$\bar{B}_{(s)} \to D_{(s)}^{(*)}$  $\binom{(*)}{s}$  form factors at  $\mathcal{O}(1/m_c^2)$ 

### Nico Gubernari

Based on arXiv: 1908.09398, 1912.09335, 24xx.xxxxx in collaboration with Marzia Bordone, Martin Jung, and Danny van Dyk Challenges in Semileptonic  $B$  Decays Campus Akademie, Vienna 23 September 2024



# Introduction

### Hadronic matrix elements

study **B**-meson decays to test the  $b \rightarrow c \ell \nu$  transitions factorise decay amplitude (neglecting QED corrections)

$$
\langle \overline{D}^{(*)}\ell\nu_{\ell}|\mathcal{O}_{eff}|B\rangle = \langle \ell\nu_{\ell}|\mathcal{O}_{lep}|0\rangle\langle D^{(*)}|\mathcal{O}_{had}|B\rangle
$$

leptonic matrix elements: perturbative objects, high accuracy QED corrections mostly unknown but small (~1%)

hadronic matrix elements: non-perturbative QCD effects, usually large uncertainties (~10%)  $\Rightarrow$  biggest challenge for percent precision

calculate them using lattice QCD or light-cone sum rule



1

### Definition of the form factors

decompose matrix elements in terms of form factors (FFs) (assume only Lorentz invariance)

$$
\langle D(k) | \bar{c} \gamma_{\mu} b | B(q+k) \rangle = 2 k_{\mu} f_{+}(q^{2}) + q_{\mu} (f_{+}(q^{2}) + f_{-}(q^{2}))
$$

$$
\langle D(k) | \bar{c} \, \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^{\nu} b | B(q+k) \rangle = \frac{i f_T(q^2)}{m_B + m_P} \left( q^2 (2k+q)_{\mu} - (m_B^2 - m_P^2) q_{\mu} \right)
$$

FFs are functions of the momentum transfer squared *q*²

number of independent vector (or tensor) FFs 2(+1) for  $B \to D$ 4(+3) for  $B \to D^*$ 4(+?) for  $B^* \to D$ 10(+?) for  $B^* \to D^*$ 



2

### Heavy Quark Expansion (HQE)

use HQE: perform a power series expansion in  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_c$  and  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_b$  of FFs

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\text{HQE}}^{i}(q^2) = \xi(q^2) \left( c_0^i + c_1^i \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) + c_2^i \frac{1}{m_b} L_k(q^2) + c_3^i \frac{1}{m_c} L_k(q^2) + c_4^i \frac{1}{m_c^2} l_k(q^2)
$$

all the  $B^{(*)} \rightarrow D^{(*)}$  FFs can be expressed in terms of 10 Isgur-Wise functions (1 leading, 3 subleading, 6 subsubleading)

essential to include  $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/m_c^2$  corrections (CLN not sufficient) [Bordone/Jung/van Dyk 2019]

 $\Rightarrow$  relations between  $B^{(*)} \rightarrow D^{(*)}$  FFs

alternative method to include  $1/m_c^2$  corrections proposed in Bernlochner F. et al. (2022)  $\Rightarrow$  fewer parameters but model dependence introduced

## The importance of HQE

several (new) precise *lattice QCD* calculations available

- $B \to D$  at high  $q^2$ [FNAL/MILC 2015] [HPQCD 2015]
- $B \to D^*$  at high  $q^2$ [FNAL/MILC 2021] [JLQCD 2023] at any  $q^2$  (in the physical region) [HPQCD 2023]
- $B_s \rightarrow D_s$  at any  $q^2$ [HPQCD 2019]
- $B_s \to D_s^*$  at any  $q^2$ [HPQCD 2021] [HPQCD 2023]

**LCSRs** available for the four processes at low  $q^2$ 

#### using HQE is important because

- theoretical calculations must fulfil HQE relationships (within uncertainties)
- extract information about lesser-known form FFs (vector FFs  $\leftrightarrow$  tensor FFs)
- apply strong unitarity bounds (not possible with a la BGL or similar parametrizations)

## Our goal: a comprehensive HQE analysis

combine theoretical constraints in a HQE analysis of all  $B^{(*)}\to D^{(*)}$  and  $B^{(*)}_S\to D^{(*)}_S$  FFs include tensor FFs and corresponding strong bounds for the first time

#### steps to perform this analysis:

- 1. define the  $B^* \to D^{(*)}$  HQE FFs
- 2. expand them in terms of Isgur-Wise functions at  $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/m_c^2$
- 3. define the  $B^* \to D^{(*)}$  helicity FFs to write the unitarity bounds
- 4. relate HQE and helicity  $B^* \to D^{(*)}$  FFs
- 5. fit the Isgur-Wise functions to the theoretical calculations and impose unitarity bounds

work in progress: all steps completed for  $B^* \to D$ , only step 1-3 completed for  $B^* \to D^*$ 

# Form factor definitions

### HQE-like form factors definitions

 $B^{(*)} \rightarrow D^{(*)}$  matrix elements are functions of the momenta (or velocities) and polarizations vectors appearing in the process, e.g.

 $D^*(\nu',\eta')\big|\bar{c}\Gamma_\mu b\big|B^*(\nu,\eta)\big\rangle=g(\nu,\nu',\eta,\eta')$ 

factorize Lorentz structures and scalar functions of  $w = v \cdot v' \propto q^2$ 

$$
\langle D^*(v',\eta')|\bar{c}\Gamma_\mu b|B^*(v,\eta)\rangle = \sum_i \mathcal{S}_\mu^i(v,v',\eta,\eta')\,\mathcal{F}_{\text{HQE}}^i(w)
$$

number of independent Lorentz structures  $\Rightarrow$  number of independent form factors

for  $B^* \to D$  FFs similar definitions to  $B \to D^*$  (use crossing symmetry)  $\implies$  3 tensor FFs for  $B^* \to D^*$  7 tensor FFs

### HQE form factors expansion

expand  $B^{(*)} \to D^{(*)}$  FFs in terms of Isgur-Wise functions

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\text{HQE}}^{i}(w) = \xi(w) \left( c_0^i + c_1^i \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) + c_2^i \frac{1}{m_b} L_k(w) + c_3^i \frac{1}{m_c} L_k(w) + c_4^i \frac{1}{m_c^2} l_k(w)
$$

coefficients  $c_i^{\cal F}$  depend on the form factor considered

(axial-)vector and tensor FFs depend on the same 10 Isgur-Wise functions

 $\overline{B_S^{(*)}} \to \overline{D_S^{(*)}}$  FFs have 10 different Isgur-Wise functions assume  $SU(3)_F$  for subsubleading Isgur-Wise functions  $\Rightarrow$  14 independent functions

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\text{HQE}}^{i}(w) = \xi^{s}(w) \left( c_{0}^{i} + c_{1}^{i} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \right) + c_{2}^{i} \frac{1}{m_{b}} L_{k}^{s}(w) + c_{3}^{i} \frac{1}{m_{c}} L_{k}^{s}(w) + c_{4}^{i} \frac{1}{m_{c}^{2}} l_{k}(w)
$$

## Helicity form factors

calculate helicity amplitudes

(polzation vectors for virtual W boson  $\epsilon_{\lambda_{q}}$ , D\*meson  $\eta'_{\lambda_{k}}$ , B\*meson  $\eta_{\lambda_{p}}$ , with  $\lambda = 0, +, -, (t)$ 

 $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda q}^{B\to D}\propto \epsilon_{\lambda q}^{\mu*}\langle D(k)|\bar{c}\,\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}b|B(p)$ 

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_q,\lambda_k,\lambda_p}^{B^*\to D^*} \propto \epsilon_{\lambda_q}^{\mu*} \left\langle D^*(k,\eta_{\lambda_k}^{\prime}) \right| \bar{c} \,\sigma_{\mu\nu} q^{\nu} b \left| B^*(p,\eta_{\lambda_p}^{\prime}) \right\rangle
$$

…

in general, one finds, e.g.,

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_q,\lambda_k,\lambda_p}^{B^* \to D^*} \propto \sum_i h^i(m_{D^*}, m_{B^*}, q^2) \mathcal{F}^i(q^2)
$$

helicity FFs are defined so that each helicity amplitude depends on only one FF (or vanish)

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_q,\lambda_k,\lambda_p}^{B^*\to D^*} \propto g^i(m_{D^*},m_{B^*},q^2)\mathcal{F}_{\text{hel}}^i(q^2)
$$

### Properties of helicity FFs

unitarity bounds can only be applied (directly) to helicity FFs since

$$
|\langle D^{(*)}|\bar{c}\,\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}b|B^{(*)}\rangle|^2 \propto \sum_i |\mathcal{F}_{hel}^i|^2
$$

however the definition of helicity FFs is not unique as  $g^i(m_{D^*},m_{B^*},q^2)$  can be chosen arbitrarily

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_q,\lambda_k,\lambda_p}^{B^* \rightarrow D^*} \propto g^i(m_{D^*},m_{B^*},q^2) \mathcal{F}_\mathrm{hel}^i(q^2)
$$

how to fix  $g^i(m_{D^\ast},m_{B^\ast},q^2)$ , a few tips

- keep  ${\cal F}_{\rm hel}^i$  dimensionless
- avoid zeros and poles in the relations between  ${\cal F}^{\it i}_{\rm hel}$  and  ${\cal F}^{\it i}_{\rm HQE}$
- keep  ${\cal F}^i_{\rm hel}$  positive

derive relations between  $\mathcal{F}^i_\text{hel}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^i_\text{HQE}$  for  $B^{(*)}\to D^{(*)}$ 

# HQE parametrization

## BGL(-like) parametrization

define the map

$$
z(q^2) = \frac{\sqrt{t_+ - q^2} - \sqrt{t_+ - t_0}}{\sqrt{t_+ - q^2} + \sqrt{t_+ - t_0}}
$$

for  $B \to D^{(*)}$  it has been shown that a parametrization in the form

$$
\mathcal{F}^i_{\text{hel}}(z) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(z)\phi(z)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^i_n z^n
$$

 $(\mathcal{P}(z)\phi(z)$  are known functions) fulfils the unitarity bound [Boyd/Grinstein/Lebed 1997]

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n^i|^2 < 1
$$

extend approach to tensor  $B^* \to D^{(*)}$  FFs

 $\Rightarrow$  calculate outer functions for the new FFs (depend on the  $g^i$  functions)



### HQE parametrization

expand Isgur-Wise functions around  $w = 1$  (max recoil)

$$
\xi(w) = \sum_{m=0}^{N} \frac{\xi^{(m)}}{m!} (w-1)^m \qquad L_k(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{M} \frac{L_k^{(m)}}{m!} (w-1)^m \qquad L_k(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{l_k^{(m)}}{m!} (w-1)^m
$$

the derivatives  $\xi^{(m)}$ ,  $L_{k}^{(m)}$ ,  $l_{k}^{(m)}$  are the parameters of our parametrization

#### $N/M/K$  parametrization

3/2/1 parametrization is the minimal order to achieve a good description [Bordone/Jung/van Dyk 2019]

#### apply unitarity bounds

• change variable  $w \rightarrow z(w)$  (use  $t_0 = t_$ )

\n- write 
$$
\mathcal{F}_{\text{HQE}}^i
$$
 in terms of  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{hel}}^i$
\n

• choose truncation order in

$$
z(w) = \frac{\sqrt{w+1} - \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{w+1} + \sqrt{2}}
$$

11

### Weak and strong unitarity bounds 12

write the unitarity bound in terms of Isgur-Wise parameters

for one FF the bound reads

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n^i|^2 \Longrightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n^i(\xi^{(m)}, L_k^{(m)}, l_k^{(m)})|^2 < 1
$$

sum contributions in the same channel (e.g.  $B \to D^*$ ) with same  $J^P \implies$  weak bound

sum contribution of all channels related by heavy quark symmetry  $(B^{(*)} \rightarrow D^{(*)})$ 

$$
\Downarrow
$$
\nstrong unitarity bound\n
$$
\sum_{\mathcal{F}^i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| a_n^i(\xi^{(m)}, L_k^{(m)}, l_k^{(m)}) \right|^2 < 1
$$

### More on unitarity bounds

the HQE strong unitarity bound have some crucial advantages

- include the contribution of  $B^* \to D^{(*)}$  decays  $\Longrightarrow$  increase bound saturation not possible at the moment for BGL, as there are no  $B^*\to D^{(*)}$  FFs predictions
- relate (axial-)vector and tensor FFs (constrain each other) not possible in BGL
- keep the number of fit parameters relatively low

other channels not related through HQE symmetry can be added  $\Rightarrow$  *ultra* strong unitarity bound

$$
\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{F}^i \ n=0}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| a_n^i(\xi^{(m)}, L_k^{(m)}, l_k^{(m)}) \right|^2 + \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{F}^i \ n=0}}^{\infty} \left| a_n^i(\xi^{s,(m)}, L_k^{s,(m)}, l_k^{s,(m)}) \right|^2 + \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{F}^i \ n=0}}^{\infty} \left| a_n^i(\xi^{\Lambda,(m)}, L_k^{\Lambda,(m)}, l_k^{ \Lambda,(m)}) \right|^2 + \cdots < 1
$$

# Some (very) preliminary results

# Preliminary HQE fit 14

perform fits using EOS software (nested sampling)

use HQE 3/2/1 model (include to  $1/m_c^2$ corrections)

31 free parameters for  $B_{(s)}^{(*)} \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(*)}$  FFs

available theory constraints

- lattice QCD
- light-cone sum rules
- QCD sum rules for Isgur-Wise functions
- unitarity bounds



## Preliminary HQE fit (FFs) 15

first attempt:

fit all available  $B \to D^{(*)}$  FFs from LQCD  $B \rightarrow D$ : [FNAL/MILC 2015] [HPQCD 2015]  $B \rightarrow D^*$ : [FNAL/MILC 2021] [JLQCD 2023] [HPQCD 2023]

use unitarity bounds (tensor contribution not fully implemented)

obtain a good fit  $p$ -value  $\sim$  50%

obtain  $B \to D^{(*)}$  FFs predict physical observable



# Preliminary HQE fit (bounds) 16

strong unitarity bound is (suspiciously) almost saturated by LQCD results.  $\Rightarrow$  essential for the analysis also observed by Martinelli et al.

unitarity bounds not only control the truncation error but also check the consistency of LQCD results



Summary and outlook

### Summary and outlook

HQE pheno analyses are important for the interpretation of FFs calculations and measurements  $\Rightarrow$  obtain complementary information

strong unitarity bounds fully exploit the theoretical constraints obtain relations and information on lesser-known FFs

 $\implies$  new bounds on the  $B^*\to D^{(*)}$  tensor FFs will improve our knowledge of other FFs

define the  $B^* \to D^*$  helicity FFs and relate them to the HQE FFs

perform fits using different sets of theoretical constraints

