The sign problem and real-time simulations

Scott Lawrence¹, <u>Semeon Valgushev²</u>, Jianan Xiao³ and Yukari Yamauchi⁴

¹LANL ²Iowa State University ³Keio University ⁴INT

The 39th edition of the Winter Workshop on Nuclear Dynamics Feb. 11 – 16, 2024 Jackson, Wyoming, USA

Based on arXiv:2401.16733

Phase diagram of QCD



No small parameter for dense cool nuclear matter (everything is of order of strong scale $\Lambda \sim 1$ GeV)

Contour deformation methods



Cauchy theorem:

 $C_1 + C_2 + C_3 = 0$

 $C_1 \neq C_2$



Average sign

The goal is to find a complex contour which maximizes the sign.

- **1)** Guess :)
- 2) Optimization over *learnifolds* (manifolds parameterized by neural networks)
- 3) Continuous deformations such as holomorphic flows

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{\overline{\partial S}}{\partial z}$$

Morse theory and Lefschetz thimbles



 ${\rm Im}S={\rm const}~~{\rm on}~{\rm a}~{\rm thimble}$

Non-relativistic nuclear matter

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \frac{\mathbf{p}_i^2}{2M_N} + \sum_{j>i=1}^{A} v_{ij}$$
$$v_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) = \sum_p v_p(|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|) O_{ij}^p(\mathbf{r}_{ij})$$

$$O_{ij}^{1} = 1, \qquad O_{ij}^{2} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_{j}$$
$$O_{ij}^{3} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j}, \qquad O_{ij}^{4} = (\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_{j})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j})$$
$$O_{ij}^{5} = S_{ij}(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij}), \qquad O_{ij}^{6} = (\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_{j}) S_{ij}(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij})$$

AV6P, AV18 parameterizations

Non-analytic potential

Interesting questions:

- 1) Fermion sign problem!
- 2) Real-time dynamics of many nuclei
- 3) NN, NNN, NNNN, ... at very high densities?!

Non-holomorphic actions

$$S(x) = \alpha |x|$$

non-analytic if viewed as function of complex argument

We only care about restriction to real domain

$$S_{\mathbb{R}}(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha x & x \ge 0\\ -\alpha x & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

This allows us to analytically continue on *multiple* Riemann sheets



Consider branch structure of $\sqrt{(x-a)(x+a)}$ when $a \to 0$

Modified holomorphic flow

Make sure that the contour is continuous; branch points must remain fixed under the flow

$$\frac{dx}{dT} = s(x) \overline{\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}}$$

Scaling function s(x) must vanish sufficiently quickly at singularities

Not unique!



Simple demonstration

$$S(x) = i|x|^3$$



The sign: $\langle \sigma \rangle = \left\langle e^{-i \mathrm{Im}S} \right\rangle_Q$

Scaling function: s(x) = |x|

Simple demonstration

$$S(x) = i|x|^3$$



Scaling function: s(x) = |x|

Not so simple demonstration

Quantum mechanics in one dimension

х

Initial state:

$$\Psi_i(x) \propto e^{-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} - ip_0 x}$$

$$\sigma = 0.2 \ p_0 = 1 \ x_0 = -1$$

 $0.5 |\psi(x)|^2$

"Yukawa" potential:

$$V(x) = g^2 |x| e^{-m|x|}$$
$$g^2 = 150 \quad m = 10$$



In particular, we compute the probability of tunneling

-4

Not so simple demonstration

Observable:
$$F(t) \equiv \langle \Psi_i | e^{iHt} \mathcal{O} e^{-iHt} | \Psi_i \rangle$$
2nd order Suzuki-Trotter: $e^{-iHt} \approx \left(e^{-iV(x)\frac{\delta}{2}} e^{-i\frac{p^2}{2M}\delta} e^{-iV(x)\frac{\delta}{2}} \right)^{t/\delta}$ Identity resolution: $I \propto \int dx |x\rangle \langle x|$ Resulting path integral: $F(t) = \frac{\int \left[\prod_{n=0}^{2N} dx_n \right] e^{-S(x)} \mathcal{O}(x_N)}{\int \left[\prod_{n=0}^{2N} dx_n \right] e^{-S(x)}}$

... and action:

$$S(x) = -\log \Psi_i(x_0) - \log \Psi_i^{\dagger}(x_{2N}) - i \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{(x_n - x_{n+1})^2}{\delta} + \delta_n V(x_n) \right]$$
$$+ i \sum_{n=N+1}^{2N} \left[\frac{(x_{n-1} - x_n)^2}{\delta} + \delta_n V(x_n) \right]$$

Results



The sign: $\langle \sigma \rangle = \langle e^{-i \text{Im}S} \rangle_Q$ Scaling function: $s(x) = e^{-\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{m}}} I_1\left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{m}}\right)$

Results



Probability of tunneling

Conclusions

- 1) Contour deformations and holomorphic flows can be applied to certain non-holomorphic actions
- 2) However, holomorphic flow is not quite practical. Learnifolds are perhaps better
- 3) Study analytic structure of thimbles to find a better choice of contour?

Thank you!







Scott Lawrence LANL

Yukari Yamauchi INT

Jianan Xiao Keio University