Heavy quark diffusion from lattice QCD

Hai-Tao Shu





Phys.Rev.Lett.130,231902 (2023) arXiv: 2311.01525

ZIMÁNYI SCHOOL 2023

Dec. 4 - 8, 2023, Budapest, Hungary

Hai-Tao Shu

Heavy Quark Diffusion

Heavy quarks in heavy ion collisions

Heavy quarkonia are produced only in the early stage of collisions



Bound state / Free quarks

Free quarks thermalize via diffusion within time: τ_1

$$u_{\rm kin} = \frac{1}{\eta_D} = \frac{1}{\kappa/T^3} \left(\frac{T_c}{T}\right)^2 \left(\frac{M}{1.5 \text{ GeV}}\right) \frac{3 \text{ GeV}}{T_c^2}$$

- Perturbative estimates: [G. Moore and D. Teaney, PRC.71.064904] $au_{
 m kin, charm} \sim 6 ~{
 m fm/c} \gg au_{
 m kin, light} \sim 1 ~{
 m fm/c}$
- Experimental estimates (RHIC): [STAR Collaboration, PRL,106 (2011) 159902] $\tau_{
 m kin,charm} \approx \tau_{
 m kin,light}$

Need non-perturbative ab-initio determination for equilibration time!

Identify the heavy quark diffusion

Phenomenological diffusion picture of classical particle

Equilibrium -> Relaxation -> Equilibrium

$$\langle A(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_{\text{eq}} = 0 \quad \partial_t \langle A(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle = D \nabla^2 \langle A(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle$$

Solution:

Linear response theory

Perturbation to Hamiltonian:

$$H(t) = H_0 - \int d\mathbf{x} \ A(\mathbf{x})h(x)e^{\epsilon t}\Theta(-t)$$

Solution:

Difficult from mesonic correlators



Hai-Tao Shu

Simpler case: infinite heavy quark mass limit

Heavy quark momentum diffusion coefficient in HQET

J. Casalderrey-Solana and D. Teaney, PRD 74, 085012

- S. Caron-Huot et al., JHEP 0904 (2009) 053
- A. Bouttefeux, M. Laine, JHEP 12 (2020) 150

$$\partial_t p_i = -\eta_D p_i + \xi_i(t)$$

$$\langle \xi_i(t)\xi_j(t')\rangle = \kappa \delta_{ij}\delta(t-t')$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{p} = q(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{F}$$

• Mass dependent momentum diffusion coefficient

$$\kappa^{(M)} \equiv \frac{M^2 \omega^2}{3T \chi_q} \sum_i \frac{2T \rho_V^{ii}(\omega)}{\omega} \Big|^{\eta \ll |\omega| \lesssim \omega_{\rm UV}}$$

• Large quark mass limit in effective field theory

$$\kappa \equiv \frac{\beta}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \left[\lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{M^2}{\chi_q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t \ e^{i\omega(t-t')} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \{ \mathcal{F}^i(t, \vec{x}), \mathcal{F}^i(0, \vec{0}) \} \right\rangle \right]$$

Heavy quark momentum diffusion on the lattice



Color-electric field correlation function

$$G_{E,B}(\tau,T) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\pi} K(\omega,\tau,T) \rho_{E,B}(\omega,T)$$

- Cheaper to measure on the lattice
- No peak structures in spectral functions
- Absence of transport peak

Gradient flow

Smear fields according to diffusion equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}B_{\mu}(x,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{\delta S_G[B_{\mu}(x,t)]}{\delta B_{\mu}(x,t)} \sim D_{\nu}G_{\nu\mu}(x,t) \qquad B_{\nu}(x,t)|_{t=0} = A_{\nu}(x)$$



- Need good enough signal at finite flow time
- Need to know how much one can flow
- Need to know how to go back to zero flow

Maximum flow time limit



color-electric correlators

- Flow destroys the signal at small distances
- Large distance parts are not affected
- More points are destroyed at larger flow times
- At most 1% deviation of flowed correlators from unflowed ones determines maximum flow time:

$$a \lesssim \sqrt{8t} \lesssim \frac{\tau - a}{3}$$

a: to suppress lattice effects

a : lattice version of the

perturbative flow time limit

Develop methodology in quenched approximation



- Consistent results from ML & GF
- Gradient flow paves the way to full QCD

Kappa_E in QCD: double extrapolation

- First full QCD calculation of kappa!
- Wide temperature range 195 MeV 352 MeV
- Mpion=320 MeV
- Extrapolation Ansatz describes lattice data well



hotQCD, PRL 130 (2023) 23, 231902

Kappa_E in QCD: spectra modelling



hotQCD, PRL 130 (2023) 23, 231902

$$\rho_{\max} \equiv \max(\phi_{\mathrm{IR}}, \phi_{\mathrm{UV}})$$

$$\rho_{\mathrm{smax}} \equiv \sqrt{\phi_{\mathrm{IR}}^2 + \phi_{\mathrm{UV}}^2}$$

$$\rho_{\mathrm{plaw}} \equiv \begin{cases} \phi_{\mathrm{IR}} & \omega \le \omega_{\mathrm{IR}}, \\ a\omega^b & \text{for } \omega_{\mathrm{IR}} < \omega < \omega_{\mathrm{UV}}, \\ \phi_{\mathrm{UV}} & \omega \ge \omega_{\mathrm{UV}}, \end{cases}$$

Good description of lattice data using different models

Finite mass correction



M. Laine, JHEP 06 (2021) 139



Anomalous dimension at 1-loop order in MSbar:

$$p_B(\omega) = \frac{g^2 C_F \omega^3}{6\pi} \left[1 - \frac{g^2 C_A}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{2}{\epsilon} + (\text{finite}) \right] + \mathcal{O}(g^6)$$

Logarithmic divergence in flow time:

 $G_B^{\text{flow}}(\tau, \tau_{\text{F}}) \sim 1 + 2\gamma_0 g^2 \ln(\mu/\mu_{\text{F}})$

Regulated by a matching procedure:

 $G_B^{\text{phys}}(\tau, T) = \lim_{\tau_F \to 0} Z_{\text{match}}(\bar{\mu}_T, \bar{\mu}_{\tau_F}, \mu_F) G_B^{\text{flow}}(\tau, T, \tau_F)$

hotQCD, arXiv: 2311.01525

Kappa_B in QCD: spectra modeling



- UV spectral function needs matching to the physical one as well
- Similar modeling methodology as in the color-electric field case
- Good description of lattice data using different models

Kappa_B in QCD: scattering from various models



Kappa_E v.s. Kappa_B



Quenched results from:

A. Francis, et al., PRD92, 116003

B. L. Altenkort, et al., PRD103,014511

- D. Banerjee, et al., Nucl.Phys.A.2023.122721
- D. Banerjee, et al., JHEP 08 (2022) 128
- N. Brambilla, et al., PRD107, 054508

- Similar magnitude for Kappa_E and Kappa_B in full QCD & quenched
- Smooth connection between quenched and full QCD in temperature

Temperature-dependent charm quark mass



Summary



hotQCD, arXiv: 2311.01525

- 2piTD decreases with increasing quark mass, same for LQCD, QPM & T-matrix
- Quark mass dependence is small in LQCD & T-matrix
- Weaker quark mass dependence than QMP calculations
- Agree with AdS/CFT at ~Tc (rapid equilibrium)
- Agree with T-matrix estimate at moderate and high T
- Lattice results favor the experimental estimate for the charm quark equilibration time

Backup: full QCD setup

 $N_f = 2 + 1$, HISQ, $m_{\pi} = 320$ MeV

| T [MeV] | β | am_s | am_l | N_{σ} | N_{τ} | # conf. |
|---------|---------|---------|----------|--------------|------------|---------|
| 195 | 7.570 | 0.01973 | 0.003946 | 64 | 20 | 5899 |
| | 7.777 | 0.01601 | 0.003202 | 64 | 24 | 3435 |
| | 8.249 | 0.01011 | 0.002022 | 96 | 36 | 2256 |
| 220 | 7.704 | 0.01723 | 0.003446 | 64 | 20 | 7923 |
| | 7.913 | 0.01400 | 0.002800 | 64 | 24 | 2715 |
| | 8.249 | 0.01011 | 0.002022 | 96 | 32 | 912 |
| 251 | 7.857 | 0.01479 | 0.002958 | 64 | 20 | 6786 |
| | 8.068 | 0.01204 | 0.002408 | 64 | 24 | 5325 |
| | 8.249 | 0.01011 | 0.002022 | 96 | 28 | 1680 |
| 293 | 8.036 | 0.01241 | 0.002482 | 64 | 20 | 6534 |
| | 8.147 | 0.01115 | 0.002230 | 64 | 22 | 9101 |
| | 8.249 | 0.01011 | 0.002022 | 96 | 24 | 688 |
| 352 | 8.249 | 0.01011 | 0.002022 | 96 | 20 | 2488 |

- Wide temperature range
- Different lattice spacings
- Large lattices towards thermodynamic limit

Backup: matching factor

$$\ln Z_{\text{match}} = \int_{\bar{\mu}_{T}^{2}}^{\bar{\mu}_{T}^{2}} \gamma_{0} g_{\text{MS}}^{2}(\bar{\mu}) \frac{d\bar{\mu}^{2}}{\bar{\mu}^{2}} + \gamma_{0} g_{\text{MS}}^{2}(\bar{\mu}_{T}) \left[\ln \frac{\bar{\mu}_{T}^{2}}{(4\pi T)^{2}} - 2 + 2\gamma_{\text{E}} \right] - \gamma_{0} g_{\text{MS}}^{2}(\bar{\mu}_{\tau_{\text{F}}}) \left[\ln \frac{\bar{\mu}_{T}^{2}}{4\mu_{\text{F}}^{2}} + \gamma_{\text{E}} \right]$$

$$2.0$$

$$2.0$$

$$Z_{\text{match}}$$

$$1.8$$

$$1.6$$

$$1.4$$

$$1.2$$

$$1.0$$

$$\frac{\bar{\mu}_{\tau_{\text{F}}}/\mu_{\text{F}}}{\mu_{\tau_{\text{F}}}/\mu_{\text{F}}} = 1.00, \ \bar{\mu}_{T}/T = 19.18$$

$$\frac{\bar{\mu}_{\tau_{\text{F}}}}{\mu_{\tau_{\text{F}}}/\mu_{\text{F}}} = 1.00, \ \bar{\mu}_{T}/T = 2\pi$$

$$\frac{\bar{\mu}_{\tau_{\text{F}}}}{\mu_{\tau_{\text{F}}}} = \frac{1.00}{\mu_{\text{T}}}, \ \bar{\mu}_{\text{T}} = 19.18$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{8\pi_{\text{F}}}}{\mu_{\tau_{\text{F}}}}$$

$$0.8$$

$$0.8$$

$$0.0$$

$$0.1$$

$$0.2$$

$$0.3$$

$$0.4$$

$$0.5$$