

**D**NTNU

Norwegian University of Science and Technology



# Eddy current effects in the RCS vacuum chamber

Erik Kvikne

David Amorim

HEMAC meeting 2023-12-12



2023-12-12

#### Eddy Currents

- Rapid changes in the magnetic field of the RCS will generate eddy currents in the metallic vacuum chamber.
- The **power dissipation** in a thin sheet or wire scales as the ramp rate squared.  $P \propto \dot{R}^2$
- First order estimation of the dissipated power using analytical formulas.
- Choice of materials for vacuum chamber is important for the **impedance study**.







## Rectangular Vacuum Chamber

- P<sub>eddy</sub>/L is the Eddy current power loss per unit length.
- **B** is assumed to be **uniform**.



$$P_{eddy}/L = 4\dot{B}^2a^2e\sigma(\frac{a}{3}+b)$$
(Lachaize, 2007)

- ${\bf B}$  is the magnetic field
- **a** is the chamber half-width
- **b** is the chamber half-height
- $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is the chamber's electrical conductivity
- **e** is the chamber thickness



## Stainless Steel Rectangular Vacuum Chamber

#### Stainless steel 316LN:

- Resistivity:  $7.5 \times 10^{-7} \Omega m$
- Height and width: 30X100mm\*
- Thickness: 0.3mm\*\*



Power loss in the **MW/m** range

\*(Tentative Parameter list for the IMCC) \*\*(Lachaize, 2007)

2023-12-12





Energy loss

We can estimate the **energy loss** during the **ramp up** of the magnet, assuming **constant ramp rate**.



**Q** is the energy loss of the chamber



• **t**<sub>ramp</sub> is the ramp time

RCS:	1	2	3	4
Ramp time[ms]	0.343	1.097	2.37	6.37

(Tentative Parameter list for the IMCC)

2023-12-12

(F. Boattini, M. Gast)

0.00200

4

257

0.00175

Ansys



#### Temperature increase for single ramp up

2

1497

0.00100

0.00125

0.00150

0.00050

1

766

0.00075

0.00025

RCS:

Energy

loss [J/ramp/m]



3

693



## Elliptical Vacuum Chamber



$$P_{eddy}/L = 4\dot{B}^2 \sigma da^3 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^2(\theta) \sqrt{1 - (1 - \frac{b^2}{a^2}) \sin^2(\theta)} d\theta$$
(Xu & Wang, 2012)

- ${\bf B}$  is the magnetic field
- **a** is the chamber half-width
- **b** is the chamber half-height
- $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is the chamber's electrical conductivity
- **d** is the chamber thickness



#### Stainless Steel Vacuum Chamber

An elliptical cross-section reduces power loss by **34%** compared to rectangular with the same chamber height, width and thickness.



2023-12-12



# Reduction of Eddy current loss

 Eddy currents can be reduced by dividing the vacuum chamber into thin parallel stripes.

 Electrons cannot cross between stripes, which will force the currents to circulate in smaller arcs, reducing the eddy current loss.







## Longitudinal striped design

N<sub>H</sub>/2 N<sub>v</sub>/2 N<sub>v</sub>/2 N<sub>H</sub>/2

$$P_{eddy}/L = \frac{2}{3}\dot{B}^2wd\sigma(N_Hw^2 + N_Vd^2)$$

(The proton driver design study, 2000)

- **B** is the magnetic field
- w is the stripe half-width
- **d** is the stripe thickness
- **N<sub>H</sub>** is the number of horizontal stipes (top + bottom)
- $N_v$  is the number of vertical stipes (left + right)
- σ is the chamber's electrical conductivity

2023-12-12



- Only the necessary amount of metal for shielding is placed inside the magnet.
- "Vacuum skin" (green) moved outside of magnet.
- Ceramic with longitudinal conducting stripes could be an alternative.

(The proton driver design study, 2000)

2023-12-12



## Example from J-PARC



2023-12-12



# Longitudinal striped design



Same parameters as for the rectangular design:

#### Stainless steel 316LN:

- Resistivity: 7.5  $\times$  10<sup>-7</sup>  $\Omega$ m
- Height and width: 30X100mm
- Thickness: 0.3mm

Reduction of **3 orders of** magnitude.

The rcsparameters package (link)
MInternational UON Collider Collaboration ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓
<pre>def power dissipation(self): def power dissipation(self): Power dissipation in the beam pipe due to Eddy Currents caused by the fast ramping dipole magnets. We assume a uniform magnetic field in the vertical direction. Formulas are taken from `Study of oddy current power loss in an RCS vacuum chamber': <u>https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1008/1674-1137/36/2/011/pdf</u> and 'The Proton Driver Design Study', FEMILAB-TN-2136, Table 8.4. irtype: float irtype: float irtype: float if self, chamber geometry == 'rectampilar': if self, chamber geometry == 'elliptical': def integrand(theta, a, b): [ return (np.sin(theta)*2) * np.snt(1 - (1 - (b*2 / a*2)) * np.sin(theta)*2) return (rep:in(theta)*2) * np.snt(1 - (1 - (b*2 / a*2)) * np.sin(theta)*2) return a * self.chamber_geometry == 'self.chamber_width/2)**3 * self.chamber_thickness * self.ramping_rate**2 * result elif self.chamber geometry == 'inopitudinal stripes': return (np.sin(theta)**2) * self.chamber_width/2)**3 * self.chamber_thickness * self.ramping_rate**2 * result elif self.chamber geometry == 'inopitudinal stripes': return 2 * self.ramping_rate**2 * (self.chamber_thickness * self.electrical_conductivity * (self.stripe_width / 2)**2 + self.chamber_thickness**2) / 3 else: return 2 * self.ramping_rate**2 * (self.chamber_thickness * self.electrical_conductivity * (self.stripe_s*) / 3 else: return 2 * self.ramping_rate**2 * (self.chamber_thickness * self.chamber_irctical_tripes * self.chamber_thickness**2) / 3 else: return 2 * self.ramping_rate**2 * (self.chamber_neetry): (bamber needs to be either 'rectampular', 'elliptical', or 'longitudinal stripes'') return 2 * self.ramping_rate**2 * (self.chamber_neetry): (bamber needs to be either 'rectampular', 'elliptical', or 'longitudinal stripes'')</pre>
<pre>2022 12 12 12</pre>



• A vacuum chamber with large metallic surfaces will result in too large Eddy current losses.

 By using a longitudinally striped design we can get a reduction in power loss of **3 orders of magnitude**.

- Future:
  - Make the RCS parameter class able to calculate power and energy loss from B profile.



#### Backup



15



#### Overview of the magnet (dipole) model: Losses calculation

	Full resonance circuit		Switched resonance circuit	
	Energy lost [J/cycle/m]	Avg Magnetic Energy [J/m]	Energy lost [J/cycle/m]	Avg Magnetic Energy [J/m]
Iron Joke	58.2	90.2	26.4	12.3
Iron poles	33.2	122.5	16.5	23.0
Total Iron	91.4	212.7	42.9	35.3
Air Gap	0	2003.9	0	1645.3
Stray field	0	1148.8	42.9	964.6
Coil1	13.2	0	36.9	0
Coil 2	40.2	0	78.3	0
Coil 3	36.0	0	68.9	0
Coil 4	59.7	0	95.4	0
Total Cu	149.1	0	322.4	0
Total	240.5	3365.4	365.3	2645.2

(F. Boattini, M. Gast)

16

2023-12-12