Spectator-induced electromagnetic effects in $^{40}\text{Ar}+^{45}\text{Sc}$ collisions at 40A GeV/$c$ beam momentum
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1) Motivation
Spectator-induced electromagnetic (EM) effects:

1. Charged spectators generate EM fields, which modify the trajectories of $\pi^+,$ $\pi^-$ mesons.

→ they modify the double differential $\pi^+/\pi^-$ ratios, and result in charge splitting of directed flow.

2. This EM distortion is sensitive to the distance $d_E$ between the pion formation zone and the spectator system.

→ new information on the space-time evolution of the system.
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Minimum at $x_F = 0.15 = m_\pi/m_p$ (pions moving at spectator velocity)

$x_F = \frac{p_L}{p_L^{\text{beam}}}$

(c.m.s.)
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1. Introductory work- estimating $d_E$ as a function of pion rapidity: faster pions are produced closer to spectator system. 
   
   A. Rybicki, MESON 2016

2. First realistic description of EM distortion of $\pi^+/$ ratios. 
   
   (1) collision geometry (2) longitudinal evolution, (3) $p_t$ spectra, (4) pion creation time, (5) isospin effects, (6) directed and elliptic flow, (7) transverse expansion, (8) vorticity, (9) spectator expansion, (10) relativistic effects on EM field.

→ Information on creation time scales for fast pions. 

V. Ozvenchuk et al., Phys.Rev. C 102 (2020) 1, 014901

\[ y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{E_p + p_L}{E_p - p_L} \right) \]
However, up to now, no corresponding information on the full centrality dependence of EM effects in a small system was available. At the CERN SPS such measurements are now available for the first time.
• VTPC-1 and VTPC-2 are placed in the magnetic field.
• TPC system: track reconstruction and particle identification based on ionization energy loss.
• Projectile Spectator Detector (PSD): hadronic calorimeter, measures projectile spectators energy.
  → strongly asymmetric, projectile oriented. .....Different from collider experiments!!
  → this method is particularly good for my study, as it is focused on projectile spectator charge and its influence on particle emission in the forward hemisphere.
• S5 : scintillator counter, crucial to define the minimum-bias interaction trigger (T4).  \( S_1 \cdot S_2 \cdot \overline{V}_1 \cdot \overline{S}_5 \)
  → the S5 signal below the beam ion signal is associated with the beam interacting with the target.
3) Particle identification
Note: this identification method can be readily used for measuring the $\pi^+/\pi^-$ ratio (the imposed trivial biases largely cancel out, and the remaining can be estimated by simple methods).

* the case of negative particles is shown

$dE/dx \pm 5\%$ around pion Bethe-Bloch

$x_F > 0.05$ (at $p_T = 0$)
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4) Results

**All the preliminary results on Ar+Sc collision at 40A GeV/c presented here come from PoS EPS-HEP2021 (2022), 309**
$\pi^+/\pi^-$ ratio at three different centralities:

1. EM effect is strong enough to break isospin symmetry ($p/n = 0.82$)

2. Spectator-induced EM effects are present in small colliding systems, in spite of small spectator charge (*);

   (*) peripheral Pb+Pb: $Q \sim 70$
   Ar+Sc: $Q$ from 4 to 17.

3. Slow decrease of the effect with increasing centrality.

   Minimum at $x_F = 0.15 = m_\pi/m_p$

   (pions moving at spectator velocity)
$\pi^+ / \pi^-$ ratio at six different centralities:

**All the preliminary results on Ar+Sc collisions at 40A GeV/c presented here come from PoS EPS-HEP2021 (2022),309**
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Comparison between Ar+Sc and Pb+Pb reactions:

$\text{Peripheral } 39 - 93\%$

- the overall EM effect is similar, $\frac{1}{2}$ of the effect is observed in Ar+Sc as compared to Pb+Pb
- isospin effects are visible in the values of the $\pi^+ / \pi^-$ ratio at higher values of $p_T (\geq 125 \text{ MeV/c})$

**All the preliminary results on Ar+Sc collisions at 40A GeV/c presented here come from PoS EPS-HEP2021 (2022),309**
The model:

- The initial geometry of the collision is obtained using Glauber simulation (impact parameter and spectator charge corresponds to the experimental centrality selection).
- The final state pion emission takes place from the hypothetical interaction point after a given emission time, $t_{\text{delay}}$.
- Spectator spheres expand radially with surface velocity $\beta$.
- The initial 2D $x_F$, $p_T$ distribution of the emitted pions is assumed similar (in shape) to nucleon-nucleon collisions at 40 $A$ GeV/$c$ (parametrized from NA61/SHINE p+p data).

Note: account taken that the spectator velocity is very close to speed of light, the numerical value of $d_E$ in fm is nearly identical to the numerical value of $t_{\text{delay}}$ in fm/$c$ ($d_E \approx t_{\text{delay}}$).

1. A reasonably high sensitivity of the modeled EM effect to the expansion of the spectator system
2. Change of $d_E$ by less than 1 fm significantly changes the overall shape of the EM distortion
3. Sensitivity to the space-time evolution of the system (A. Rybicki, A. Szczurek, 2007)
4. We can obtain estimates for the pion emission distance $d_E$ by adjusting the model to the data.

** The additional influence of participant charge, as well as the strong final state interactions are neglected.
The importance of this analysis:

Distribution of the distance $d_E$ between the pion formation zone and the spectator system as a function of pion rapidity. The black "x" symbols correspond to values estimated on the basis of pion data from STAR, NA49 and WA98. Very tiny red crosses correspond to a Monte Carlo model simulation of pion production by resonances emitted from an intermediate system.

- The decrease of $d_E$ with increase in $y/y_{beam}$ and the non-zero value of $d_E$ at beam rapidity ($y/y_{beam} = 1$) can be understood as a direct consequence of pion production from resonances emitted from an intermediate system (fireball, QGP?).

5) Summary & conclusions:

- New data on spectator-induced electromagnetic effects in Ar+Sc collisions at 40 A GeV/c beam momentum ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.76$ GeV) is the first ever data on the full centrality dependence of these effects in small systems at the CERN SPS (and first analysis of peripheral small systems in NA61/SHINE)
  → the $\pi^+ /\pi^-$ ratio goes down to about 0.4 which is far below the lower limit estimated from a pure strong interaction, preserving isospin symmetry (0.82)

- Spectator-induced EM effects are present in small systems (in spite of the small spectator charge)
  → no EM effect was seen in the most central collisions (0-10% of the total Ar+Sc cross-section)

- The new experimental results on Ar+Sc collisions have been compared with the existing data on the peripheral Pb+Pb reactions
  → a qualitative similarity in the $x_F$, $p_T$ dependence of the EM effect
5) Summary & conclusions:

- A simulation based on a simple theoretical model was performed
  → the bulk of the centrality dependence of the EM effect in Ar+Sc reactions is described reasonably well by the simple approach used
  → comparison between model predictions and experimental data at higher $p_T$ suggests that our description of isospin effects can be considered oversimplified
  → velocities $\beta$ characterizing the fragmentation of the projectile spectator system adjusted to the exp. data are quite large (in some way connected to the expansion of the participant system?)
  → the values of the pion emission distance $d_E$ between the pion formation zone and the spectator system agree with the overall trend obtained for heavy ion collisions on the basis of STAR, WA98 and NA49 data
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Extra
Ar+Sc: “the Ar+Sc colliding system is 5 times smaller than Pb+Pb”

Advantages of this study:
1. EM effects in a small system in the full range of centrality, from very central to peripheral collisions (first-ever at the SPS).
2. Centrality dependence of the space-time evolution of pion production for fast pions.
3. Possibility to verify existing models describing EM, isospin, and other effects.
Comparison between Ar+Sc 40A GeV/c and 150A GeV/c:

\[ ^{40}\text{Ar} \text{ nucleus: protons 18, neutrons 22, p/n = 0.82} \]


**All the preliminary results on Ar+Sc collisions at 40A GeV/c presented here come from PoS EPS-HEP2021 (2022),309**
Estimates and their uncertainties have been obtained using three models:

- Dedicated simple geometrical "Glauber" simulation with selection of centrality by the number of Ar spectator nucleons.
- EPOS 1.99 with its implementation of the Wounded Nucleon Model, with selection of centrality by the number of Ar spectator nucleons.
- Same version of EPOS, with centrality selection by the $E_F$ from a GEANT 4 simulation of PSD

**All the preliminary results on Ar+Sc collisions at 40A GeV/c presented here come from PoS EPS-HEP2021 (2022),309**
Comparison between experimental data and model simulations:
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