Demin Zhou

Accelerator theory group, Accelerator laboratory, KEK

ICFA mini workshop: Beam-Beam Effects in Circular Colliders BB24 EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland, Sep. 3, 2024

Outline

- Introduction
- Theory of beam-beam resonances for CW colliders
- Imperfections in the crab-waist transform
- Summary



Introduction

- Model of a CW collider ring
 - In terms of Lie maps, the one-turn map is \bullet

 $M = e^{-:H_R} e^{-:H_{S1}} e^{-:H_{S1}} e^{-:H_{A}} e^{-:H_{S2}} e^{-:H_{L}} e^{-:H_{bb}}$

- Sequence of elements:
 - H_R , H_L : right and left side of IR
 - H_{S1} , H_{S2} : first and second CW sextupole
 - H_A : arc and straight sections
 - H_{bb} : beam-beam kick at IP
- The one-turn map of an ideal CW collider ring is

$$M_i = e^{-:H_0:} e^{-:H_{cw}:} e^{-:H_{bb}:} e^{:H_{cw}:} \qquad H_{cw} = \frac{\chi}{2\tan(2\pi)}$$

- χ =1 for full CW strength
- H_0 is determined only by $\beta^*_{x,y,z}$ and $\nu_{x,y,z}$
- The imperfections in the CW transform are embedded within the Hamiltonians being analyzed.







Introduction

- An ideal CW collider
 - Beam distribution around the IP

$$\rho(\vec{r};s) = \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_x^{*2}} - \frac{y^2}{2\sigma_y^{2}(s)} - \frac{z^2}{2\sigma_z^2}}}{(2\pi)^{3/2} \sigma_x^* \sigma_y(s) \sigma_z} \qquad \sigma_y(s) = \sigma^* \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\beta_y^{*2}} \left(z + s + \frac{\chi x}{\tan(2\theta_c)}\right)^2}$$

- Features:
 - Flat beam: $R_0 = \sigma_y^* / \sigma_x^* \ll 1$
 - Large Piwinski angle: $\phi_0 = \sigma_z \tan \theta_c / \sigma_x^* \gg 1$
 - Small β_v^* : $\zeta_x = \sigma_x^* / (\beta_v^* \tan(2\theta_c)) \leq 0.5$
 - Working point per IP: fractional (ν_x, ν_y) around (0.5, 0.5)
- Important beam-beam resonances
 - Horizontal synchrobetatron resonances: $2\nu_x 2k\nu_z = N$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
 - Betatron resonance: $\nu_x + 2n\nu_y = N$, $n = \pm 1, \pm 2,...$
 - Vertical synchrobetatron resonances: $2\nu_v 2k\nu_z = N$, k = 1, 2, 3, ...
 - 3D synchrobetatron resonances: $m_x \nu_x + m_y \nu_y + m_z \nu_z = N$



- N.S. Dikansky and D.V. Pestrikov, NIM-A 600 (2009) 538-544
 - Beam-beam potential ullet

$$V_{bb} = -\frac{N_0 r_e R_0}{\pi \gamma} \iiint_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau dt_x dt_y \frac{\lambda(\tau)}{R_0^2 t_x^2 + t_y^2} e^{it_x(\tau + q_x + \phi_0 q_z) - it_y q_y} e^{-\frac{t_x^2}{2} - \frac{t_y^2}{2} (1 + \zeta_{x0}^2 (\tau + \phi_0 q_z)^2)}$$

Particle motion in the weak beam \bullet

$$x = \sqrt{2\beta_x^* J_x} \cos \psi_x, \quad y(s') = \sqrt{2\beta_y(s') J_y} \cos \phi_y(s'), \quad z = \sqrt{2\beta_z J_z} \cos \psi_z$$

Hourglass effect and CW transform

$$\beta_{y}(s') = \beta_{y}^{*} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta_{y}^{*2}} \left(s' + \frac{\chi x}{\tan(2\theta_{c})} \right)^{2} \right), \quad \phi_{y}(s') = \psi_{y} + \arctan\left(\frac{s' + \frac{\chi x}{\tan(2\theta_{c})}}{\beta_{y}^{*}} \right)$$

Beam-beam resonances

$$V_{bb}\delta(\theta) = \sum_{\vec{m},n} V_{m_x m_y m_z} e^{i(m_x \psi_x + m_y \psi_y + m_z \psi_z - n\theta)}, \quad V_{m_x m_y m_z} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \iiint_0^{2\pi} d\psi_x d\psi_y d\psi_z V_{bb} e^{-i(m_x \psi_x + m_y \psi_y + m_z \psi_z)}$$

$$\lambda(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\phi_0}} e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{2\phi_0^2}}$$

$$\zeta_{x0} = \sigma_{x0}^* / (\beta_{y0}^* \tan(2\theta_c))$$



- Horizontal synchrobetatron resonances
 - Amplitude \bullet

$$V_{m_{x}0m_{z}} \approx -\frac{N_{0}r_{e}}{\pi\gamma}i^{m_{x}+m_{z}}F_{m_{x}m_{z}}(A_{x},A_{z})$$

$$F_{m_{x}m_{z}}(A_{x},A_{z}) = \int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{dk}{k}e^{-\frac{k^{2}}{2}}J_{m_{x}}\left(\frac{kA_{x}}{\sqrt{\phi_{0}^{2}+1}}\right)J_{m_{z}}\left(\frac{k\phi_{0}A_{z}}{\sqrt{\phi_{0}^{2}+1}}\right)$$

Approximation for $\phi_0 \gg 1$ ullet

$$F_{2m_{z}}^{a} \approx \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{32\phi_{0}^{2}} A_{x}^{2} A_{z} e^{-\frac{A_{z}^{2}}{4}} \left[I_{\frac{m_{z}-1}{2}} \left(\frac{A_{z}^{2}}{4} \right) - I_{\frac{m_{z}+1}{2}} \left(\frac{A_{z}^{2}}{4} \right) \right]$$

- Findings \bullet
 - Excited resonances: $m_x + m_z = even$ -
 - **CW** does not suppress horizontal resonances
 - There is only one way to reduce the strength: Increasing ϕ_0





- Resonances with $m_y = 2q > 0$
 - Amplitude

$$V_{m_x m_y m_z} = -\frac{N_0 r_e R_0}{\pi \gamma (2\pi)^3} \iint_0^{2\pi} d\psi_x d\psi_z e^{-i(m_x \psi_x + m_z \psi_z)} \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dr \sqrt{1 + \zeta_x^2 (r + (\chi - 1)q_x)^2} \lambda (r - q_x - \phi_0 q_z) e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} \left(\frac{1 + i\zeta_x (r + (\chi - 1)q_x)}{1 - i\zeta_x (r + (\chi - 1)q_x)}\right)^q F_q(A_y)$$

$$\begin{split} F_q(A_y) &\approx \frac{(-1)^q}{4q^2 - 1} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-\frac{A_y^2}{4}} \left[(2 + 4q + A_y^2) I_q\left(\frac{A_y^2}{4}\right) + A_y^2 I_{q+1} \left(\frac{A_y^2}{4}\right) \right] \\ F_q(A_y) &\approx (-1)^q \frac{2A_y}{4q^2 - 1} \text{ for } A_y \gg 1 \end{split}$$

$$V_{m_x m_y m_z} \approx -\frac{N_0 r_e R_0}{\pi \gamma \phi_0} F_q(A_y) \overline{G}_{m_x m_y m_z}(A_x, A_z)$$

- Findings
 - $V_{m_x m_y m_z}$ is linearly proportional to A_y when $A_y \gg 1$



7

- Betatron resonances $V_{m_x m_y 0}$ with $m_y = 2q > 0$
 - Findings ullet
 - With CW, resonances with $m_x = 1, 3, 5, \dots$ will not be excited. -
 - Resonances with $m_x = 2, 4, 6, \dots$ will be significantly suppressed, but have a finite amplitude
 - The power of CW is to suppress betatron resonances $V_{m_x m_y 0}$

$$H_{cw} = \frac{\chi}{2\tan(2\theta_c)} x p_y^2$$



Dashed lines: with CW





- Vertical synchrobetatron resonances $V_{0m_ym_z}$ with $m_y = 2q > 0$
 - Findings
 - Resonances with $m_y = 2,4,6,\ldots$ and $m_z = 2,4,6,\ldots$ can be excited.
 - CW has some suppressive effect on particles with large horizontal amplitudes, but it is not effective in fully suppressing these resonances.



Conditions for the plot: $A_x = 5$, $\zeta_x = 0.5$, $\phi_0 = 10$ Solid lines: without CW Dashed lines: with CW



- 3D synchrobetatron resonances $V_{m_x m_y m_z}$ with $m_v = 2q > 0$
 - - Betatron resonance satellites can be excited



- Theory applied to interpret weak-strong beam-beam simulations Luminosity and beam sizes can be correlated with beam-beam resonances
 - $m_x \nu_x + m_y \nu_y + m_z \nu_z = N$
 - Consider the tunes as functions of many variables $\nu_{x\pm,y\pm,z\pm}(I_{b\pm}, I_{b\mp}, J_{x\pm,y\pm,z\pm}, \beta^*_{x\pm,y\pm}, \beta^*_{x\mp,y\mp}, \epsilon_{x\mp,y\mp}, \dots)$ due to multiple beam physics aspects.



[1] D. Zhou et al., <u>PRAB 26, 071001 (2023)</u>.







- Categorization of CW imperfections
 - *H*_{bb}
 - Dynamic beta and emittance
 - Synchrobetatron resonances
 - H_R, H_L
 - Phase advances between IP and CW sextupoles
 - IR nonlinearities
 - H_{S1}, H_{S2}
 - Residual nonlinear terms in CW transform -
 - Orbit offset at CW sextupoles
 - Dispersions at CW sextupoles
 - H_0
 - Linear IP aberrations (beta-beat, alpha, dispersion, couplings, etc.)
 - Chromatic IP aberrations
 - Impedance effects
- The impact of various CW imperfections can be analyzed through theoretical approaches, simulations, and experimental studies.

 $M = e^{-:H_R} e^{-:H_{S1}} e^{-:H_{S1}} e^{-:H_A} e^{-:H_{S2}} e^{-:H_L} e^{-:H_{bb}}$

$$M_{i} = e^{-:H_{0}:}e^{-:H_{cw}:}e^{-:H_{bb}:}e^{:H_{cw}:} \qquad H_{cw} = \frac{\chi}{2\tan(2\theta_{c})}$$

$$K_2 = \pm \frac{1}{\tan(2\theta_c)} \frac{1}{\beta_{y,crab}} \beta_y^* \sqrt{\frac{\beta_x^*}{\beta_{x,crab}}}$$







•	Linear IP aberrations	11
-		10.8
	Recognized to be important in KEKB	<u>(10.6</u>
	and SuperKEKB (K. Ohmi, eeFAC1'18)	10.4×ط
	 IP knobs are necessary to suppress 	10.2
	these ip aperations	0.9
	 Through simulations, tolerances can 	0.8 ب
	he defined in design stages of CW	- 0.7
		0.6,
	colliders	0.5
		11
		10.8
		ੰ ਸ਼ੂ 10.6
		ن 10.4 م
		10.2

0.9 0.8 ک⁰ 0.7 ک 0.6



Scan of IP couplings for SuperKEKB w/ and w/o CW (D. Zhou et al., IPAC'10)

- Nonlinear IP aberrations \bullet
 - Chromatic couplings were found important in KEKB (D. \bullet Zhou et al., PRST-AB 13, 021001 (2010)) and SuperKEKB
 - IP knobs are necessary to suppress these chromatic IP \bullet aberrations
 - Through simulations, tolerances can be defined in design lacksquarestages of CW colliders

Table 3: Tolerances for the linear and chromatic X-Y couplings at the IP of the SuperKEKB LER, assuming a rate of 20% luminosity degradation.

Parameter	w/ crab waist	w/o crab waist
r_1^* (mrad)	± 5.3	± 3.5
$r_{2}^{*}\left(mm ight)$	± 0.18	± 0.13
$r_3^* (m^{-1})$	± 55	± 15
r_4^* (rad)	± 1.4	± 0.4
$r_{11}(rad)$	± 2.3	± 2.0
$r_{21}(m)$	± 0.09	± 0.07
$r_{31} (m^{-1})$	± 11000	± 9400
r_{41} (rad)	±430	± 280





Scan of $dR_{\Lambda}^*/d\delta$ for KEKB (D. Zhou et al., PRAST-AB (2010))



Scan of $dR_4^*/d\delta$ for SuperKEKB (D. Zhou et al., IPAC'10)

- Nonlinear IR at SuperKEKB
 - Extremely small $\beta_v^* \to$ Nonlinear effects from kinematic term of IP drift and fringe fields of final focus (FF) high detector background [5].
 - and lattice nonlinearity $[7,8] \rightarrow$ Imperfect CW due to nontransparent IR [2].



[6] M. Masuzawa, IPAC'22. [7] D. Zhou et al., "Beam Dynamics Issues in the SuperKEKB". [8] K. Hirosawa et al., J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1067 062004 (2018).

quadrupoles [1] \rightarrow Fundamental limit on dynamic aperture and lifetime [1,2,3] \rightarrow Poor injection efficiency [4] and

Overlap of solenoid and FF quadrupoles, offsets of FF quadrupoles, etc. \rightarrow Vertical emittance growth (single-beam) due to local linear and chromatic couplings [6] \rightarrow Vertical emittance growth (two-beam) from interplay of beam-beam



[1] K. Oide and H. Koiso, Phys. Rev. E 47, 2010 (1993). [2] SuperKEKB TDR. [3] Y. Suetsugu, et al., PRAB 26, 013201 (2023). [5] A. Natochii, et al., "Beam background expectations for Belle II at SuperKEKB".



- Chromatic effects at CEPC [1]
 - Macroparticle tracking by **APES-T** with full lattice and beam-beam \bullet
 - Chromatic effects were identified as the main sources of luminosity loss

$$\sigma_y^* \approx \sigma_{y0}^* \sqrt{1 + \frac{\chi^2 \epsilon_x}{\beta_{y0}^* \tan^2(2\theta_c)} + (b_2 + a_1^2)\sigma_p^2}$$

$$\beta_y^*(p_z) = \beta_{y0}^*(1 + b_1 p_z + b_2 p_z^2) \qquad \alpha_y^*(p_z) = a_0 + a_1 p_z^2$$



[1] Z. Li et al., NIM-A 1064 (2024): 169386.





Z. Li, this workshop







- _
- Mitigation: Squeezing β_x^* [2]



[1] D. Zhou et al., PRAB 26, 071001 (2023). [2] K. Ohmi et al., PRL 119, 134801.







- Impedance effects \bullet
 - Horizontal SBRs cause blowup in ϵ_{χ} , altered by impedance effects [1, 2].
 - **Resonance condition:** -

$$m_{x}\nu_{x} + m_{z}\nu_{z} = N \qquad \nu_{x} = \nu_{x0} + \Delta\nu_{z}^{bb}(J_{x}, 0, J_{z})$$

$$\nu_{z} = \nu_{z0} + \Delta\nu_{z}^{bb}(J_{x}, 0, J_{z}) + \Delta\nu_{z}^{wake}(J_{z})$$

$$E_{z} = (A - A) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{dk} e^{-\frac{k^{2}}{2}} I_{z} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{dk} e^{-\frac{k^$$



[1] D. Zhou et al., PRAB 26, 071001 (2023). [2] P. Kicsiny et al., paper under preparation.

 $F_{m_x m_z}(A_x, A_z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} e^{-\frac{k^2}{2}} J_{m_x} \left[\frac{kA_x}{\sqrt{\phi_0^2 + 1}} \right] J_{m_z}$ $k\phi_0A_z$

0.006 0.004 22 0.002 0.000 5 4



3

P. Kicsiny, this workshop

Summary

- The effectiveness of CW in colliders may be diminished by various imperfections.
- These CW imperfections can be systematically studied using a framework that includes complexity at each stage.





theoretical analysis, simulations, and experimental investigations, allowing for controlled

$${}^{:H_1:}e^{-:H_2:}e^{-:H_3:}\dots e^{-:H_N:} \qquad M = e^{:f_2:}e^{:f_3:}e^{:f_4:}\dots e^{:f_{N_0}:}$$

Talks by K. Ohmi, P. Kicsiny, Z. Li, et al., this workshop Beam-beam + Full lattice + Impedance + Space charge + ...

Beam-beam + One-turn matrix + + ideal CW map + Perturbation map + Impedance

Beam-beam + One-turn matrix + ideal CW map + Perturbation map

This plot is inspired by E. Forest's illustration of beam dynamics





Acknowledgements

crab-waist colliders.

• I thank K. Ohmi, Z. Li, P. Kicsiny, and X. Buffat for inspiring discussions on beam-beam issues in



Backup



Crab waist applied to SuperKEKB

- SuperKEKB 2021b run ($\beta_v^* = 1$ mm) with ideal crab waist
 - Tune scan using BBWS showed that 80% crab waist ratio in LER is _ effective in suppressing vertical blowup caused by beam-beam resonances (mainly $\nu_x \pm 4\nu_y + \alpha = N$).

	2021.07.01		Commonto
	HER	LER	Comments
I _{bunch} (mA)	0.80	1.0	
# bunch	1174		Assumed value
ε _x (nm)	4.6	4.0	w/ IBS
ε _y (pm)	23	23	Estimated from XRM data
β _x (mm)	60	80	Calculated from lattice
β _y (mm)		I	Calculated from lattice
σ _{z0} (mm)	5.05	4.84	Natural bunch length (w/o MWI)
Vx	45.532	44.525	Measured tune of pilot bunch
Vy	43.582	46.593	Measured tune of pilot bunch
Vs	0.0272	0.0221	Calculated from lattice
Crab waist	40%	80%	Lattice design





Crab waist applied to SuperKEKB

- SuperKEKB 2021b run ($\beta_v^* = 1$ mm) with ideal crab waist
 - Tune scan using BBWS showed that 40% crab waist ratio (current operation condition) in HER is not enough for suppressing vertical blowup caused by beam-beam resonances (mainly $\nu_x \pm 4\nu_v + \alpha = N$).











- Beam-beam parameter
 - Findings
 - ζ_x should be less than 0.5

$$\xi_{y} = \frac{N_{0}r_{e}\beta_{y}^{*}}{2\pi\gamma\sigma_{y0}^{*}(\overline{\sigma}_{x0} + \sigma_{y0}^{*})}\Theta_{y}(\zeta_{x0}, \zeta_{x})$$

$$\Theta_{y} = \frac{e^{u_{0}}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}\zeta_{x0}^{3}} \left[(2\zeta_{x0}^{2} - \zeta_{x}^{2})K_{0}(u_{0}) + \zeta_{x}^{2}K_{1}(u_{0}) \right]$$

$$u_0 = 1/(4\zeta_{x0}^2) \qquad \zeta_{x0} = \frac{\sigma_{x0}^*}{\rho_{y0}^*} \tan(2\theta_c))$$
$$\zeta_x = \frac{\sigma_{x0}^*}{\rho_y^*} \tan(2\theta_c))$$



