# Non-linear dynamics of Axion Inflation



#### Ander Urio (UPV/EHU)

in collaboration with D. G. Figueroa, J. Lizarraga and J. Urrestilla

From 2303.17436 (Phys.Rev.Lett.) and 24XX.XXXXX





# • **Inflationary cosmology** has demonstrated **remarkable success** in predicting CMB anisotropies.



- anisotropies.
- Many single field slow-roll models can lead to the desired 50-60 efoldings.

#### • Inflationary cosmology has demonstrated remarkable success in predicting CMB



- anisotropies.
- Many single field slow-roll models can lead to the desired 50-60 efoldings.
- roll efoldings.

#### • Inflationary cosmology has demonstrated remarkable success in predicting CMB

• However, these models are **sensitive to UV physics**: can shorten necessary slow-



- **Inflationary cosmology** has demonstrationary anisotropies.
- Many single field slow-roll models can lead to the desired 50-60 efoldings.
  However, these models are sensitive to UV physics: can shorten necessary slow-
- However, these models are **sensitive to** roll efoldings.
- Solution: shift symmetric particles. Axion like particles (ALPs) as the inflaton.

#### • Inflationary cosmology has demonstrated remarkable success in predicting CMB



- **Inflationary cosmology** has demonstrationary anisotropies.
- Many single field slow-roll models can lead to the desired 50-60 efoldings.
  However, these models are sensitive to UV physics: can shorten necessary slow-
- However, these models are **sensitive to** roll efoldings.
- Solution: shift symmetric particles. Axion like particles (ALPs) as the inflaton.
- In our case **coupled** to a **U(1) gauge** field.

#### • Inflationary cosmology has demonstrated remarkable success in predicting CMB



- anisotropies.
- Many single field slow-roll models can lead to the desired 50-60 efoldings. • However, these models are **sensitive to UV physics**: can shorten necessary slow-
- roll efoldings.
- 0.25 Planck+WP+BAO Planck+WP+highL (r<sub>0.002</sub>) 0.20 Planck+WPVatural Inflation Hilltop quartic model both. ratio 0.15 Power law inflation Low scale SSB SUSY Tensor-to-scalar 0.05 0.10  $R^2$  Inflation  $V \propto \phi^2$  $V \propto \phi^{2/3}$  $V \propto \phi$  $V\propto \phi^3$  $N_{*} = 50$ *N*<sub>\*</sub>=60 0.00 0.96 0.98 0.94 1.00Primordial tilt  $(n_s)$
- **Solution**: shift symmetric particles. Axion like particles (**ALPs**) as the **inflaton**. • In our case **coupled** to a **U(1) gauge** field. • Why? Very efficient energy transport between

#### • Inflationary cosmology has demonstrated remarkable success in predicting CMB



- anisotropies.
- Many single field slow-roll models can lead to the desired 50-60 efoldings. • However, these models are **sensitive to UV physics**: can shorten necessary slow-
- roll efoldings.
- 0.2 Planck+WP+BAO Planck+WP+highL (r<sub>0.002</sub>) 0.20 Planck+WPVatural Inflation Hilltop quartic model both. ratio 0.15 Power law inflation Low scale SSB SUSY Tensor-to-scalar 0.05 0.10  $R^2$  Inflation  $V \propto \phi^2$ perturbations that produce **PBHs** and **GWs**,  $V \propto \phi^{2/3}$  $V \propto \phi$  $V \propto \phi^3$ for example.  $N_{*} = 50$ *N*<sub>\*</sub>=60 0.00 0.96 0.98 0.94 1.00

- Solution: shift symmetric particles. Axion like particles (ALPs) as the inflaton. • In our case **coupled** to a **U(1) gauge** field. • Why? Very efficient energy transport between • Leads to interesting **phenomenology**: metric

#### • Inflationary cosmology has demonstrated remarkable success in predicting CMB

Primordial tilt  $(n_s)$ 



 $S = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2} m_p^2 R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{4} \frac{\phi}{m_p} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right)$ 



 $S = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2} m_p^2 R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{4} \frac{\phi}{m_p} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right)$ 

Gravity



 $S = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2} m_p^2 R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{4} \frac{\phi}{m_p} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right)$ 

Gravity

Matter



 $S = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2} m_p^2 R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{4} \frac{\phi}{m_p} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right)$ 

Gravity



 $S = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \sqrt{-g} \Big( \frac{1}{2} m_p^2 R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{4} \frac{\phi}{m_p} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \Big)$ 

Gravity

Axion Like Particle acting as the inflaton

 $\phi \to \phi + C$ 



Gravity

Axion Like Particle acting as the inflaton

 $\phi \to \phi + C$ 





Gravity

Axion Like Particle acting as the inflaton

 $\phi \to \phi + C$ 





Quadratic potential  $S = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \sqrt{-g} \Big( \frac{1}{2} m_p^2 R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{4} \frac{\phi}{m_p} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \Big)$ Gravity Coupling between both Axion Like Particle controlled by  $\alpha_{\Lambda}$ acting as the  $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma}/2$ inflaton  $\phi \to \phi + C$ U(1) gauge field  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ 

 $S = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2} m_p^2 R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{4} \frac{\phi}{m_p} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right)$ 



 $S = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2} m_p^2 R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{4} \frac{\phi}{m_p} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right)$ FLRW spacetime



 $S_m = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^3 m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2} \vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{m_p} \phi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \right)$ 

FLRW spacetime



 $S_m = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^3 m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2} \vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{m_p} \phi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \right)$ 



FLRW spacetime



 $S_m = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^3 m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2} \vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_A}{m_p} \phi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \right)$ 



ALP inflaton





$$\begin{split} S_m &= \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left(\frac{1}{2}a^3\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}a^3m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \end{split}$$
 Dynamics 
$$\ddot{\phi} &= -3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{a^2}\vec{\nabla}^2\phi - m^2\phi$$



 $\left(\frac{1}{2}a^3m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2}\vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{m_p}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}\right)$ 





FLRW spacetime

 $S_m = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^3 m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2} \vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_A}{m_p} \phi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \right)$ 





FLRW spacetime

 $S_m = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^3 m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2} \vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_A}{m_p} \phi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \right)$ 





Constraints

 $S_m = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^3 m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2} \vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_A}{m_p} \phi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \right)$ 





 $S_m = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^3 m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2} \vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_A}{m_p} \phi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \right)$ 





 $S_m = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^3 m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2} \vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{m_p} \phi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \right)$ 





 $S_m = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^3 m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2} \vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_A}{m_p} \phi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \right)$ 

 $\ddot{a} = -\frac{a}{3m_n^2} \left( 2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM} \right)$ 





 $S_m = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^3 m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2} \vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_A}{m_p} \phi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \right)$ 

 $\ddot{a} = -\frac{a}{3m_n^2} \left( 2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM} \right)$ 





 $S_m = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^3 m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2} \vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{m_p} \phi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \right)$ 

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi - m^2 \phi$$

$$\vec{B}$$

$$(2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})$$

$$_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM}$$



$$\frac{1}{2}a^{3}m^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^{2} - a^{-2}\vec{B}^{2}) + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{m_{p}}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$$

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi - m^2 \phi + \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{a^3 m_p} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$$

$$(2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})$$



$$\frac{1}{2}a^{3}m^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^{2} - a^{-2}\vec{B}^{2}) + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{m_{p}}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}\right)$$

 $\ddot{\phi} = -3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{a^2}\vec{\nabla}^2\phi - m^2\phi + \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{a^3m_n}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$  $\dot{\vec{E}} = -H\vec{E} - \frac{1}{a^2}\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{am_p}\left(\dot{\phi}\vec{B} - \vec{\nabla}\phi \times \vec{E}\right)$  $\ddot{a} = -\frac{a}{3m_n^2} \left( 2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM} \right)$ 



$$\frac{1}{2}a^{3}m^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^{2} - a^{-2}\vec{B}^{2}) + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{m_{p}}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}\right)$$

 $\ddot{\phi} = -3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{a^2}\vec{\nabla}^2\phi - m^2\phi + \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{a^3m_n}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$  $\dot{\vec{E}} = -H\vec{E} - \frac{1}{a^2}\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{am_p}\left(\dot{\phi}\vec{B} - \vec{\nabla}\phi \times \vec{E}\right)$  $\ddot{a} = -\frac{a}{3m_n^2} \left( 2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM} \right)$ 



$$\frac{1}{2}a^3m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2}\vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{m_p}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}\right)$$

 $\ddot{\phi} = -3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{a^2}\vec{\nabla}^2\phi - m^2\phi + \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{a^3m_m}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$  $\dot{\vec{E}} = -H\vec{E} - \frac{1}{a^2}\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{am_p}\left(\dot{\phi}\vec{B} - \vec{\nabla}\phi \times \vec{E}\right)$  $\ddot{a} = -\frac{a}{3m_{\pi}^2} \left( 2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM} \right)$ 



**FLRW** Backreaction spacetime  $S_m = \int \mathrm{d}x^4 \left( \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^3 m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} a (\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2} \vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{m_p} \phi \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \right)$ 

 $\ddot{\phi} = -3H\dot{\phi} + rac{1}{a^2}ec{
abla}^2\phi - m^2\phi + rac{lpha_A}{a^3m}ec{E}\cdotec{B}$  $\dot{\vec{E}} = -H\vec{E} - \frac{1}{a^2}\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{am_p}\left(\dot{\phi}\vec{B} - \vec{\nabla}\phi \times \vec{E}\right)$  $\ddot{a} = -\frac{a}{3m_{\pi}^2} \left( 2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM} \right)$




\_\_\_\_

- -





\_\_\_\_

- -



gime  
Backreaction  

$$\frac{1}{2}a^{3}m^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^{2} - a^{-2}\vec{B}^{2}) + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{m_{p}}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B})$$

$$\vec{\nabla}^{2}\phi - m^{2}\phi + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{a^{3}m_{p}}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$$

$$\vec{B} - \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{am_{p}}\left(\dot{\phi}\vec{B} - \vec{\nabla}\phi \times \vec{E}\right)$$

$$\left(2\rho_{\rm K}-\rho_{\rm V}+\rho_{\rm EM}\right)$$

#### Linear regime

- Backreactionless inflaton dynamics
- Inflaton velocity backreacts on the gauge modes





gime  

$$Backreaction$$

$$FLRW spacetime
$$\frac{1}{2}a^{3}m^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^{2} - a^{-2}\vec{B}^{2}) + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{m_{p}}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$$

$$\vec{\nabla}^{2}\phi - m^{2}\phi + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{a^{3}m_{p}}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$$

$$\xi/\tau) A^{\pm}(\tau,k) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \xi = \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}\dot{\phi}}{2Hm_{p}}$$

$$(2\rho_{K} - \rho_{V} + \rho_{EM})$$

$$Linear regime$$
• Backreactionless inflaton dynamics  
• Inflaton velocity backreacts on the gau modes$$



\_\_\_\_\_

lge



Backreaction  

$$\frac{1}{2}a^{3}m^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^{2} - a^{-2}\vec{B}^{2}) + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{m_{p}}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B})$$

$$\vec{\nabla}^{2}\phi - m^{2}\phi + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{a^{3}m_{p}}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$$

$$\xi/\tau) A^{\pm}(\tau,k) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \xi = \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}\dot{\phi}}{2Hm_{p}}$$

$$(2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})$$

#### Linear regime

- Backreactionless inflaton dynamics
- Inflaton velocity backreacts on the gauge modes
- Tachyonic growth of the positive helicity











$$\vec{\nabla}^{2}\phi - m^{2}\phi + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{a^{3}m_{p}}\langle\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}\rangle$$

$$\langle\vec{B} - \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{am_{p}}\left(\dot{\phi}\vec{B} - \vec{\nabla}\phi\times\vec{E}\right)$$

$$\left(2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM}\right)$$



ckreaction  
Backreaction
FLRW
spacetime
$$\frac{1}{2}a^{3}m^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^{2} - a^{-2}\vec{B}^{2}) + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{m_{p}}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{a^2}\vec{\nabla}^2\phi - m^2\phi + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{a^3m_p}\langle\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}\rangle \\ \vec{E} - \frac{1}{a^2}\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{B} - \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{am_p}\left(\dot{\phi}\vec{B} - \vec{\nabla}\phi\times\vec{E}\right) \\ \ddot{a} = -\frac{a}{3m_p^2}\left(2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM}\right) \end{aligned}$$

#### Homogeneous backreaction

• Homogenous backreaction in the inflaton dynamics: lengthening of inflation







ckreaction  
Backreaction  

$$\frac{1}{2}a^3m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2}\vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{m_p}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$$

$$\vec{\nabla}^{2}\phi - m^{2}\phi + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{a^{3}m_{p}}\langle\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}\rangle$$

$$\langle\vec{B} - \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{am_{p}}\left(\dot{\phi}\vec{B} - \vec{\nabla}\phi\times\vec{E}\right)$$

$$\left(2\rho_{\mathrm{K}} - \rho_{\mathrm{V}} + \rho_{\mathrm{EM}}\right)$$

#### Homogeneous backreaction

- Homogenous backreaction in the inflaton dynamics: lengthening of inflation
- Oscillatory inflaton velocity







ckreaction  
Backreaction  

$$\frac{1}{2}a^3m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2}\vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{m_p}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$$

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi - m^2 \phi + \frac{\alpha_\Lambda}{a^3 m_p} \langle \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \rangle$$
  
 $\xi/\tau A^{\pm}(\tau, k) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \xi = \frac{\alpha_\Lambda \dot{\phi}}{2Hm_p}$ 

#### Homogeneous backreaction

- Homogenous backreaction in the inflaton dynamics: lengthening of inflation
- Oscillatory inflaton velocity
- Still tachyonic growth of the positive helicity









$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi - m^2 \phi + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{a^3 m_p} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$$

$$\times \vec{B} - \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{a m_p} \left( \dot{\phi} \vec{B} - \vec{\nabla} \phi \times \vec{E} \right)$$

$$\left( 2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM} \right)$$

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

Expression  
Backreaction  

$$\vec{\frac{1}{2}}a^3m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2}\vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{m_p}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B})$$

$$\vec{\nabla}^2\phi - m^2\phi + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{a^3m_p}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$$

$$\vec{B} - \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{am_p}\left(\dot{\phi}\vec{B} - \vec{\nabla}\phi \times \vec{E}\right)$$

CosmoLattice

[D. G. Figueroa, A. Florio, F. Torrenti & W. Valkenburg (2006.15122)] [D. G. Figueroa, A. Florio, F. Torrenti & W. Valkenburg (2102.01031)]

![](_page_49_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_1.jpeg)

Also:  $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$ 

Weak backreaction regime

Mild backreaction regime

![](_page_66_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bump before inflation end Earlier for higher couplings Also:  $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$ 

Weak backreaction regime

Mild backreaction regime

![](_page_67_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bump before inflation end Earlier for higher couplings Also:  $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$ 

![](_page_68_Figure_4.jpeg)

Weak backreaction regime

Mild backreaction regime

![](_page_68_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bump before inflation end Earlier for higher couplings

![](_page_69_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bump before inflation end Earlier for higher couplings Also:  $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$ 

![](_page_70_Figure_4.jpeg)

Weak backreaction regime

Mild backreaction regime

#### Strong backreaction regime

vs Homogeneous approach: the growth in duration is much greater

![](_page_70_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bump before inflation end Earlier for higher couplings

![](_page_71_Figure_4.jpeg)




### Mild backreaction regime





### Mild backreaction regime



## $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$



### Mild backreaction regime



## $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$



### Mild backreaction regime



Re-enters inflation with EM dominating and gradients slowing down kinetic energy density

## $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$



### Mild backreaction regime



## $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$



### Mild backreaction regime



## $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$





 $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$ 





gradients slowing down kinetic energy density

 $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$ 

and avoids inflation ending



## Conclusions

- In the **full backreaction** approach, which includes the contribution of the inhomogeneities, when in the the strong **backreaction** regime we can see:
  - coupling.
  - 2. "Magnetic slow-roll" behavior during the extra efoldings.
  - **physics** for the highest couplings considered.
- Homogenous approach is not sufficient to fully capture the non-linear dynamics
- **Phenomenological result**s should be **re-studied** in the full backreaction regime: ongoing work!
- As for now, the only way is to make use of "Lattice cosmology".

1. Exponential lengthening of the inflationary period with increasing

3. **Power** in the gauge field spectrum is **transferred** to the **UV scales**,

implying that a **wide dynamical range** is necessary to fully **capture** the



CosmoLattice









## Backup slides





Expression  
Backreaction  

$$\vec{\frac{1}{2}}a^3m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}a(\vec{E}^2 - a^{-2}\vec{B}^2) + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{m_p}\phi\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B})$$

$$\vec{\nabla}^2\phi - m^2\phi + \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{a^3m_p}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$$

$$\vec{B} - \frac{\alpha_{\Lambda}}{am_p}\left(\dot{\phi}\vec{B} - \vec{\nabla}\phi \times \vec{E}\right)$$

CosmoLattice

[D. G. Figueroa, A. Florio, F. Torrenti & W. Valkenburg (2006.15122)] [D. G. Figueroa, A. Florio, F. Torrenti & W. Valkenburg (2102.01031)]



## Inflation duration



Bump before inflation end Earlier for higher couplings Also:  $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$ 



Weak backreaction regime

Mild backreaction regime

## Strong backreaction regime

vs Homogeneous approach: the growth in duration is much greater

Our free parameter is  $\alpha_{\Lambda}$  : controls the strength of the backreaction!





gradients slowing down kinetic energy density

 $\epsilon_H = 1 + (2\rho_{\rm K} - \rho_{\rm V} + \rho_{\rm EM})/\rho_{\rm tot}$ 

and avoids inflation ending



# Extra efoldings vs couplings





## Magnetic slow-roll



Homogeneous backreaction approach

Full backreaction approach

# Spectra of the gauge modes: helicities





## Helicities and longitudinal mode





## Spectra of the gauge modes: mild vs strong









What happens in the





What happens in the "Magnetic slow-roll"?



Evolution in 0.5 efolding gaps



 $10^{2}$ 



What happens in the "Magnetic slow-roll"?



Evolution in 0.5 efolding gaps



 $10^{2}$ 

















