



#### The 39th Winter Workshop on Nuclear Dynamics

# Direct Photons Production in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV with PHENIX

Deepali Sharma Stony Brook University

02/14/2024



#### Outline



#### Direct Photon Spectra



Deepali Sharma





2

### Why Photons?

Photons are color blind probes of Quark Gluon Plasma



Sensitive to **space-time** evolution and temperature of matter produced!

Deepali Sharma



Measurement of yield constrains initial conditions, sources, emission rates and space-time evolution





#### **Direct Photon Puzzle: Early vs Late Emission ?**



Deepali Sharma

#### • Large yield and large v2

- Large yield: emissions from the early stage when temperature is high
- •Large v2: emissions from the late stage when the collective flow is sufficiently built up

Challenging for current theoretical models to describe large yield and elliptic flow simultaneously









### **Photon Measurements in P**



Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 132301 (2010)

Deepali Sharma

PHENIX  
10<sup>1</sup>  
10<sup>0</sup>  
10<sup>-1</sup>  
10<sup>-1</sup>  
10<sup>-1</sup>  

$$10^{-1}$$
  
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-3}$   
 $10^{-3}$   
 $10^{-3}$   
 $10^{-4}$   
 $10^{-4}$   
 $10^{-4}$   
 $10^{-4}$   
 $10^{-4}$   
 $10^{-5}$   
 $10^{-5}$   
 $10^{-6}$   
 $10^{-7}$   
 $10^{-8}$   
 $10^{-7}$   
 $10^{-8}$   
 $10^{-9}$   
 $10^{-8}$   
 $10^{-9}$   
 $0^{-2}$   
 $2^{-4}$   
 $4^{-4}$   
 $4^{-4}$   
 $4^{-4}$   
 $4^{-4}$   
 $4^{-4}$   
 $4^{-4}$   
 $2^{-5}$   
 $10^{-8}$   
 $10^{-9}$   
 $0^{-2}$   
 $2^{-4}$   
 $4^{-4}$   
 $10^{-8}$   
 $10^{-9}$   
 $0^{-2}$   
 $2^{-4}$   
 $4^{-6}$   
 $8^{-10}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-1}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   
 $10^{-2}$   

3 independent measurements in good agreement with each other

 $p_T \left[ \text{GeV} / c \right]$ 





#### Towards Precision Measurement with "Golden Data Set"



- •Results from the high statistics 2014 dataset
  - more conversions at the PHENIX silicon vertex detector (VTX) (X/X<sub>o</sub> ~ 14%)
  - $\label{eq:stable} \begin{array}{l} \bullet \mbox{double differential analysis of shape and} \\ \mbox{rapidity density of direct photon spectra as a} \\ \mbox{function of } p_T \mbox{ and charged particle} \\ \mbox{multiplicity, } dN_{ch}/d\eta \end{array}$
  - $\bullet larger \ p_T \ coverage$
  - $\cdot v_2$  measurements in finer centrality bins
  - smaller uncertainties





### **External Conversion: Double Ratio Tagging Method**





## Double ratio tagging method reduces systematic uncertainties!



#### Direct y for Au+Au at 200 GeV







#### arXiv:2203.17187 (Accepted by PRC)









Deepali Sharma

- Hydro Model: Different dependence on  $dN_{ch}/d\eta$

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

Similar spectra around 2 GeV/c -common source of photon production independent of  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ 

![](_page_9_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Non-prompt Direct $\gamma$ at Au+Au at 200 GeV

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Scaling of Non-prompt Direct $\gamma$ with $dN_{ch}/d\eta$

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### arXiv:2203.17187 (Accepted by PRC)

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $\alpha$  independent of  $p_T$  for direct photons and non-prompt photons

び

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

T<sub>eff</sub> for Non-prompt Direct γ

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Deepali Sharma

#### arXiv:2203.17187 (Accepted by PRC)

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

 No obvious system size dependence of T<sub>eff</sub> Increasing inverse slope (>350 MeV/c) with p<sub>T</sub> suggests contributions from sources beyond those from Hadron Gas

![](_page_12_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Elliptic Flow of Direct Photons**

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Deepali Sharma

• Quantified by the second Fourier moment of the particle azimuthal distribution with respect to the reaction plane.

$$\frac{dN}{d\phi} = N_0 [1 + 2v_2 \cos(2\phi)]$$

• In the analysis,  $v_2$  is calculated using the following equation

$$v_2^{dir} = \frac{R_{\gamma} v_2^{incl} - v_2^{dec}}{R_{\gamma} - 1}$$

• We measure the anisotropy in the azimuthal distribution of photons with respect to the reaction plane determined by the forward vertex detector  $1.5 < |\eta| < 2.9$ .

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_11.jpeg)

#### Direct Photons $V_2(R_{\gamma} \text{Calculation})$

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

Deepali Sharma

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Inclusive Photon Flow (v2incl) Extraction

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fit  $\Delta \phi$  distribution for a given  $p_T$  bin to  $\frac{dN}{d\Delta \phi} = A(1 + 2v_2 \cos(2\Delta \phi) + 2v_4 \cos(4\Delta \phi))$ 

Deepali Sharma

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Hadron Decay Photon Flow $(v_2^{dec})$ Extraction $R_{\nu}v_2^{incl}$

- A combined fit to multiple measurements of  $\pi^0, \pi^{\pm} V_2$
- Fit is used as input into the simulations to calculate decay photon  $V_2$
- Contributions of other mesons estimated by scaling *KE*<sub>T</sub>

• 
$$v_2^{\pi}(KE_T) = v_2^{allmesons}(KE_T)$$

$$KE_T = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m^2} - m$$

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Inclusive $(v_2^{incl})$ and Decay Photon $(v_2^{dec})$ Flow

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Deepali Sharma

- Low p<sub>T</sub> region— Decay and inclusive photon flow are comparable
- High p<sub>T</sub> region inclusive and decay photon flow are constant with decay slightly larger than inclusive for all centralities

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

### **Direct Photons Flow**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Consistent with previous results

Deepali Sharma

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **Comparison with Theory**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Deepali Sharma

C. Gale, J.-F. Paquet, B. Schenke & C. Shen Phys. Rev. C 105 (2022) 014909

#### Multi-messenger heavy-ion physics

- Hybrid model that describes all stages of relativistic heavy-ion collisions
- Effect of pre-equilibrium phase on both photonic and hadronic observables highlighted.
- Dominant contribution from preequilibrium above 3 GeV/c in the model seems to align well with the data
- Overall yield falls short, especially below 2 GeV/c
- •Quantitative disagreement with flow for all chemical equilibration times

![](_page_19_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_13.jpeg)

### Summary and Outlook

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Double differential analysis of direct and nonprompt direct photons in  $p_T$  and  $dN_{ch}/d\eta$  for shape of  $p_T$  spectra and rapidity density

Deepali Sharma

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

Thank You!

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Direct y in small systems

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

Bridging the gap

Onset of QGP?

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

Functional form inspired by pQCD

Fit below 1 GeV/*c* motivated by Drell Yan measurements [Ito, et al, PRD23, 604 (1981)]

Systematic errors include the fit errors, different functional forms

$$\frac{dN}{dy} = a\left(1 + \frac{p_T^2}{b^2}\right)^c$$

 $a = 6.4 \times 10^3$  b = 1.45

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

# Systematic Uncertainties

2%

Β

| Systematic uncertainty source (39 GeV)        | $\sigma_{sys}/R_{\gamma}$ | Туре |
|---|---------------------------|------|
| $\pi^0$ reconstruction                        |                           |      |
| tagged photon yield                           | 8%                        | А    |
|   |                           |      |
| Conditional acceptance                        |                           |      |
| input Hagedorn $p_T$ spectra and energy scale | 8%                        | В    |
|   |                           |      |
| Cocktail ratio                                |                           |      |
| $\gamma^{hadron}/\pi^0$                       | 2%                        | В    |
|   |                           |      |
|   |                           |      |
| Systematic uncertainty source (62.4 GeV)      | $\sigma_{sys}/R_\gamma$   | Type |
| $\pi^0$ reconstruction                        |                           |      |
| tagged photon yield                           | 5%                        | Α    |
|   |                           |      |
| Conditional acceptance                        |                           |      |
| input Hagedorn $p_T$ spectra and energy scale | 5%                        | В    |
|   |                           |      |
| Cocktail ratio                                |                           |      |

 $\gamma^{hadron}/\pi^0$ 

V. Doomra (Stony Brook University)

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

# $\eta/\pi^0$ from world data

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Performace of our rejection techniques

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

V. Doomra (Stony Brook University)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Sources of Direct Photons**

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Event Plane Measurement

$$\Psi_2 = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{Q_{2,y}}{Q_{2,x}} \right)$$

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

1/28/2024

Michael Giles

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

Event plane is <u>estimated</u> based on charge deposited in FVTX detector

### **Event Plane Resolution**

R

- FVTX has finite resolving power to estimate t event plane
- The event plane resolution of the FVTX is calculated using the 3 sub-event method
  - Average correlation functions over many events

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

$$es \{\Psi_{2}^{FVTX}\} = \frac{\left\langle \cos\left(2(\Psi_{2}^{FVTX} - \Psi_{2}^{BBC})\right)\right\rangle \left\langle \cos\left(2(\Psi_{2}^{FVTX} - \Psi_{2}^{CNT})\right) \right\rangle}{\left\langle \cos\left(2(\Psi_{2}^{BBC} - \Psi_{2}^{CNT})\right)\right\rangle}$$

$$(1) \qquad 0 \quad \text{FVTX, all sectors of elar of e$$

30

![](_page_29_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Propagation of Uncertainties

- Correlations between terms in the formula, and  $R_{\nu}$  in both numerator and denominator
  - Asymmetric uncertainties not described by normal Gaussian error propagation
  - Use a MC sampling method, moving each term according to their uncertainties to get distribution of direct photon flow
  - Distribution is integrated from infinity until 68% of the total is in the integral to determine upper and lower uncertainty bounds

![](_page_30_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### **Sources of Direct Photons**

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $p_T \, [\text{GeV}/c]$ 

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Deepali Sharma

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

**PH\***ENIX

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Comparison of Local Inverse Slopes**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Deepali Sharma

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Contributions from pre-equilibrium may be important at intermediate p<sub>T</sub>

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)