CernVM-FS & Varnish



CernVM-FS & HTTP Caching

CernVM-FS

- Clients have read-only access to FS
- Clients get notified of FS changes
- Client = HPC cluster to laptop

Caching

- Reverse Proxy (Squid)

CERN

- Place of birth of the Web
- Culture around "Distributed computing"







Varnish, born to replace Squid



Squid (1996) one of the forward proxies of reference

- Primary goal is to be feature full
- Designed to supports multiple protocols
- Very versatile, able to do reverse proxy
- Designed for single CPU architectures
- Somewhat inefficient virtual memory management
- Squid dev team has low bandwidth to improve it (<u>vulnerabilities stay unfixed</u> for years)



Varnish (2006) created as a reverse proxy to optimize infrastructure and reduce costs

- Focused on caching proxy features
- Designed for an optimized handling of HTTP traffic
- Primary goal is to be efficient and secure
- Designed for high parallelism and workload isolation
- Efficient memory management
- Varnish has a community improving it in and out of Varnish Software





Squid VS Varnish in practice (1)

VG Multimedia (2006)

- From: 12 Squid instances ~100% CPU usage
 - ~150ms average latency
- To: 3 Varnish servers
 ~10% CPU usage (-90%)
 ~30ms average latency (-80%)

First deployment of Varnish Cache in production



On Virtual memory management design by Poul-Henning Kamp, Varnish Architect "You're Doing It Wrong. Think you've mastered the art of server performance? Think the https://queue.acm.org/detail.cfm?id=1814327

Squid VS Varnish in practice (2)

Aller Internett (2011)

- 20ms -> 4ms average (-80%)
- 99th percentile improved
- Smoothes reads
- Reliable streaming

Reduced average latency and average CPU usage is very significant

Reducing outliers is critical



VARNISH SOFTWARE

Varnish Enterprise built for performance

Intel (2024)

- Varnish Enterprise testing
- 1.2 Tbps Data Rate,
- 1.18 Gbps/Watt Efficiency
- World's Fastest Delivery
- High & Stable Throughput
- Energy efficiency



"Varnish Enterprise Shows Up To 1.2 Tbps Data Rate, Up To 1.18 Gbps/Watt Efficient https://cdrdv2-public.intel.com/788717/Varnish_23_WP_091523_REV02.pdf



Varnish Enterprise & Cache

Varnish Cache

Open source project: <u>https://varnish-cache.org/</u>

- Finely grained caching policy
 - Differentiate easily mutable and immutable data
 - Manage precise lifecycle in cache
- In-memory and on-disk storage available
- Advanced load-balancing capabilities
- HTTPS client support through a TLS proxy (such as <u>Hitch</u>)

Varnish Enterprise

Commercial product from Varnish Software: https://www.varnish-software.com/ - Built for highperformance workloads

- Massive Storage Engine (MSE4)

- Store objects persistently on local disk(s)
- Runtime disk management inc fault tolerance
- Hybrid storage for indexes (NVMes) and data (HDDs)
- Policy based data placement and metering
- Automatically adjust cache size according to memory consumption
- Slicer allows subdivision of payloads
 - Efficiently request and serve fragments of objects instead of whole objects
- Native TLS support both for serving and backend requests





Varnish Cache easy setup

Varnish Cache available on most distributions

- Distributions have specific versions available

Ubuntu 22.04	Varnish Cache 6.6.1
Debian 12	Varnish Cache 7.7.1
RHEL8 / Alma Linux 8 / Rocky Linux 8 - Backward comp	Varnish Cache 6.0.13 atibility not
guaranteed between Varnish Cache versions	

Varnish Software provides a set of packages:

- <u>Repositories</u> available for all major distributions
 - Docker images available

Varnish provides very extensive and flexible configuration options through the Varnish Configuration Language aka VCL



CernVM-FS + Varnish For HTTP Caching

Join our hands-on breakout session on Wednesday morning and get your own reverse proxy caching system up and running!







jump frading

Large scale data processing with CVMFS

CVMFS Workshop, September 2024



Matt Harvey

HPC Production Engineer



JUMP TRADING

- Privately-owned proprietary trading firm
- Applying cutting-edge research to global financial markets
- World-wide operations
 - offices across US, EU, Asia, Pacific

HPC at Jump



Jump's Research Environment (HPC / "The Grid")

- The platform where we develop and optimize trading strategies
- Technologically competitive with some of the largest publicly known research systems in the world
 - Thousands of servers
 - Hundreds of petabytes of storage
 - Fast network interconnects
 - Keeps growing: more hardware every year
- Sophisticated data-intensive and computeintensive research workflows



Fabric logical diagram Image Credit: Olli-Pekka Lehto



Data Archive

- Realtime-updated repository time-series market data
- Contains raw data and derivative products for endusers





Ten Years of Archive Growth



Doubling ~2 years, currently growing at ~1.5PB/week substantial day-on-day volatility



Data Archive Requirements

- Able to run existing work-loads unmodified
 - POSIX filesystem presentation
- Decoupled from HPC fabric / filesystems
 - Accessible outside of HPC environment, across clusters
- Able to accommodate >100x growth in capability
 - capacity, bandwidth
- Be temperature-aware
- Non-requirements:
 - Read-write mounts on compute nodes
 - Concurrent writes on the same file
 - Global consistency and file locking

- Cloud object storage
 - Unbounded scalability
 - Globally accessible

- CVMFS presentation on client machines
 - POSIX presentation



Client

- Latency O(1-10ms),
- Bandwidth O(100Gbps)/node 1TB/s tot
- much data reuse



Client

- Latency O(1-10ms),
- Bandwidth O(100Gbps)/node 1TB/s tot
- extensive data reuse
- Cloud object storage
 - Latency O(0.1-1s)
 - Bandwidth O(100Gbps) tot
 - \$/access



Client

- Latency O(1-10ms),
- Bandwidth O(100Gbps)/node 1TB/s tot
- extensive data reuse
- Cloud object storage
 - Latency O(0.1-1s)
 - Bandwidth O(100Gbps) tot
 - \$/access

Requires effective caching between cloud and consumer



Uses several tiers of Varnish HTTP caches





- HPC: nodes and 'edge' varnish servers all connected to IB
- Performance biased



High performance NVME storage Infiniband and Ethernet networking 30+ GiB/s per server

1-2MiB requests – byte-ranged

Scales horizontally

No CVMFS client data cache!



• Edge varnish servers connected to data-centre ethernet



Connected to data-centre ethernet @ 100Gbps



- Core varnish caches connected to data-centre ethernet
- Capacity biased



SSD and HDD storage Ethernet networking

6MiB requests SSD 24MiB requests to HDD

Scales horizontally



Core varnish servers connected to circuit link to cloud





- Multiple clusters can use the core varnish tier
- Each cluster with its own set of edge caches





Sharding over Caches

- Shard to avoid duplication of objects across edge caches
- Rendezvous hashing:
 - For each cache instance:
 - hash key(URL + chunk offset + cache name) -> cache instance
 - Order hashes, try cache instance in corresponding order

Sharding over Caches

- Shard to avoid duplication of objects across edge caches
- Rendezvous hashing:
 - For each cache instance:
 - hash key(URL + chunk offset + cache name) -> cache instance
 - Order hashes, try cache instance in corresponding order
 - Allows graceful failover
 - no thundering herd, cascade failure
 - Traffic spread over remaining N-1 caches
 - invalidates ~1/N of each cache's contents



Sharding over caches



Varnish features

Varnish Configuration Language (VCL)

- Programmable handling of all requests
- Fast compiled
- Use to:
 - Route requests appropriate caches and cloud buckets
 - Prefetch data on a cache miss
 - Sign cloud requests



Varnish features

Varnish Configuration Language (VCL)

- Programmable handling of all requests
- Fast compiled
- Use to:
 - Route requests appropriate caches and cloud buckets
 - Prefetch data on a cache miss
 - Sign cloud requests

Slicer

- Divide up requests into partial requests on aligned byte ranges
- Manages partial objects in the MSE cache to minimize prefetching
- Coalesces reply handling for concurrent fetches an object



Varnish features

Varnish Configuration Language (VCL)

- Programmable handling of all requests
- Fast compiled
- Use to:
 - Route requests appropriate caches and cloud buckets
 - Prefetch data on a cache miss
 - Sign cloud requests

Slicer

- Divide up requests into partial requests on aligned byte ranges
- Manages partial objects in the MSE cache to minimize refetching
- Coalesces reply handling for concurrent fetches an object
- MSE
 - High performance storage engine that manages the in-memory and on-disk caches
 - Fault tolerance disks can be brought in and out of service without stopping varnish



Optimising the first read

- The first read hits a cold cache hierarchy
 - Read back from cloud storage
 - High latency
 - Cost
- Prefill the core varnish tier
 - Separate request can't efficiently do write-through of arbitrary PUTs
 - Prefilling logic in VCL



Avoiding cache invalidation

- CVMFS has direct mapping from filepath -> object key (external data)
- Changing a file requires cache invalidation
 - Expensive, difficult, race-prone
- Solution:
 - Object key = path/.filename.<content shasum>
 - Add file path/filename to CVMFS as:
 - File: path/.filename.<content shasum>
 - Symlink: path/filename -> .filename.<content shasum>
- Changing a file -> new dot file, flipped symlink (atomic)



Observability



Observability

- End-to-end view of system performance
- Quicky detect and isolate defective services
- Tag all HTTP requests made by CVMFS
 - Add custom metadata user, batch job id, etc
- Ingest Varnish logs into time-series database
 - Hard: O(10^4) requests/sec per server

Export all CVMFS statistics and latencies



Observability – Client Performance

~ CVMFS Performance read() bytes Catalog hit rate (cache) 100% 7 GiB/s 6 GiB/s 50% 5 GiB/s 0% 4 GiB/s 15:25 15:30 15:35 15:40 15:45 15:50 3 GiB/s Errors 2 GiB/s 1 1 GiB/s 0.5 0 B/ 15:25 15:30 15:35 15:40 15:45 15:50 0 production.jcs.archive.gcp.jump, jcs, carp2 15:25 15:30 15:35 15:40 15:45 15:50 opendir() calls Internal bytes (cache write) opendir() 75 K 20 s 50 K 256 MiB/s 10 s 25 K 0 ns 0 B/s 15:30 15:35 15:30 15:35 15:40 15:45 15:50 15:25 15:30 15:35 15:40 15:45 15:50 15:25 15:40 15:45 15:50 15:25 read() - Less than 512KB read() - 512KB to 1MB read() - 1MB+ 1 s 200 ms 400 ms 500 ms $\neg \land \land$ 100 ms 200 ms 0 ns 0 ns 0 ns 15:25 15:30 15:35 15:40 15:45 15:50 15:25 15:30 15:35 15:40 15:45 15:50 15:30 15:35 15:40 15:45 15:50 15:25



Observability – Client Performance



Observability – Cache instance performance













~ Cache Group











Observability – end-to-end performance







Bytes Read over the selected time period

621 TiB

Percentage of Bytes read from Cloud over selected time period

0.282%

Note the effect of prefetching



Thank you!

Questions?

mharvey@jumptrading.com

