experiments
  - ATLAS: test VAE and transformer based modes
  - CMS: test transformer based model on HGCal
  - LHCb: develop best working model for hadronic showers

Community effort: CaloChallenge and Open Data detector



# Machine Learning Inference





## Motivation

#### Fast Evaluation of Machine Learning models is more and more relevant

- ML tools like Tensorflow/PyTorch have functionality for inference
  - can run only for their models
  - usage in a C++ environment can be cumbersome
  - require heavy dependence
- A standard for describing deep learning models:
  - ONNX ("Open Neural Network Exchange")
  - cannot describe all possible deep learning models (e.g. GNN) fully
- ONNXRuntime: an efficient inference engine based on ONNX
  - can work in both C++ and Python
  - supporting both CPU and GPU
  - can be challenging to integrate in the HEP ecosystem
    - control of threads, dependencies, etc..
    - not optimised for single-event evaluation



ONNX





## Idea for Inference Code Generation

- An inference engine that...
  - Input: trained ONNX model file
    - Common standard for ML models
    - Supported by PyTorch natively
    - Converters available for Tensorflow and Keras



- Output: Generated C++ code that hard-codes the inference function
  - Easily invokable directly from other C++ project (plug-and-use)
  - Minimal dependency (on BLAS only)
  - Can be compiled on the fly using Cling JIT

#### **SOFIE : System for Optimised Fast Inference code Emit**



#### SOFIE

Outputs

1. Weight File



2. C++ header file



### **Code Generation**



## Using the Generated code: in C++

SOFIE generated code can be easily used in compiled C++ code





## Using the Generated code: in Python

Code can be compiled using ROOT Cling and used in C++ interpreter or Python

```
import ROOT
# compile generate SOFIE code using ROOT interpreter
ROOT.gInterpreter.Declare('#include "Model.hxx"')
# create session class
s = ROOT.TMVA_SOFIE_Model.Session('model_weights.dat')
#-- event loop
# evaluate the model , input can be a numpy array
# of type float32
result = s.infer(input)
```



14

## SOFIE Integration with RDataFrame

- SOFIE Inference code provides a Session class with this signature: vector<float> ModelName::Session::infer(float\* input);
- **RDataFrame**(RDF) interface requires a functor with this signature: FunctorObj::operator()(T x1, T x2, T x3,....);
- Have a generic functor class adapting SOFIE signature to RDF: SofieFunctor<N, Session>
  - supporting multi-thread evaluation, using the RDF slots

```
ROOT::RDataFrame df("tree", "inputDataFile.root");
auto h1 = df.DefineSlot("DNN_Value",
SofieFunctor<7,TMVA_SOFIE_higgs_model_dense::Session>(nslots),
{"m_jj", "m_jjj", "m_lv", "m_jlv", "m_bb", "m_wbb", "m_wwbb"}).
Histo1D("DNN_Value");
h1->Draw();
```



#### **GPU Extension of SOFIE**

#### Extend SOFIE functionality to produce GPU code using SYCL

// generate SYCL code internally
model.GenerateGPU();
// write output header and data weight file
model.OutputGeneratedGPU();





Performance considerations

- Minimise overhead of data transfers between host and device
  - implement all on GPU and transfer data only at the beginning and at the end of the computation
- Manage buffers efficiently, declaring them at the beginning
- Use libraries for GPU Offloading:
  - GPU BLAS implementation from Intel oneAPI and portBLAS for other GPUs
- Fuse operators when possible (e.g. a layer op. with activation) in a single kernel
- Replace conditional check with relational functions
  - ensure work items do not execute different paths

17

## **ONNX** Supported Operators

Operators implemented in ROOT	CPU	GPU
Perceptron: Gemm	√	✓
Activations: Relu, Selu, Sigmoid, Softmax, Tanh, LeakyRelu	~	✓
Convolution (1D, 2D and 3D)	~	✓
Recurrent: RNN, GRU, LSTM	~	
Pooling: MaxPool, AveragePool, GlobalAverage	~	✓
Deconvolution (1D,2D,3D)	$\checkmark$	✓
Layer Unary operators: Neg, Exp, Sqrt, Reciprocal, Identity	~	✓
Layer Binary operators: Add, Sum, Mul, Div	✓	✓
Reshape, Flatten, Transpose, Squeeze, Unsqueeze, Slice, Concat, Reduce, Gather	✓	✓
BatchNormalization, LayerNormalization	√	✓
Custom operator	✓	

- current CPU support available in ROOT 6.30
- GPU/SYCL is implemented in a <u>ROOT PR</u>



### **CPU Benchmark for Different Models**

#### Test CPU event performance of SOFIE vs ONNXRuntime

(using batch size = 1)



Smaller = Better

## Performance on CPU vs GPU









Using ResNet model

(rather heavier model, > 10 conv. layers with images sizes ~ 200x200)

Varying Batch size

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Added SOFIE support GNN models

- Initiated with a network developed by LHCb:
  - Message Passing GNN built and trained using the DeepMind's Graph Nets library
    - model plan to be used in LHCb trigger using full event interpretation (see ACAT2024 contribution)
    - important to have efficient implementation and with minimal dependencies
- Available now in ROOT from version 6.32
  - supporting a dynamic number of nodes/edges

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

## SOFIE GNN Support

Developed C++ classes for representing GNN structure.

- based on SOFIE RModel and the ROperator classes developed for supporting ONNX.
- SOFIE classes provide the functionality to generate C++ inference code
- Python code (based on PyROOT) for initialising SOFIE classes from the Graph Nets models

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Benchmark of SOFIE GNN

Test inference performance of a toy architecture from LHCb

scaling number of nodes and edges

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Future Steps**

#### Integrate SOFIE in fast simulation pipelines

- supporting first VAE model
- looking also at FastCaloGAN in ATLAS
- Future developments (e.g. new operators) according to user needs and the received feedback
  - starting developments to support transformer models
- Continuing the support for different types of GPUs
  - plan to extend to ALPAKA (used by CMS) given some interest to deploy SOFIE in GPUbased trigger systems
- Want to support inference for ML models of the experiments in cases that are difficult to implement or require heavy dependencies
  - don't want to compete with existing industry tools for training
- Develop a complete benchmark (CPU time and memory) for models used by experiments and fast simulations
  - will guide experiments to choose the optimal tool for their used models

## **Other Activities**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

### RBatchGenerator: Batching ROOT files

Serving tensors to ML training pipelines (ongoing R&D)

- Generate batches directly from a ROOT file
- As fast as traditional ML software
- Scales to very large file sizes
- Easy to add to workflow

![](_page_26_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_7.jpeg)

- SFT is hosting common activities of Next Gen Trigger projects
  - Work on tools such as **hls4ml** (for DL) and **Conifer** (BDT) to develop ML to FPGA model synthesis tools, addressing the needs of the experiments.
  - Develop the software infrastructure needed to enable hardware-aware neural network training workflows.
     This work will enable the development and deployment of hardware-optimal AI-based real-time algorithms.

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

### LHC Experiment Data Flow

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

ML in trigger and sensor applications must be implemented in FPGAs or custom ASICs
 Must be robust to noise and radiation and meet high throughput low latency requirements

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### high level synthesis for machine learning

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

from V. Lonchar at 24th IEEE Real-Time Conference

## Using Large Language Models (LLM)

#### • AccGPT: A CERN Chatbot

- aim to be better than ChatGPT for specific CERN use case
- being developed in collaboration between CERN IT and ATS

#### The AccGPT pipeline:

• Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG).

![](_page_30_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Based on two models:

- 1. Embedding model:
  - A pretrained open source model (e5large).
  - Retrieves "relevant content" from database.
- 2. Large Language Model (LLM):
  - A pretrained open source GPT model (LLaMA 2 13B).
  - Formulates responses using the "relevant content".

#### Accompanied by a self-created knowledge data base.

CERN

last IML meeting (April, 9) dedicated to LLM

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### AI/ML is fundamental for experiments

- New ML4EP project provides a place for sharing common AI/ML expertise within SFT and its stakeholders
  - Avoiding duplicating efforts
  - Can focus on supporting main activities and integrate new ones (e.g HLS4ML funded by NGT project)
  - Will foster the collaboration with IT and the AI/ML group of ATS

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Backup Slides

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

### SOFIE: Example Notebooks and Tutorials

- Example notebooks on using SOFIE:
  - https://github.com/Imoneta/tmva-tutorial/tree/master/sofie
- Tutorials are also available in the <u>tutorial/tmva</u> directory
- Link to SOFIE code in current ROOT master in GitHub
- Link to PR implementing SOFIE to SYCL code generation
- Link to benchmarks in rootbench

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Dataset

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

We utilize a <u>dataset</u> similar<sup>1</sup> to "CaloChallenge Dataset 3". (<u>Talk</u> at CHEP'23)

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

For the shown preliminary results, we use the following subset (~100k samples):

- Angle of incident e<sup>-</sup> = 70°, 80°, 90°
- Energy of incident e<sup>-</sup> = 64, 128, 256 GeV
- Sampling calorimeter with silicon and tungsten layers<sup>2</sup> (SiW)

from P. Raikwar (CHEP 2023)

<sup>1</sup>More incident angles and discrete energy spectrum <sup>2</sup>Layer thickness: 0.3 mm + 1.4 mm for Si & W respectively