# QCD/top physics in the era of future accelerators

Alexander Mitov

**Cavendish Laboratory** 

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

## Introduction

✓ We had a lot of related talks ...

- ✓ Will not discuss EW physics (see talk by Fulvio Piccinini)
- $\checkmark$  This talk is about trying to guess what QCD may look like at FCCee
- ✓ ... we can start by looking at the LHC today and extrapolate
- ✓ The situation at present is pretty amazing: we have many, more-precise calculations for a more complicated machine (LHC) than for e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>!
- ✓ A brief LHC status:
  - ✓ Fully differential NNLO for 2->2 and 2->3.
  - ✓ N<sup>3</sup>LO already exists for 2->1 processes.

On a 10-year timescale we can expect N<sup>3</sup>LO calculations for the LHC, produced at scale

- ✓ These developments directly translate to  $e^+e^-$ :
- There are not as many e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> implementations currently simply because there is no pressing need for them.

✓ The bottleneck at the LHC currently, and for the foreseeable future, is the calculation of multiloop amplitudes (translates directly to e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>−</sup>).

✓ Progress in multiloop amplitudes

- ✓ Definition of the set of functions needed to describe such processes
- Example 1: the description of massless 2->3 processes at NNLO required a new set of functions, the pentagon functions
   Papadopoulos, Tommasini, Wever '15 Gehrmann, Henn, Lo Presti '16 '18
- They evolved in a set which is fully useable for practical calculations Chicherin, Sotnikov '20
- $\checkmark$  Approach currently being generalized up to V+4j at 2 loops

Abreu, Chicherin, Ita, Page, Sotnikov, Tschernow, Zoia

✓ Example 2: Elliptic functions

✓ These appear in multiscale problems

✓ first such collider example was the NLO tt total inclusive cross-section).

Czakon, Mitov '08



- These functions need to be systematized in order to have efficient algebra. Tremendous work ongoing.
- Computing amplitudes will become particularly tricky for multiscale processes (like different masses)
  - Likely only fully numeric calculations are feasible. But this is OK: for example, tt@LHC@2 loops is known numerically and not yet fully analytically.

Chen, Czakon, Poncelet '17

✓ Finally, even one-loop amplitudes for N<sup>3</sup>LO calculation will require additional improvements

✓ What can we conclude from the discussion up to here?

#### By the time FCCee becomes operational many/most e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> processes will have NNLO or N<sup>3</sup>LO precision

✓ One should expect fully differential calculations, not just inclusive observables

✓ This will be a huge progress relative to where we are today for e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>

## **Overview of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> processes and their current status**

## Top quark pair production

- ✓ A major aim and potential major achievement for the FCCee
- A threshold scan allows a very precise measurement of the top quark mass, width and even its mass scheme.
- ✓ Theory known at N<sup>3</sup>LO in QCD

Beneke et al, '15



### Top quark pair production

✓ Top Yukawa can also be constrained indirectly (albeit weakly).

Nice connection to the talk by Gauthier Durieux



Sensitivity to the top Yukawa coupling

## Top quark pair production

- ✓ Further prospects for ttbar at threshold:
  - ✓ A main remaining uncertainty is ISR
  - ✓ Going beyond N<sup>3</sup>LO will likely require a multi-decade effort...
- Continuum top pair production
  - ✓ Essentially available only at NLO via MadGraph5 aMC@NLO, Whizard, ...
  - ✓ No reason not to have full NNLO at present
- $\checkmark$  Note: the state of continuum b and c production is similar.
  - $\checkmark$  Single inclusive production at high p<sub>T</sub> is known at NNLO (will revisit later in this talk)

## Jet production and alpha\_s

✓ 3-jet production at the LHC is known at NNLO (in full color)

✓ 3-jet is also known for e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> at NNLO
 Gehrmann-De Ridder, Gehrmann, Glover, Heinrich '07
 Weinzierl '08
 Del Duca, Duhr, Kardos, Somogyi, Szor, Trocsanyi, Tulipant '16

✓ 4-jet at NNLO is doable (integrals were just derived for the LHC)

- Studies of jet substructure, jet algorithms, including flavor, has advanced tremendously during the lifetime of the LHC.
- ✓ Ultimately, N<sup>3</sup>LO for 3 jet production at  $e^+e^-$  will likely be possible within a decade or so.



#### ATLAS arXiv:2301.09351

precision.

 $\checkmark$ 

Measurements of the strong coupling

✓ A remark: FCCee will only give us access to

where the running of alpha\_s has already

been probed to 2 TeV or so with full NNLO

constant at e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> will offer exciting possibilities

alpha\_s at scales  $\sim M_Z$ . This is unlike the LHC

reviewed in talk by Stefan Kluth

## **Two selected aspects**

## we can learn from at future e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders

## Terra Z

- Precision remeasurement of SM parameters and processes is going to be essential for the future precision program we all hope for.
- $\checkmark$  It is just like building a house: it can be only as good as the foundation it sits on.
- Zoltan Ligeti gave an exhaustive introduction to this. Let me just add couple of more examples:
  - ✓ B and D fragmentation measurements from LEP are rather limited.
  - ✓ Only a mixture of B mesons available
  - ✓ Only a single D meson measurement available
  - ✓ Quality of available data is currently a major limiting factor on heavy flavor production
- ✓ Another potential great benefit: measurements at different c.m. energies
  - Measurements at higher energies (240GeV, 365 GeV) will be the first time we have high precision high-energy data to test precision DGLAP evolution for heavy flavors.
  - ✓ B fragmentation is currently restricted to  $x>0.1 \sim m_Z/(2m_B)$ . Higher c.m. energies will allow access to fragmentation measurements with x<0.1.
  - And a bigger lesson: acquiring confidence in treating small mass effects in unrelated processes (including muon colliders, how to properly treat the muon or electron mass – even in QED context, etc.).

## Small mass effects

- Identified heavy flavor production (fragmentation) is among the basic processes QCD offers and has been measured at many colliders.
- ✓ e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> the gold standard for such measurements (due to lack of pdf, clean environment and ease of directly relating the observable to the fragmentation function)
- ✓ Open B and D production have so far (in the last almost 30 years) been treated in FONLL (NLO+NLL).
- It has been a great success and a major step forward; its main limitation is a significant NLO scale uncertainty.
- NNLO calculations expected to improve this. Indeed, the Tevatron B-production anomaly completely disappears at NNLO



Czakon, Generet et al, to appear

Tevatron fiducial x-section for bb **at quark level** 

Could also be inferred from Catani(a), Devoto, Grazzini, Kallweit, Mazzitelli '20

## Small mass effects

✓ Full NNLO +resummation prediction for B mesons and their decays

Czakon, Generet et al, to appear



✓ At future  $e^+e^-$  machine we should expect this observable to be known to N<sup>3</sup>LO.

✓ Uncertainties dominated by measurements of input parameters and treatment of mass effects.

## Small mass effects

✓ Example: fitting LEP data is not trivial



#### arXiv:2210.06078

Figure 16. NPFF fits from one dataset at a time (blue) versus the combined fit (orange). The four datasets are (from left to right): ALEPH, DELPHI, OPAL and SLD.

# **HighTEA time**

✓ A very legitimate question:

- ✓ How do you make the above results available?
- ✓ If I need a new prediction, where can I get it?
- ✓ There are just two options:
  - If there is a public code one can use it to compute what one needs
    - Problem 1: serious/huge CPU expense
      MCFM MATRIX
    - > Problem 2: is the user using the code correctly?
  - No public code: ask the authors. Hope they are free and have spare CPU to use...
- ✓ Can one bypass all of these problems at once?

YES!

✓ The answer can be found here:

https://www.precision.hep.phy.cam.ac.uk/hightea/

#### Dedicated website

#### https://www.precision.hep.phy.cam.ac.uk/hightea/



Alexander Mitov

- ✓ What is HighTEA? A library of precomputed events + all the required infrastructure.
  - ✓ No specialized knowledge needed to fully use it
  - ✓ It allows the user to compute any infrared safe n-dimensional differential distribution in any process which has already been added to the library
  - The output of a HighTEA computation is a histogram, and the input is the histogram's specification.
  - ✓ Users can define their own kinematic variables and scales.
  - ✓ No need for major computing infrastructure (typically, a large cluster). Example: A quick calculation takes 50k CPUh; the most demanding ones over 10M CPUh.
  - ✓ Predictions derived from HighTEA are very fast (~minutes).
  - ✓ All one needs is a computer (or a smart phone) and a free Google account.
- ✓ HighTEA's limitations
  - ✓ Only processes already included can be computed
  - ✓ Fixed statistics: fine bins will result in large MC uncertainty (estimate always provided)
  - ✓ Fixed parameters like LHC energy and particle masses.





✓ Next:

✓ A webform (restricted functionality): <u>https://www.hep.phy.cam.ac.uk/hightea/webform/</u>

 Our Library implemented in Jupyter notebooks on Google Colab. Has full functionality. One needs a device and a free Google account <a href="https://colab.research.google.com/github/HighteaCollaboration/highteaexamples/blob/master/Start.ipynb">https://colab.research.google.com/github/HighteaCollaboration/highteaexamples/blob/master/Start.ipynb</a>

## Conclusions

✓ Steady progress on NNLO and already N<sup>3</sup>LO calculations for the LHC

✓ Future FCCee program will benefit from these developments

- ✓ Expect fully differential NNLO and N<sup>3</sup>LO to be the standard for FCCee
- ✓ We need FCCee, among others, for (much) more precise measurements of basic SM parameters and processes.
  - $\checkmark$  This will be critical for the success of the future precision program.