### Elliptic flow of deuterons

### Boris Tomášik<sup>1,2</sup>, Radka Vozábová<sup>1</sup>

Fakulta jaderná a fyzikálně inženýrská, České vysoké učení technické, Praha, Czech Republic and Univerzita Mateja Bela, Banská Bystrica, Slovakia

boris.tomasik@cvut.cz

Zimányi School Budapest, Hungary

5.12.2023

### The deuteron wave function

Wave function(s) (r is the distance between proton and neutron):

• Hulthen form (lpha= 0.23 fm<sup>-1</sup>, eta= 1.61 fm<sup>-1</sup>)

$$\varphi_d(r) = \sqrt{\frac{lpha eta(lpha + eta)}{2\pi(lpha - eta)^2}} \frac{e^{-lpha r} - e^{-eta r}}{r}$$

• spherical harmonic oscillator (d = 3.2 fm)

$$\varphi_d(r) = (\pi d^2)^{-3/4} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2d^2}\right)$$

rms radius: 1.96 fm Binding energy 2.2 MeV spin 1

### Clusters and statistical model: a neat coincidence

Cluster abundancies fit into a universal description with the statistical model

Is this robust feature, or is this a result of fine-tuning?

What does it actually tell us?



<sup>[</sup>A. Andronic et al., J. Phys: Conf. Ser 779 (2017) 012012]

This is (a part of the) motivation to look at clusters, although clusters actually carry *femtoscopic* information about the freeze-out.

Boris Tomášik (UMB & ČVUT)

# Kinetic freeze-out of clusters: ALICE

[J. Adam et al. [ALICE collab], Phys. Rev. C 93 (2016) 024917]

 $p_t$  spectra of d and <sup>3</sup>He fitted individually with the blast-wave formula



### Production mechanism: coalescence

[R. Scheibl, U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C 59 (1999) 1585]

Projection of the deuteron density matrix onto two-nucleon density matrix Deuteron spectrum:

$$E_d \frac{dN_d}{d^3 P_d} = \frac{3}{8(2\pi)^3} \int_{\Sigma_f} P_d \cdot d\Sigma_f(R_d) f_p\left(R_d, \frac{P_d}{2}\right) f_n\left(R_d, \frac{P_d}{2}\right) \mathcal{C}_d(R_d, P_d)$$

QM correction factor

$$C_d(R_d, P_d) \approx \int d^3r \frac{f(R_+, P_d/2)f(R_-, P_d/2)}{f^2(R_d, P_d/2)} |\varphi_d(\vec{r})|^2$$

*r* relative position,  $R_+$ ,  $R_-$ : positions of nucleons approximation: narrow width of deuteron Wigner function in momentum

### Correction factor: limiting cases

$$C_d(R_d, P_d) \approx \int d^3r rac{f(R_+, P_d/2)f(R_-, P_d/2)}{f^2(R_d, P_d/2)} |\varphi_d(\vec{r})|^2$$

• Large homogeneity region for nucleon momentum  $P_d/2$ :  $L \gg d$  (*L* is the scale on which  $f(R, P_d/2)$  changes)

$$\mathcal{C}_d(R_d, P_d) pprox \int d^3r \, \left| arphi_d(ec{r}) 
ight|^2 = 1$$

No correction! Just product of nucleon source functions.

• Small homogeneity region for nucleon momentum  $P_d/2$ :  $L \ll d f(R, P_d/2)$  effectively limits the integration to  $\Omega$ 

$$\mathcal{C}_d(R_d,P_d)pprox \int_\Omega d^3r \, \left|arphi_d(ec{r})
ight|^2 = \mathcal{C} < 1$$

Interesting regime:  $L \approx d$ 

Boris Tomášik (UMB & ČVUT)

## Analytical approximation of the (average) correction factor

[R. Scheibl, U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C 59 (1999) 1585]

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_d \rangle (P_d) = \frac{\int_{\Sigma_f} P_d \cdot d\Sigma_f(R_d) f^2\left(R_d, \frac{P_d}{2}\right) \mathcal{C}_d(R_d, P_d)}{\int_{\Sigma_f} P_d \cdot d\Sigma_f(R_d) f^2\left(R_d, \frac{P_d}{2}\right)}$$

Approximations:

- Gaussian profile in rapidity and in the transverse direction,
- weak transverse expansion ( $\Rightarrow$  no  $p_t$  dependence)
- saddle point integration

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_d \rangle \approx \left\{ \left( 1 + \left( \frac{d}{2\mathcal{R}_{\perp}(m)} \right)^2 \right) \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{d}{2\mathcal{R}_{\parallel}(m)} \right)^2} \right\}^{-1}$$

Homogeneity lengths:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\perp} = rac{\Delta 
ho}{\sqrt{1 + (m_t/T)\eta_f^2}} \qquad \mathcal{R}_{\parallel} = rac{ au_0 \, \Delta \eta}{\sqrt{1 + (m_t/T)(\Delta \eta)^2}}$$

### The invariant coalescence factor $B_2$

[R. Scheibl, U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C 59 (1999) 1585]

$$E_d \frac{dN_d}{d^3 P_d} = B_2 E_p \frac{dN_p}{d^3 P_p} E_n \frac{dN_n}{d^3 P_n} \Big|_{P_p = P_n = P_d/2}$$

(Approximations with corrections for box profile)

$$B_{2} = \frac{3\pi^{3/2} \langle C_{d} \rangle}{2m_{t} \mathcal{R}_{\perp}^{2}(m_{t}) \mathcal{R}_{\parallel}(m_{t})} e^{2(m_{t}-m)(1/T_{p}^{*}-1/T_{d}^{*})}$$

where the effective temperatures are

$$T_p^* = T + m_p \eta_f^2 \qquad T_d^* = T + rac{M_d}{2} \eta_f^2$$

Approximate behaviour:  $B_2 \approx 1/volume$ 

# It works!

10-7

#### $B_2$ as function of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ [P. Braun-Munzinger, B. Dönigus, Nucl. Phys. A987 (2019) 144] Compared with $\propto 1/V$ B<sub>A</sub> ((GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>3</sup>)<sup>A-1</sup>) ALICI + E877 ÷ E878 NA44 NA49 NA52 + STAR PHEND BRAHMS (A 10 10-10 B₃ ★ 1/V

10<sup>2</sup>

### $B_2$ as function of $p_t$





consistent with decreasing homogeneity volume

10

<sup>10<sup>3</sup></sup> √s<sub>№</sub> (GeV)

### Difference between coalascence and thermal production

[F. Bellini, A. Kahlweit, Phys. Rev. C 99 (2019) 054905]

For coalescence use

$$B_2=rac{3\pi^{3/2}\langle \mathcal{C}_d
angle}{2m_tR^3(m_T)}$$

generalized

$$B_{A} = \frac{2J_{A} + 1}{2^{A}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \frac{1}{m_{T}^{A-1}} \left(\frac{2\pi}{R^{2} + (r_{A}/2)^{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}(A-1)}$$

with

$$R=(0.473\,{
m fm})\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta
angle$$

Difference between coalescence and blast-wave for small source sizes.



#### Boris Tomášik (UMB & ČVUT)

## How to get the yields consistent with the statistical model?

Assume thermal source function (Boltzmann)

$$f_N(p_N, x) = 2 \exp\left(-\frac{p_n \cdot u + \mu_N}{T}\right) H(r, \phi, \eta)$$

coalescence:

$$\mathsf{E}_{d}\frac{dN_{d}}{d^{3}P_{d}} = \frac{3}{4}\int_{\Sigma_{f}}\frac{P_{d}\cdot d\Sigma_{f}(R_{d})}{(2\pi)^{3}}\left(2\exp\left(-\frac{p_{n}\cdot u+\mu_{N}}{T}\right)\right)^{2}\left(H(r,\phi,\eta)\right)^{2}\mathcal{C}_{d}(R_{d},P_{d})$$

thermal production:

$$E_d \frac{dN_d}{d^3 P_d} = 3 \int_{\Sigma_f} \frac{P_d \cdot d\Sigma_f(R_d)}{(2\pi)^3} \exp\left(-\frac{P_d \cdot u + \mu_d}{T}\right) H(r, \phi, \eta)$$

they are equal if

- volume is large, i.e.  $\mathcal{C}_d(R_d, P_d) = 1$
- $\mu_d = 2\mu_N$ , and  $\mu_N$  guarantees right number of nucleons Partial Chemical Equilibrium
- $H^2(r, \phi, \eta) = H(r, \phi, \eta)$ , fulfilled for box profile

see also [X. Xu, R. Rapp, Eur. Phys. J. 55 (2019) 68]

#### Elliptic flow of deuterons

5.12.2023

11/19

### Lesson from coalescence

- deuteron spectrum sensitive to the shape of the density profile, through  $(H(r, \phi, \eta))^2$
- proton spectrum sensitive to  $H(r, \phi, \eta)$
- effects for homogeneity lengths comparable with the size of the cluster
- $\Rightarrow$  femtoscopy probe
  - elliptic flow of deuterons probes finer changes in homogeneity lengths

see also [A. Polleri, et al., Phys. Lett. B 473 (2000) 193]

# Simulate $v_2$ of deuterons—The Strategy

- set-up Blast Wave model with azimuthal anisotropy
- assume Partial Chemical Equilibrium (lower FO temperature than  $T_{ch}$ )
- the model must reproduce  $p_t$ -spectra and  $v_2(p_t)$  of protons and pions
- simulate  $p_t$  spectra and  $v_2(p_t)$  of deuterons in blast-wave model and in coalescence, and look for differences
- features of the model:
  - includes resonance decays
  - Monte Carlo simulation (SMASH: modified HadronSampler and decays)
  - built-in anisotropy in expansion flow and in fireball shape
  - includes modification of distribution function due to viscosity
  - freeze-out time depending on radial coordinate
- obtain T and transverse expansion from fitting  $p_t$  spectra of p and  $\pi$  (and K, A)
- then obtain anisotropy parameters from  $v_2(p_t)$
- simulate thermal production of deuterons
- simulate coalescence of deuterons (by proximity in phase-space)

### Enhanced Monte Carlo Blast-Wave model: freeze-out hypersurface

The Cooper-Frye formula:

$$Erac{d^3N_i}{dp^3} = \int_{\Sigma} d^3\sigma_\mu p^\mu f(x,p) \, ,$$

The freeze-out hypersurface:

$$egin{aligned} &x^{\mu} = ( au(r)\cosh\eta_s, r\cos\Theta, r\sin\Theta, au(r)\sinh\eta_s)\ & au(r) = s_0 + s_2 r^2\,, \qquad \eta_s = rac{1}{2}\ln\left(rac{t+z}{t-z}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

 $d^{3}\sigma^{\mu} = (\cosh \eta_{s}, 2s_{2}r \cos \Theta, 2s_{2}r \sin \Theta, \sinh \eta_{s}) r\tau_{f}(r) d\eta_{s} dr d\Theta,$ 

# Enhanced Monte Carlo Blast-Wave model: azimuthal anisotropies

Shape anisotropy:



 $R(\Theta) = R_0 \left(1 - \frac{a_2}{a_2} \cos(2\Theta)\right)$ 

Flow anisotropy:



 $u^{\mu} = (\cosh \eta_s \cosh \rho(r), \sinh \rho(r) \cos \Theta_b, \\ \sinh \rho(r) \sin \Theta_b, \sinh \eta_s \cosh \rho(r))$ 

 $\bar{r} = r/R(\Theta)$ 

$$\rho(\bar{r},\Theta_b)=\bar{r}\rho_0\left(1+2\rho_2\cos(2\Theta_b)\right)$$

Identified  $v_2(p_t)$  for different species allows resolving them.

Boris Tomášik (UMB & ČVUT)

### Model calibration

centrality	T[MeV]	$ ho_0$	$R_0$ [fm]	$s_0 [{\rm fm/c}]$	<b>a</b> 2	$ ho_2$
0-5%	95	0.98	15.0	$21\pm2$	0.016	0.008
30-40%	106	0.91	10.0	$9\pm 1$	0.085	0.03
50-60%	118	0.80	6.0	$6\pm0.5$	0.15	0.02

 $s_2 = -0.02 \,\, {
m fm}^{-1}$ 







### Results for deuterons: $p_t$ spectra



Boris Tomášik (UMB & ČVUT)

5.12.2023

17 / 19

### Results for deuterons: $v_2$



 $\label{eq:coalescence} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Coalescence for} \\ \Delta p < \Delta p_{max} \mbox{ and } \Delta r < \Delta r_{max}. \end{array}$ 



• Deuteron (and cluster) production is a femtoscopic probe

• Elliptic flow in more peripheral collisions can help resolving the mechanism of deuteron production: coalescence vs. thermal production